U.S. District Judge Hon. J. FREDERICK MOTZ 28 U.S.C. §372(c) Complaint

1. I, as a battle-starred veteran of World War II, as many veterans, have seen the dead and wounded caused by subordinates who concealed from superiors information that should have been conveyed.

I do not, per se, complain of the disciplinary disposition of Hon. WALTER E. BLACK, JR. and Hon. J. FREDERICK MOTZ of December 10, 1990, but do complain, in this \$372(c) proceeding, about what I perceive to be the failure of the aforementioned to bring to the attention of the Chief Judge of the tactics and corruption prevailing at, inter alia, the U.S. District Court of the District of Maryland by, inter alia, WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON, Esqs. ["WT&P"].

2. Every second year law student knows that the only proper and ethical course of conduct by an attorney for a surety company is to assert the subrogation and indemnification rights of his client against those who caused the loss, and if they be financially responsible, effectively "walk-away" from the case, without any further expense imposed upon his client.

WP&T representing FIDELITY & DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND ["F&D"], instead of impleading and asserting their client's subrogation and indemnification rights, betrayed their client's legitimate economic interests, in favor of their own pecuniary benefits.

Given the number of jurists in Maryland who have had past associations with WT&P, and/or have relatives associated with that firm, it appears that such payments by F&D for needless, self-defeating and/or churning litigation, is simply "disguised bribery" which imports an additional component of evil because, implicitly conceding that bribery would be criminal, they sought to conceal what they are really accomplishing.

3. WT&P charted a course of patent fraud and corruption causing, inter alia, the needless expenditure of hundreds of hours of judicial hours, which the above jurist should have reported to the Chief Judge, but apparently did not, with the eventual disgrace which will fall upon this Court and its Chief Judge.

Dated: March 9, 1991

Most Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Whiteford, Taylor & Preston, Esqs.