GEORGE SASSOWER

Attorney-at-Law
10 Stewart Place
White Plains, NY 10603-3856
(914) 681-7196

Foreperson, Westchester County Grand Jury c/o District Attorney Janet DiFiore 111 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. White Plains, NY 10601

February 8, 2011

"Crime & Corruption in the Courthouse"

Dear Foreperson:

My demand letter to Chief Judge of the State of New York, *Jonathan Lippman*, of January 18, 2011, that he "reimburse" the *State of New York & County of Westchester* for the *unconstitutional* expenditures made on his behalf by the NY State Attorney General ["NYSAG"], for which, once again, there was no denial, and where no response has been received, is annexed.

Charge I

1. Jonathan Lippman, sued in his "personal capacity", in the State & Federal courts in White Plains, for conduct adverse to his "official obligations", despite the prohibition contained in Article XIII §7 of the New York State Constitution, was defended by the Office of the NYSAG at unconstitutional NY State cost & expense.

In the federal court, such *unconstitutional* defense representation of *Jonathan Lippman* also violated Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the United States* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]).

- 2A. The *undenied* Statements in my "Notice to Admit" of July 7, 2004, reveals that *Jonathan Lippman* & his NY State Attorney *knew* that they were *defrauding* the *State of New York*, but they arrogantly continued on their course of misconduct.
- B. With similar arrogance, in the Federal forum, despite the *undenied* allegations in my complaint, *Jonathan Lippman*, was defended at *unconstitutional* cost & expense by an Assistant NYSAG.
- 3. Jonathan Lippman is challenged to publicly deny that he is obligated to "reimburse" the State of New York for the unconstitutional expenditures made on his behalf in the State & Federal forums! It is anticipated he will refuse!

A similar challenge is extended to *each* member of the NY State Commission on Judicial Conduct, including those who he appointed. It is anticipated that *each* of them will *also* refuse!

4. For the past thirty-three (33) years in matters revolving around the *Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, deceased* and/or *Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*, high echelon NY State judges & officials have dragooned or accepted the defense representation, in their "personal capacities", of the NYSAG at unconstitutional NY State cost & expense.

Only the NY State Legislature, which has "exclusive" control of the NY State purse, has the power to waive or excuse "reimbursement" by Jonathan Lippman and any other judge or official, and since the prohibition is Constitutional, it is doubtful that even the NY Legislature, has the power to waive!

5. The result of a Grand Jury indictment or information of *Jonathan Lippman* would be immediate & dramatic!

Immediately, the NYSAG would cease providing defense representation to those high-echelon jurists who were sued in their "personal capacities" at unconstitutional NY State cost & expense!

Immediately, these judges & officials would cease dragooning or accepting defense representation in their "personal capacities", at unconstitutional NY State cost & expense.

Immediately, all those officials & judges who provided and/or received such NY State defense representation, during the past thirty-three (33) years, at *unconstitutional* NY State cost & expense, would offer to make *restitution* to the *State of New York*, in exchange for criminal immunity!

Charge II

- 1. This fraud on the NY State purse was compounded when, in *each* & *every* instance, without exception, *Jonathan Lippman* & the NY State attorneys who purported to defend him, comported themselves to the desires of *Citibank*, *N.A.* its entourage & co-conspirators, although *invariably* to the disadvantage of the *State of New York* and/or the *County of Westchester*!
- 2. Jonathan Lippman & the NY State attorneys are publicly challenged to reveal one single instance where they subordinated the interests of Citibank, N.A. to that of the State of New York and/or the County of Westchester. It is anticipated they will refuse, because they cannot!
- 3. Everyone, including members of the Grand Jury, can examine the relevant files in the Westchester County Courthouse, and they will be compelled to conclude that motivated by monumental "bribes", by and/or on behalf of Citibank, N.A., New York State attorneys & officials, including Jonathan Lippman, have been betraying & defrauding, the State of New York, financially & otherwise.

In 2004, the relevant files were: (1) Geo. Sassower v. Westchester County Dept. Docket # 04-0780; (2) Citibank v. Geo. Sassower, Docket # 04-4818; (3) Geo. Sassower v. Feltman, Docket # 92-20421; (4) Geo. Sassower v. Sheriff, Docket #86-13503, and (5) Citibank, N.A. v. Geo. Sassower, Docket # 04-7987.

4. The result of an inspection of these files by the Grand Jury would result in an <u>immediate</u> offer to provide "restitution" to the State of New York, County of Westchester and to the other victims, of "millions of dollars", since the documentary evidence is clear & conclusive!

Charge III

1. As of 1989, the approximate amount of "bribes" by and/or behalf of Citibank, N.A. to judges & officials, was \$10,000,000, all of which were and are the properties of the United States and/or State of New York.

