

E.D.N.Y. – C. Islip
20-cv-3361
Azrack, J.
Shields, M.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 14th day of March, two thousand twenty-four.

Present:

José A. Cabranes,
Richard C. Wesley,
Alison J. Nathan,
Circuit Judges.

In re Lidia M. Orrego,

23-7643

Petitioner.

Petitioner, pro se, has filed a petition for writs of mandamus, prohibition, and certiorari. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the petition is DENIED because Petitioner has not demonstrated that her right to the writ of mandamus is clear and indisputable, and that granting the writ is appropriate under the circumstances, and because the writ of prohibition may only be used “for the purpose of keeping [an] inferior court within the limits of its jurisdiction.” *Pan Am. Petrol. Corp. v. Superior Ct. of Del. In & For New Castle Cnty.*, 366 U.S. 656, 657 n.1 (1961); see *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380–81 (2004).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court


