GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW
51 DAVIS AVENUE
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10605

914-949-2169

January 7, 1987

Chief Administrative Judge, Joseph W. Bellacosa Office of Court Administration 270 Broadway,
New York, New York, 10007

Re: Puccini Clothes, Ltd.

Honorable Sir:

- 1. Perhaps Your Honor would explain to me and/or to the Senate Judiciary Committee, at the forthcoming confirmation hearings, why today, 6 years, 7 months, and 3 days after Puccini Clothes, Ltd., was involuntarily dissolved, there still is no accounting filed, according to the docket books maintained in the County Clerk's Office (see enclosure).
- 2a. It is also 1 year and 1 day after the effective date of the Your Honor's Uniform Rules, which provides that a receiver must file with the court "an accounting at least once each year" (202.52[e]).
- b. If Your Honor's Rules were intended to apply only to honest receivers, I believe they should be amended to so explicitly state.
- 3a. I think Your Honor's rules should also have a warning label that if anyone attempts to compel a corrupt receiver to account, he might be convicted, sentenced, and incarcerated, without benefit of a trial or hearing, albeit the same is ministerially mandated by the XIV Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, absent a plea of guilty (Bloom v. Illinois, 391 U.S. 194).
- b. I will not sue the Sheriff of Westchester County, Mr. Chief Administrator, for breaking down the doors of my apartment to arrest me, since he (through his deputy) has now promised that never again will he break down the doors of any person in order to effectuate a civil arrest.

- Have you no shame, Mr. Chief Administrator, that I had to resort to the federal forum in order to vindicate my basic constitutional right to a trial before conviction and incarceration?
- Have you no shame, Mr. Chief Administrator, that the media photographed me in front of the "non-public courtroom" of the corrupt Referee Donald Diamond (Judiciary Law §4), with the prominently displayed sign (Newsday, Nov. 2, 1986), that limits admission to those receiving his permission.
- Mr. Chief Administrator, I will not sue the Sheriff of Westchester County. I appreciate and applaud his refusal to give obedience to the depraved Orders and applications that originated in New York County which directed him to "break into" my residence, "seize all word processing equipment and soft ware", "inventory" my possessions, and "tear open my mattress"!
- At Runnymede, more than 750 years ago, King John signed the Magna Carta, which provides (¶ 45):

will not make any justices, constables, sheriffs, or bailiffs, but of such as know the law of the realm and mean duly to observe it."

- While we have and still are making justices who observe and obey the "law of the realm", refuse to notwithstanding Article VI [2] of the U.S. Constitution, at least we have in Westchester County, a Sheriff, who does give obedience to basic constitutional rights.
- Have you no shame, Mr. Chief Administrator, that I have to relate this and other similar information to Hon. Warren G. Burger during the bicentennial year of the adoption of the Constitution of the United States?
- No, Mr. Chief Administrator, I will not, and never will, succumb to these "criminals with law degrees" who steal, perjure, corrupt, extort and blackmail, receiving the affirmative cooperation of your office and corrupt members of the judiciary, a matter on which you are personally aware.
- These "barbaric criminals with law degrees" and their black robed pariahs, can neither account for the trust assets stolen and plundered, or for their unethical, indeed, criminal, conduct!
- c. No, Mr. Chief Administrator, I will not purchase any "judicial indulgences" as an offense to "God and Man". I had thought the "indulgence" controversy was firmly resolved during the summer of 1519 in the Luther-Eck debates, or was I wrong?

- d. As I have made Your Honor aware, "indulgences" are sold in this matter in New York County with unabashed arrogance, and for prices even that would have astonished that unscupulous friar, Johann Tetzel.
- 7. I assume that when the inevitable day arrives, I will be informed that I will not have to spend any time in purgatory, as I am given credit for the time I spent in the "hell" of Your Honor's judicial system!
- I also enclose my petition to the N.Y. State Assembly to "Impeach Administrative Judge, Xavier C. Riccobono".

I assume the Senate Judiciary Committee will ask Your Honor about Your Honor's knowledge of Administrator Riccobono's criminal activities and Your Honor's responsive actions, vel non.

Respectfully,

SASSOWER

Senator John R. Dunne CC: Senator Mary B. Goodhue Senator Susi Oppenheimer

Hon. Theodore R.\Kupferman

Hon. Ernst H. Rosenberger

Hon. Isaac Rubin

Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs.

Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.

Sen. West. Co. Attorney Brian J. Powers, Esq.