As of 1989, more than \$3,500,000 of the approximately \$10,000,000 in "bribes" by and/or behalf of Citibank, N.A. to judges & officials, were from "sources" where "public accountings" were & are mandatory!

As of 1989, of the more than \$3,500,000 in "bribes" from "sources" where "public accountings" were & are mandatory, more than \$2,500,000 were monies which were and are the properties of the United States and/or State of New York, the balance of "bribes", were from the judicial trust assets of *Puccini Clothes, Ltd.*, an involuntarily dissolved corporation.

The mandatory "accountings" and Judiciary Law §39-a Statements would reveal the recipients of these "bribes" and compel "restitution" to the victims, including the State of New York. Therefore, this documents do not exist, albeit mandatory.

In 1989, *United Press, International* ["*UPI*"] investigated *The Citibank Bribes For Total Immunity Enterprise* ["*The Enterprise*"], and as published in the *NY Village Voice* (June 6, 1989), the following appears:

"By signing three extraordinary agreements [Hyman] Raffe In exchange, the court agreed to let him go free. The tab so far has come to more than \$2.5 million, paid to both the Feltman (Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. ["FKM&F"]) and Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. ["K&R"]) firms. ... Raffe continues to pay with checks

from his A.R. Fuels Co. business. `That's outrageous. It's unbelievable. It's disturbing. ... 'Said [NYS] Attorney General [Robert] Abrams when he saw copies of the checks."

Copies of these "extraordinary agreements" along with other incriminating documents, are in the possession of the NYSAG, and available to *anyone* under the *Freedom of Information Law* [FOIL #03-540]. However, if the request is made through the media, a response by the NYSAG is immediate.

These agreements expressly provide that as long as *Hyman Raffe* keeps paying, he will not be incarcerated, as was his attorneys, *Geo. Sassower*, Esq. and *Sam Polur*, Esq., who were incarcerated at government cost & expense!

Since these "extortion" payments were made pursuant to non-summary criminal contempt proceedings, these monies are the properties of the United States and/or the State of New York, not *Citibank-K&R* or *Feltman-FKM&F*, which no one ever denied or controverted (*Gompers v. Bucks Stove*, 221 U.S. 418, 447 [1911]; 17 *C.J.S. Contempt* §92, at p. 268; 21 *NY Jur 2d., Contempt*, §185, at p.568-569).

Although most of this \$2,500,000 is the property of the *State of New York* and copies of the cancelled checks were seen by NYSAG *Robert Abrams*, neither he nor his successors, including NYSAG *Andrew M. Cuomo* made *any* attempt to recover these monies in favor of the *State of New York*.

Is there any question of the actions the Grand Jury will take, when they see the *undenied* evidence in the inspected files???

- More than \$1,000,000 in "bribes" by Citibank, N.A. came from the judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., wherein the NYSAG is the statutory fiduciary, with the mandatory obligation of the NYSAG to make application to compel an "accounting & distribution" after the expiration of eighteen (18) months (NY Bus. Corp. Law §1216). However, as a Freedom of Information Law request, and the inspected files, will also reveal, that in more than thirty (30) years, none of these mandatory applications have been made!
- 4. Jonathan Lippman became Chief Administrator of the Office of Court Administration with the understanding that the mandate of the law notwithstanding, he would not compel any accountings or Judiciary Law§30-a Statements to be made, or compel restitution, even when the beneficiary was & is the State of New York.

Jonathan Lippman was sued in his "personal capacity" because of his intentional betrayal of his official obligations, which he "admitted" in the "Notice to Admit" of July 7, 2004!

5. Jonathan Lippman became Presiding Justice of the Appellate Division, First Department, because he was able, as Chief Administrator of the Office of Court Administration, to prevent these mandatory filings and restitution to the victims being made.

Jonathan Lippman became Chief Judge of the State of New York because he was able, as Presiding Justice of the Appellate Division, to prevent these mandatory filings and restitution to the victims to be made.

6, The tenure in office of *Jonathan Lippman*, as Chief Judge of the State of New York, and other high-echelon judges & officials will end when these mandatory filings are made!

Respectfully submitted,

GEORGE SASSOWER

GEORGE SASSOWER

Attorney-at-Law 10 Stewart Place White Plains, NY 10603-3856 (914) 681-7196

January 18, 2011

Jonathan Lippman Chief Judge, Court of Appeals 30 Eagle Street, Albany, New York, 12207

For Public Distribution

Sir,

and the second

1. In the State & Federal Courts in White Plains, Westchester County, you were being sued & defended in your "personal capacities" by Assistant NY State Attorney Generals Katherine E. Timon & Rachel Zaffrann at unconstitutional NY State cost & expense, although prohibited by Article XIII §7 of the New York State Constitution.

