U.S. Chief Circuit Court Judge DOLORES K. SLOVITER 28 U.S.C. §372(c) Complaint "The Mother of All Judicial Frauds"

I recognize that Chief Circuit Court Judge DOLORES K. SLOVITER ["Sloviter"] only took office as Chief Judge on February 1, 1991. However, Her Honor from, inter alia, the opinions of February 20, 1991 (C.J. 91-06 through 91-09) reveals (1) a familiarity of with most, if not all, of the accusations herein; and (2) a determination not to take any remedial action including compliance with the mandate of Canon 3B3 of the Code of Judicial Conduct, which provides.

"A judge should take or initiate appropriate disciplinary measures against a judge or lawyer for unprofessional conduct of which the judge may become aware".

By reason of such administrative failures by the Chief Judge, I make this \$372(c) complaint, whose essential details will also be made the subject of public disclosure and distribution.

- 1. The Chief Judge is, or should be, aware that the Clapp & Eisenberg, P.C. ["C&E"] clients diverted monies payable "to the federal court" to their own pockets.
- The Chief Judge is, or should be, aware that U.S. Attorney SAMUEL A. ALITO, JR. ["Alito"], in the forums of Bankruptcy Judge DANIEL J. MOORE ["Moore"] and U.S. District Court Judge NICHOLAS H. POLITAN ["Politan"], did not support my efforts to disgorge such criminally diverted monies from the C&E clients to the federal treasury.
- The Chief Judge is, or should be, aware that "millions of dollars" were paid by HYMAN RAFFE ["Raffe"], at pains of incarceration, for his failure, under the trialess conviction of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN ["Klein"] and the trialess Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND ["Diamond"], to the clients of C&E, although the C&E efforts were contrary to Raffe's legitimate interests (Wood v. Georgia, 450 U.S. 261, 265 n. 5 [1981]).
- 4. Others involved in such litigation in the Third Circuit, had also received monies extorted from Raffe, and their efforts were also contrary to Raffe's legitimate interests.

- including my incarceration, was for the purpose of facilitating the "approval" of the non-existent "final accounting" of the court-appointed receiver, a C&E client, and a fraud on each and every legitimate creditor of PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD. ["Puccini"], such fraud having the active and overt participation by the Circuit Court.
- 6. The criminal proceedings, instituted by Alito, at great federal expense, now a member of this Court, to insure the flow of extortion monies to C&E and to perpetrate a fraud upon the legitimate creditors of Puccini, is a matter, if not rectified, worth of public knowledge and inquiry.
- 7. My decisive and unopposed motion of October 23, 1989, involved in my criminal appeal (C.A. 89-5810), was deliberately concealed by the Clerk's Office and Staff Counsel, in conspiracy with the Alito Office and C&E, and concealed from the panel, and still no decision has not been determined with respect to such motion made approximately sixteen (16) months ago.
- 8. My post-conviction motions, including those of June 21, 1990, January 1, 1991 and January 23, 1991, although unopposed, are not being determined, by reason of the continuing corruption, inter alia, of Staff Counsel of this Court.
- 9. My motions in the civil litigation (C.A. 90-5147), which mandate pre-argument determinations, are also being stonewalled, by reason of the continuing corruption, inter alia, of Staff Counsel of this Court.
- 10. There comes a time when the responsibilities of the Chief Judge, by sufferance, are criminal in nature (<u>Peo. ex rel Price v Sheffield Farms</u>, 225 N.Y. 25, 121 N.E. 474 [1918], per Cardozo, J.).

Dated: March 20, 1991

4. 0000

Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER