

GEORGE SASSOWER
Attorney-at-Law
10 Stewart Place
White Plains, NY 10603-3856
(914) 681-7196

August 6, 2012

Federal Bureau of Investigation
26 Federal Plaza,
23rd Floor
New York, NY 10278-0004

Re: Catherine O'Hagan-Wolfe,
#DA 300331178

Gentlemen:

1. The U.S. Department of Justice has suggested that I forward to you my complaint about the fraud of *Catherine O'Hagan-Wolfe* for investigation (#DA 300331178).

The prime victim of the fraud of *Catherine O'Hagan-Wolfe*, who is on the federal payroll, is the United States!

2. The recently received Order issued by the Chief Clerk of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, *Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe*, dated August 24, 2010 is "*counterfeit*"!

Like bogus currency, it has no "legal value" and is being used to defraud!

To conceal the *spurious* nature of the document, *Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe*, caused the document to read that she signed same "FOR THE COURT"!

The Panel of "The Court" was & is "*phantom, fictitious & non-existent!*"

To confirm this accusation, she is requested to forward to you a prior or contemporaneous document which reveals the identity of a panel of three (3) judges, at least two (2) of them, authorized the issuance of this Order.

She will refuse, because she cannot!

3. Assuming, *arguendo*, such prior or contemporaneous written authorization exists, although it does not, such document would also be "*worthless*"!

In the above money damage tort action, the federal judges sued were *Wilfred Feinberg, Eugene H. Nickerson & William C. Conner* and they were defended by former U.S. Attorney *Frederick J. Scullin*, which representation was *unauthorized* and was also a fraud upon the United States, as they were all aware!

As federal judges, *Wilfred Feinberg, Eugene H. Nickerson* or *William C. Conner* could not be "*sued*" in tort for money damages in their "*official capacities*" and in their "*personal capacities*", they could only be defended by non-federal attorneys, at non-federal cost & expense.

Disbursing or receiving *unauthorized* federal funds or services is a felony, punishable by a fine & term of incarceration (31 *U.S.C.* §§1341, 1342, 1350).

Since such defense representation was *unauthorized*, U.S. Attorney *Frederick J. Scullin* had to "cook" his books, as a *Freedom of Information Act* [FOIA #96-2365] confirms.

Obviously federal books would not have been "cooked", a felony [18 *U.S.C.* §1001], had this defense representation been lawful.

Here again, to confirm this accusation, now U.S. District Court Judge, *Frederick J. Scullin* is requested to confirm to you, in written form, his authority for his defense representation. He will refuse!

Wilfred Feinberg, Eugene H. Nickerson & William C. Conner are "*serial felons*", as they have *repeatedly* been defended by federal attorneys in their "*personal capacities*" at *unauthorized* federal cost & expense!

4. Again assuming, *arguendo*, such prior or contemporaneous written authorization exists, although it does not, such document would also be “worthless”!

The New York State money damage tort defendants, sued in their “*personal capacities*” were: *Francis T. Murphy; Milton Mollen; Xavier C. Riccobono; Alvin F. Klein; Ira Gammerman; David B. Saxe*, and *Robert Abrams*, and they were all defended by the office of NYSAG *Robert Abrams*, which was “*constitutionally impossible*”!

Absent the rare exceptions, here never present, in their “*official capacities*”, State judges, officials and/or employees cannot be sued in a federal forum, for money tort damages. In their “*personal capacities*”, these NY State defendants could not be defended by a State’s attorney, at State cost & expense, as being in violation of Amendment XI of *Constitution of the United States (Hans v. Louisiana, 134 U.S. 1 [1890])*.

Since Amendment XI/Hans is a limitation of federal judicial power, a violation results in a “*subject matter jurisdictional*” lethal infirmity rendering the dispositions made to be “*null & void*” (*Pennhurst v. Halderman, 465 U.S. 89, 121 [1984]*).

This *unconstitutional* defense representation, which violated the *Constitution of the United States*, also violated Article XIII, §7 of the *New York State Constitution*.

Similarly, in order to conceal the *unconstitutional* NY State expenditures, New York State books were “cooked”, as confirmed by a response to a *Freedom of Information Law* request (FOIL #03-540).

5. A small portion of the *undenied & uncontroverted* portion of the ten (10) page “*Leave to Appeal*” affirmation of July 23, 2010, reads as follows:

“ The *only ‘bribes’* by and/or behalf of *Citibank, N.A.* that are here targeted, although *only* a fraction of its total *bribes*, are the more than \$3,500,000 from ‘sources’ where: (1) ‘public accountings’ are *mandatory*, and (2) affirmant has ‘standing’ to ‘*sue & recover*’.

Thus, for example, *all* monies payable ‘to the [federal] court’, which included affirmant’s monies, pursuant to the Order by U.S. District Court Judge *Eugene H. Nickerson (Raffe v. Citibank, 84Civ0305 [EDNY-EHN])* were ‘*diverted*’ to the coffers of *Citibank, N.A.* and its ‘estate chasing’ attorneys, *Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. [‘K&R’]*, and ‘the federal court’ and/or the ‘*United States*’, received *none* of these federal monies....

The *only ‘expenditures’* that are here targeted are those where: (1) where ‘public accountings’ are *mandatory*; (2) result in ‘subject matter jurisdictional’ infirmities and (3) where affirmant has ‘standing’ to cause ‘*reimbursement*’ to be made....”

“*Bribes*” to judges & government officials in the sum of \$3,500,000, in & of itself, which *Catherine O’Hagan-Wolfe* is attempting to conceal is worthy of your inquiry!

Very truly yours,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe
Frederick J. Scullin
Jorge Dopico, Esq. # 2012-1008

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, in the City of New York, on the 24th day of August, two thousand ten,

George Sassower,

Petitioner,

v.

10-2371-mv

Franklin A. Mahoney, Honorable, as Presiding Justice of the Appellate Division, Third Judicial Department, Wilfred Feinberg, Eugene H. Nickerson, Milton Mollen, Xavier C. Riccobono, Alvin F. Klein, Ira Gammerman, Allan L. Winick, Denis Dillon, Robert Abrams, Anthony Mastroianni, The District Court of Nassau County, David S. Saxe,

Respondents.

Appellant, *pro se*, moves for leave to file an appeal from a district court order denying his motion for, *inter alia*, relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(4). Upon due consideration of the appellant's history of vexatious litigation, as demonstrated by his repeated filing of the same allegations against the respondents, it is ORDERED that the motion for leave to file is DENIED. See *In re Martin-Trigona*, 737 F.2d 1254, 1261-62 (2d Cir. 1984). It is further ORDERED that Appellant's motion for summary reversal is DENIED as moot.

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk


