GEORGE SASSOWER ATTORNEY AT LAW 51 DAVIS AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10605 914-949-2169 December 23, 1986 Office of Professional Discipline 622 Third Avenue, New York, New York, 10017 Att: Mr. David Greenberg Re: Rashba & Pokart Case No. 8607632 Dear Mr. Greenberg, By the close of the day, Tuesday, December 30, 1986, I expect to receive a copy of "an accounting", from Rashba & Pokart, with respect to Puccini Clothes, Ltd., and expect that you and your office will cooperate in such manifestly just request. I do not consider a one page listing of receipts (Exhibit "A") and a five page listing of expenses (Exhibit "B"), enclosed herein, to be "an accounting". If such simply listing of income and expenses is "an accounting" then I am in error as to the most fundamental characteristic of "an accounting", as that word has been understood in english speech and in the accounting profession. The above six pages of schedules are the only accounting documents filed, as constituting "the final accounting" for Puccini Clothes, Ltd., an involuntarily dissolved corporation. The aforementioned six pages, purporting to be a "final accounting" simply proves that Rashba & Pokart are nothing better than "Certified Public Thieves", aiding and abetting Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C., and Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esq., "the criminals with law degrees"! I believe that I and the public is entitled to know how an accounting firm, such Rashba & Pokart, can presume to act on behalf of, and receive monies from, Puccini Clothes, Ltd., when their clients included Kreindler & Relkin, P.C., who engineered the larceny of Puccini's judicial trust assets, and when Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C., "laundered" monies to such accounting firm, whose origin were monies unlawfully taken from Puccini?

- b. Schedule "B" reveals the following payments were made to Rashba & Pokart, which I claim was to conceal the criminal activities of "the criminals with law degrees", \$3,554.00 (9/6/83); \$8,724.35 (9/17/84); \$1,815.00 (5/25/85); and \$1,950.00 (9/9/86) or a total of \$16,043.35.
- of the \$10,000 unlawfully taken from Puccini, "laundered" through the bank account of the Arutt firm, of which \$6,200 of such sum was given to the Rashba firm in payment of an invoice to the Kreindler firm.
- d. The Arutt firm kept \$3,800 as a "laundering" fee, until I clobbered that firm into returning such monies!
- e. Instructively, Rashba & Pokart approved of the \$10,000 disbursement as a "legal" expense on Puccini's books, when in fact it was not, and when it knew that all disbursements, however designated were unlawful!
- 4a. I personally have a judgment against Puccini, which, with interest, is about \$35,000; together with a \$3,000,000 claim; and have a lien on some of its stock, and consequently an interest in Puccini's equity.
- b. Additionally, Puccini, albeit involuntarily dissolved, is still a "person" within the meaning of the XIV Amendment, and entitled to "due process" and "equal protection of the laws".
- c. Such "persons" do not have their constitutional rights if your office permits accounting firms such as Rashba & Pokart, and their co-conspirators, to "ravage" and "rape" Puccini's assets and those similarly situated.
- d. Your office, I contend, has no right to permit Rashba & Pokart to treat the assets of judicial trusts as if they were nothing more than "fortune cookies".
- e. I also, as part of such accounting, intend to receive copies of the bills for the monies given to Rashba & Pokart from Puccini, including the bill for \$6,200.

5. Thank You!

Very rouly yours

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Rashba & Pokart Schneck, Weltman & Ives, Esps