Dept. Sheriff William K. Batters

Sam Polur, Esq. (unidentified)

Puccini Defendant 5/15/86 Inspan Matin Gran Index Number Assigned 5)15/86 paper from Weslehester

6 16 86 IAS 27 motion granted 9/19/86 Papers from Wesoli

6 25 86 Remittitive 12/3/86 Copy Order

7:10-86 6 25 86 Remittation 7/3/1/0/hotre Copy Order JUL - 7 1886 11AS 27 Lenne 8) 7 8 86 PADER FROM WESTELESTER GUTTY 710 86 ONDER 14327 COMMITMENT 7 86 af Sure 13 86 Capy ander 9 17 86 Litter 1 86 Litter 29 86 Affrimation 12-23-86 (op) Order & Warrant of Committement 1 5-86 Nohe of Change of Address Address Defendant Day onth Index Number Assigned

GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW
51 DAVIS AVENUE
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10605

914-949-2169

January 1, 1987

Honorable Assemblymen and Assemblywomen of the State of New York
Legislative Office Building,
Albany, New York, 12248

IMPEACH Administrative Judge XAVIER C. RICCOBONO

Honorable Assemblymen and Assemblywomen,

- 1a. I lay certain facts before your body, request their investigation, and if found true, request that articles of impeachment be lodged against Administrative Judge Xavier C. Riccobonc, Administrator of Supreme Court, New York County, pursuant to Article VI, §24 of the Constitution of the State of New York.
- b. The facts and grave charges contained herein are conservatively stated:
- 2a. Puccini Clothes, Ltd. ["Puccini"], a solvent domestic corporation, was involuntarily dissolved on June 4, 1980, its assets and affairs becoming, at that point, and ever since, custodia legis.
- b. Administrative Judge Xavier C. Riccobono, was then, and has been, continuously every since, Administrative Judge of the Supreme Court, New York County.
- c. Notwithstanding the requirement that there should be a final accounting within one (1) year, with the obligation placed on the Attorney General, as a mandatory "duty" to compel such accounting, if not made within eighteen (18) months (Bus. Corp. Law, §1216), no accounting has been rendered, although seventy-nine months (79) months has now elapsed.
- d. No true accounting can be rendered without immediately revealing the massive larceny of judicial trust assets, perjury, judicial corruption, and criminal extortion.

- 3a. I charge, and can easily prove by documentary and other evidence, that such "helpless judicial trust" has been made the subject of massive larceny and plundering by Administrator Xavier C. Riccobono, Puccini's trustee, and his co-conspiring "friends"!
- b. I charge, and can easily prove, that Administrator Xavier C. Riccobono, who never acted in a judicial capacity in the Puccini litigation, has and still is corrupting and improperly influencing, all the judges in his court, the Appellate Division, and other courts, nisi prius and appellate, state and federal, directly and/or indirectly, to take whatever steps, however unlawful and unconstitutional, which may be necessary to conceal such criminal conduct and restitution by the victims, including his helpless trust!
- c. I charge that Administrator Xavier C. Riccobono, has corrupted the Attorney General, Hon. Robert Abrams, Senior Attorney, David S. Cook, Esg., and other members in that office, so as to disobey their statutory "duty" and make application for such mandatory accounting. Indeed, when such application is made by others, on behalf of Puccini, the Office of the Attorney General incredibly opposes same!
- 4a. Any true accounting of Puccini's judicial trust would show that, from June 4, 1980 to about November 1981, there was more than \$4,000,000 in bank debits, which except for "wash transactions", were all unauthorized, unlawful, and criminal.

While no one disputes such unauthorized debits constituted unabashed larceny, Administrator Riccobono, and his two (2) personally designated appointees, to wit., Referee Donald Diamond and Mr. Justice Ira Gammerman, have prevented the recovery of same by Puccini, from Riccobono's "co-conspiring criminal friends".

b. Puccini's entire judicially entrusted inventory was liquidated during the seven (7) month period between June 4, 1980 and January of 1981, by approximately twelve (12) employees!

The gross income, according to the Puccini books and records, was \$512 -- \$512 gross!

Again Administrator Riccobono has prevented the recovery of the true value of such inventory from Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. [" K&R"], who engineered this larceny!

Riccobono's larceny is from his own and his court's trust!

c. The receiver, Lee Feltman, Esq. ["Feltman"], and his law firm, Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. ["FKM&F"] -- "Riccobono's friends" -- have made no attempt to collect the accounts receivables; pre-paid expenses; unearned insurance; or any other assets, including the aforementioned, nevertheless FKM&F took from Puccini the sum of \$680,439.01.

In addition to continuously taking a position contrary to their judicial trust, FKM&F was prohibited from receiving any monies pursuant to the mandatory prohibition contained in 22 NYCRR §660.24, which the Office of Court Administration represented to the Appellate Division, would be obeyed, but was not in the Riccobono fiefdom.

- 5a. When there was allegations made that Puccini's judicial assets had been the subject of larceny, Feltman petitioned the Riccobono forum to have Rashba & Pokart ["R&P"] appointed as investigatory accountants.
- b. R&P, it was thereafter determined were the accountants for K&R, and the law firm of Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C. ["ANBL&K"] had unlawfully taken \$10,000 of Puccini's trust assets, noted this withdrawal to be "legal fees". This \$10,000 from Puccini's trust assets were not "legal fees", but instead these monies were "laundered" by ANBL&K, with \$6,200 being given to R&P in payment of an invoice by R&P to K&R, with ANBL&K keeping \$3,800 as a "laundering fee".

