

RECEIVED

GEORGE SASSOWER

16 LAKE STREET
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10603

914-949-2169

MAR 01 1989

DEPARTMENTAL
DISCIPLINARY
COMMITTEE

February 22, 1989

Departmental Disciplinary Committee
41 Madison Avenue
New York, N.Y. 10010

Re: Schneck & Weltman, Esqs.
666 Fifth Avenue,
New York, New York 10019

Gentlemen:

1. The body of my letter of February 3, 1989 to Rashba & Pokart, the clients of the above, to which there was deliberately no response, was as follows:

"As the accountants for Lee Feltman, Esq., as Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd., since 1983, and having received substantial compensation from such involuntarily dissolved corporation, kindly forward to Hon. Sol Wachtler, Chairman of the Administrative Board of the Unified Court System, at Court of Appeals Hall, 20 Eagle Street, Albany, New York 12207, with a copy to the undersigned, of any accounting that you prepared or approved for Puccini's judicial trust assets.

If you contend that there were none, kindly send to the above a statement of Puccini's 'assets', to the best of your ability.

Also advise the Chairman of any pre-existing relationships that you had with Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. and/or the predecessor of Nachamie, Kirschner, Levine & Spizz, P.C., and whether they or their clients took and/or hold any of Puccini's judicial trust assets."

2a. Rashba & Pokart were judicially appointed, but without any compliance with 22 NYCRR §660.24.

b. The aforementioned firm was appointed on the initiative of Lee Feltman, Esq., to investigate the serious allegations of larceny of judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., by Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. and Nachamie, Kirschner, Levine & Spizz, P.C.

February 22, 1989

c. None of the parties involved, including Rashba & Pokart, disclosed on such appointment that the Kreindler firm were clients of the Rashba firm, owed that firm \$6,200, which was paid by the Nachamie firm from assets stolen from the judicial trust assets of Puccini.

d. For such "laundering" services of \$6,200, the Nachamie firm took from Puccini's trust assets the sum of \$3,800 as a "laundering" fee.

3a. The point is the "approval" of a sham accounting by Referee Donald Diamond on October 26, 1988 was with the cooperation of Rashba & Pokart and their attorneys, Schneck & Weltman, Esqs.

b. Rashba & Pokart, as court-appointees, as well as their attorneys, have a fiduciary obligation to Puccini as well as to the Court.

c. Schneck & Weltman, Esqs., to whom a copy of the February 3, 1989 letter was sent, have an obligation to disclose to the public and Chairman SOL WACHTLER the relevant facts, including the receipt of a fee "extorted" from Hyman Raffe for such sham accounting.

d. The participation of Schneck & Weltman, Esqs. in the fraudulent order of October 26, 1988, as well as extortion involved therein, compels disciplinary punishment.

4. To expedite a response by Schneck & Weltman, Esqs., a copy of this complaint is being sent directly to them.

Very truly yours,



GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Schneck & Weltman, Esqs.
Hon. Sol Wachtler
Associate Judges, Court of Appeals
Associate Justice David Ross
Associate Justice E. Leo Milonas
Associate Justice Betty W. Ellerin
Associate Justice Ernst H. Rosenberger
Mr. Hyman Raffe
The Media