GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW
2125 MILL AVENUE
BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11234

51 Davis Avenue, White Plains, N.Y. 10605 914-949-2169

December 29, 1985

Grievance Committee, First Department 41 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, 10010

Re: Ira Postel, Esq.
37 West 26th Street,
New York, N. Y. 10010

Gentlemen:

- 1a. I moved to vacate an Order of conviction, based on non-summary criminal contempt, which was secured by Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. of 500 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York, 10110 and Feltman, Karesh & Major, Esqs., of 55 East 52nd Street, New York, New York, 10055, based on Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83).
- b. There was no opposition to the merits by the aforementioned firms, to wit., that the conviction was secured under false and perjurious pretenses, and the conviction was permitted to remain extant with knowledge of same.
- c. Eureka! There was submitted an "Affidavit in Opposition" to my motion executed by Mr. Raffe in the typed back of Ira Postel, Esq.
- d. Not disclosed, but my information is, that the affidavit was prepared and typed by Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. and after it was signed, it was backed, mailed, and tendered to Court by Feltman, Karesh & Major, Esgs.
- e. Can anyone tell me how one convicted, who has not as yet served his term, can oppose his own exoneration, or have an attorney assist in such a judicial parody?

Dec. 29 1985

- 2a. Essentially, through my efforts, Mr. Raffe secured a judgment over against Puccini Clothes, Ltd., in the sum of \$475,425.86. I moved to disaffirm an award made by Referee Donald Diamond, made without any hearing or evidence, which gave to Feltman, Karesh & Major, Esqs. all of Puccini's remaining assets, thus rendering Mr. Raffe's judgment worthless!
- b. Again in papers prepared and served by Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. and Feltman, Karesh & Major, Esqs., but under a back bearing the name of "Ira Postel", an affidavit is submitted "In Opposition to Motion".
- c. Again Mr. Postel does not disclose the aforementioned to the Court, or explain his actions!
- 3a. I move for sanctions because the firm of Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C. refused and failed to submit to pre-trial disclosure.
- b. With disclosure, recovery on Raffe's behalf is summarily compelling, and on trial recovery certain.
- c. Mr. Postel, a few days before the return date, gave the insurance company attorneys, a stipulation discontinuing the action, gratis! For them, Santa Claus arrived early!
- 4. I suggest that you request from Mr. Postel a full and complete explanation for you will find that he is engaged in a most egregious course of conduct.

Respectfully,

EDECE SASSOWER

GS/h

cc: Ira Postel, Esq.