In the Federal Court, such representation *also* violated Amendment XI of the *Constitution* of the Constitution of the United States (Hans v. Louisiana, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]).

Your *personal* obligation is to *reimburse* the *State of New York & County of Westchester* for such *unconstitutional* expenditures has never been questioned and is here publicly demanded!

- This fraud on the NY State treasury was compounded by the fact that at *all* times, without *any* exception, Assistant NY State Attorney Generals *Katherine E. Timon & Rachel Zaffrann* comported themselves to serve & advance the interests of *Citibank*, *N.A.* and its entourage, which invariably were adverse to the interests to the *State of New York* and County of Westchester (see, *Citibank v. Geo. Sassower*, Supreme, Westchester Docket #4818-04).
- The *undenied* Notice to Admit, dated & served on you & your attorney, on July 7, 2004, reads in full, as follows (*Geo. Sassower v. Westchester County Dept.*, Sup., West., Docket #0780-04): "Part "A":
 - 1. The defendant, *Jonathan Lippman*, Esq. is aware that he is being sued in this action, in his personal capacity, for money damages, for conduct adverse to the legitimate interests of New York State and his official office.
 - 2. The defendant, *Jonathan Lippman* Esq., is aware that in this personal capacity action, he is being defended by Assistant NY State Attorney General Rachel Zaffrann, at unauthorized NY State cost and expense.
 - Attorney General and his office was and is intending to "cook" their official books and records in order to conceal from NY State fiscal authorities, including the NY State legislature, that unlawful expenditures have been and are being made.

 Part "B":
 - 1. There is no "final accounting" for the judicial trust assets of *Puccini Clothes*, *Ltd.*, an involuntarily dissolved New York corporation (*cf.* 22 *NYCRR* §202.52[e]).
 - There is no judgment or final order terminating the Puccini judicial trust proceedings.
 - There is no order discharging *Lee Feltman*, Esq., the court-appointed receiver for Puccini.
 - 4. There is no order discharging *Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland*, Feltman's surety.

Part "C":

- 1 . .

- 1. There are none of the mandatory *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Acting NY Supreme Court, now NY Associate Appellate Justice, *David B. Saxe* of the NY Appellate Division, First Department, or by Special Referee *Donald Diamond* or by anyone else for the Puccini judicial trust.
- 2. Mr. Justice Saxe and Special Referee Diamond dissipated Puccini's judicial trust assets, and other assets, as "bribes" for judges, officials and others.
- 3. The compelled filing of *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Judge Saxe and Referee Diamond would compel restitution to Puccini for these diverted assets.
- 4. Neither Chief Administrator *Jonathan Lippman*, nor any of his predecessors in office, have made any effort to compel the filings of *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Judge Saxe or Referee Diamond.

 Part "D":
- 1. There are none of the mandatory *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Acting Surrogate, now Supreme Court Justice, *Burton Joseph* for awards made from the *Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, deceased* whose almost exclusive beneficiaries were three (3) motherless infants.
- 2. The State of New York is the *parens patriae* for these three (3) motherless infants."
- 4A. The *undenied & uncontroverted* allegation of my complaint in *Geo. Sassower v. Starr* (338 B.R. 212 [SDNY 2005])), reads as follows:
 - "1. Plaintiff is a born American citizen, a battle-starred veteran of World War II, and is entitled to all rights, privileges and immunities provided in Constitution and Laws of the United States. ...
 - 3A. Insofar as the defendants may be judges, officials and/or employees of state government, they are here being sued in their "personal", *not* "official", capacities.
 - B. There is nothing in this complaint which seeks to obtain any money damages, compensatory or punitive, against the state governments, or seeks to impose the cost of any defense representation on any state governments,
 - Insofar as the defendants may be New York State judges, officials and/or employees, in view of Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the United States*, as interpreted in *Hans v. Louisiana* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]), there can be no question that they are being sued in their "personal" capacities and must be defended by non-NY State attorneys, at non-NY State cost and expense."
- B. Notwithstanding the aforementioned uncontroverted allegations, openly flaunted in "hard published print", the title page reads:
 - "Attorneys for State Defendants, *Eliot Spitzer*, *Francis T. Murphy*, and *Jonathan Lippman*: [by their attorney] *Katherine E. Timon*, Esq., Assistant Attorney General of the State of New York".
- C. Only the most arrogant would dragoon NY State defense representation under the aforementioned allegations, and only the most incredibly stupid jurist would publish such fraud in "hard published print:
- I expect, by return mail, an unequivocal commitment by you to expeditiously reimburse the State of New York & County of Westchester.

Yours,