## **EXHIBIT F**

- also, from the entities themselves when I looked
- 2 them up, David Baum was listed as their registered
- 3 agent, at the house in Melbourne.
- 4 Q. All right. And after Ms. Baum retained
- 5 you, how was your level of communication with her?
- 6 Were you able to maintain communication with her
- 7 or was she noncommunicative between May of 2013
- 8 and November 2013?
- 9 A. Throughout the relationship until the very
- 10 end, we had good communication.
- 11 Q. And what participation did Ms. Baum,
- 12 Anneen Baum, have with your office in deciding how
- 13 to attempt to serve process on David Baum in these
- 14 matters?
- 15 A. She played no role in it, other than to
- 16 inquire frequently as to what's the status of
- 17 service. And I would just inform her that it's
- 18 with the process server. And as soon as it's
- 19 done, I'll let you know.
- 20 Q. Did Anneen Baum in any way hamper your
- 21 office in effecting -- attempting to effect
- 22 service of process on David Baum in these matters?
- 23 A. Nope.
- Q. And, in fact, was she pretty -- would it
- 25 be fair to state that she's a fairly prolific

Orange Legal 800-275-7991

```
1
     e-mailer?
             And -- along with making phone calls, yes.
 2
        A.
             Now during the course of you representing
 3
        0.
     Ms. Baum, did you ever become aware if she has
 4
     certain medical issues?
 5
        A.
             Yes.
             And what medical issues --
        0.
             MR. KARR: Your Honor, I object.
                                                I don't
        understand what this -- relevance, Your Honor.
 9
             THE COURT: Mr. Gonzalez?
10
             MR. GONZALEZ: What it has to do with,
11
        Judge, and the issue in the case law when it
12
        relates to -- give me a second to get my
13
        thoughts together, Judge.
14
             One of the things the Court has to
15
        consider when they make a determination that
16
        service of process has not been effected and as
17
        a result a party's action should be dismissed,
18
        there's a case, Judge, called Kozel. I can't
19
        give you the cite for now, but I've got a copy
20
        of it. That's a supreme court case.
21
             And what it basically says, Judge, is if a
22
        party's participation exists which hampers or
23
        limits their counsel's ability to effect
24
        service of process, that's a very strong factor
25
```