GEORGE SASSOWER

Attorney-at-Law 10 Stewart Place White Plains, NY 10603-3856 (914) 681-7196

Re: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

Hon. John S. Roberts
Presiding Officer
Judicial Conference of the United States
c/o Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543

April 30, 2012

Honorable Sir:

1. Investigation reveals that the recently received "Order" issued by the Chief Clerk of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, *Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe*, dated August 24, 2010 (Exhibit "A"), with its "constitutionally & legally impossible" disposition, was <u>not</u> supported by any contemporaneous document by a panel of Circuit Court judges which authorized such Order.

The panel judges who authorized the issuance of the Order of August 24, 2010, were and are "phantom" "fictitious" & "non-existent"!

Even if this panel of judges existed, and they do not, the disposition made was "constitutionally & legally impossible"!

It is because the disposition made was & is "constitutionally & legally impossible", that there are no identifiable panel of judges who authorized the disposition made by Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe!

- 2. A small portion of the *undenied & uncontroverted* portion of ten (10) page "Leave to Appeal" affirmation of July 23, 2010 reads as follows:
 - "2. The *only* `bribes' by and/or behalf of *Citibank*, *N.A.* that are here targeted, although *only* a fraction of its total *bribes*, are the more than \$3,500,000 from `sources' where: (1) `public accountings' are *mandatory*, and (2) affirmant has `standing' to `sue & recover'.

Thus, for example, <u>all</u> monies payable 'to the [federal] court', which included affirming's monies, pursuant to the Order by U.S. District Court Judge <u>Eugene H. Nickerson</u> (<u>Raffe v. Citibank</u>, 84Civ0305 [EDNY-EHN]) were 'diverted' to the coffers of <u>Citibank</u>, <u>N.A.</u> and its 'estate chasing' attorneys, <u>Kreindler & Relkin</u>, <u>P.C.</u> ['K&R'], and 'the federal court' and/or the '<u>United States</u>', received <u>none</u> of these federal monies."

3. I insist that unless *Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe "forthwith*" produces a contemporaneous document by a panel of Circuit Court judges which authorized the issuance of Exhibit "A", then she be immediately "discharged"!

Very truly yours,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Administrative Office of the United States Courts Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second
Circuit, held at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl
Street, in the City of New York, on the 24th day of August, two thousand ten,

George Sassower,

Petitioner,

 $\mathbb{V}.$

10-2371-mv

Franklin A. Mahoney, Honorable, as Presiding Justice of the Appellate Division, Third Judicial Department, Wilfred Feinberg, Eugene H. Nickerson, Milton Mollen, Xavier C. Riccobono, Alvin F. Klein, Ira Gammerman, Allan L. Winick, Denis Dillon, Robert Abrams, Anthony Mastroianni, The District Court of Nassau County, David S. Saxe,

Respondents.

Appellant, pro se, moves for leave to file an appeal from a district court order denying his motion for, inter alia, relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(4). Upon due consideration of the appellant's history of vexatious litigation, as demonstrated by his repeated filing of the same allegations against the respondents, it is ORDERED that the motion for leave to file is DENIED. See In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F.2d 1254, 1261-62 (2d Cir. 1984). It is further ORDERED that Appellant's motion for summary reversal is DENIED as moot.

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

ExhibN "A"

SAO-EJC