With K&R and ANBL&K, as the accused firms, the Riccobono forum appointed R&P to investigate K&R, its client, and ANBL&K, the firm that "laundered" monies to it!

c. Nevertheless, although R&P received \$22,243.35 for providing no services which benefited Puccini, the larceny was sufficiently massive that it could not render even a false and deceptive accounting!

Consequently, no accounting can ever be rendered, without exposing the Riccobono criminality, unless the opposition succumbs to Riccobono's judicial barbarism.

6. Those resisting such egregious criminal conduct by the "Riccobono entourage", like myself, are convicted, sentenced, and incarcerated, without benefit of any trial; have their bank accounts levied upon, albeit the absence of any judgments; and orders issued directing the Sheriff to "break into" my premises, seize any and all "word processors and soft ware", and "inventoring" my possessions! -- Heil Hitler!

- a. Unquestionably, no American court nor judge has the power to convict, sentence, and incarcerate anyone for non-summary criminal contempt, absent a plea of guilty (Bloom v. Illinois, 391 U.S. 194).
- (1) Nevertheless, I have been convicted, sentenced, and incarcerated, without benefit of a trial -- three (3) times in one year!

No, I will not purchase "judicial indulgences", openly peddled in in the Riccobono forum, or any other forum, as an offense to God, as Martin Luther asserted.

(2) Sam Polur, Esq. ["Polur"], was likewise convicted, sentenced, and incarcerated, without benefit of a trial, based upon an uncorroborated perjurious affidavit by FKM&F. Even when the false nature of the accusatory affidavit went undenied, Polur was not released (Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83).

Despite the undenied fact that the accusation against Polur was false, now, one and one half (1 1/2) years later, Polur still cannot obtain the vacatur of such conviction in the Riccobono forum!

(3) Hyman Raffe ["Raffe"], was also unconstitutionally convicted, based on an affidavit which would not support a \$25 award in a civil action. Unlike myself and Polur, who were incarcerated, Raffe was dealt with by the Riccobono co-conspirators, in the absence of his incarcerated attorneys, and for payments still being made, ranging in the hundreds of thousands of dollars, has not been incarcerated!

As long as Raffe cooperates, and does what FKM&F and K&R desire, Raffe will not be incarcerated, or cause to suffer any further monetary penalties!

b. Although there was no judgment against me, my bank deposits were executed upon by Sheriff of the City of New York, by virtue of a (judgment) property execution issued by FKM&F.

Still claiming to hold a wholly unsatisfied [phantom] judgment, the Sheriff of Westchester County was issued a another property execution by FKM&F.

Consequently, I had no reasonable alternative, but to place my monies in "my non-interest bearing mattress". Whereupon, FKM&F made application to have the Sheriff of Westchester County, break into my apartment and "rip open" my mattress.

Thereafter, still based on a false assertion that there was a wholly unsatisfied judgment, the Sheriff of Westchester County was directed:

"to enter, search and seize any and all word processors, word processing equipment and related software, including without limitation an Exxon word processor ... and if entry cannot be obtained by peaceful means, the Sheriff shall enter the premises by any means necessary and may break and enter the premises; and it is further

ORDERED ... the Sheriff of Westchester County shall file with Referee Donald Diamond an affidavit setting forth in detail and with particularity the property of George Sassower in his possession, and in the event that the Sheriff has not seized the personalty described in the preceding decretal paragraph, describing in detail the efforts made to do so ..."

Here again, the only reasonable alternative, was to flee in the middle of the night, with my word processing equipment, and my files and remain in some undisclosed secret location.

- 7a. Puccini, albeit involuntarily dissolved, is a "person" within the XIV Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, the "supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State [are] bound thereby" (Art. VI[2]), entitled to "due process", "equal protection", and other fundamental constitutional rights.
- b. Puccini is also entitled to "due process" and "equal protection" under Article 1, §§6, 11) of the Constitution of the State of New York.
- c. In the manifest view of Riccobono and his criminal patrons, the assets of such involuntarily dissolved corporations are, "judicial fortune cookies", with the right only to be plundered, raped, and made the subject of criminal larceny.
- d. Undoubtedly, "thieves for their robbery have authority, when judges steal themselves" (Shakespeare's Measure for Measure, 2:02, 175).
- 8a. These incarcerations without trial, break-in orders, and sale of "judicial indulgences" are not only unconstitutional, they are an affront to civilized man.

- b. Under no circumstances will I, nor should anyone else, remain silent while basic civilized rights are so arrogantly and unabashedly violated.
 - c. I submit your duty is to investigate the charges made herein, and if found true, especially during bicentennial year of the adoption of the Constitution of the United States, "let right be done"!
- d. To assure that "right be done", at my own cost and expense, I intend to circulate copies of this petition to the To assure that "right be done", at my own cost and media, the bar associations, and other interested persons and groups.

SEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Administrative Judge, Xavier Q. Riccobono

"person" within the XI United States, the "sup

b. Fuccini is also entitled to "due process" and

the only reasonable alternative, was