GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW
51 DAVIS AVENUE
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10605

914-949-2169

Subportant; Sisnorelli, Sertell, Berger, Abazza, Marian,

October 21, 1986

Hon. Eurton S. Joseph Family Court: Nassau County 1200 Old Country Road, Westbury, New York, 11590

Re: People ex rel Sassower v. Sheriff
Hearing: Nov. 6, 1986

Honorable Sir:

- 1a. The letter received today from Richard C. Cahn, Esq., dated the 20th inst., indictes that Your Honor has complied with my present adversaries desires, denied or held in abeyance my motion for summary relief, and has determined to have the above hearing go forward.
- b. I will, consequently, in "four dimension", "living color", "nail the jellyfish to the wall", and produce the full and complete truth, with respect to the issue before Your Honor.
- c. There will be no unavoidable "loose ends", on my part, and with that intent, I enclose some subpoenas for Your Honor's counter-signature.
- d. Although presumably, some of these subpoenas do not need Your Honor's counter-signature, to resolve and all doubts on the subject, they are enclosed.
- e. Since time is short, Your Honor's immediate attention to counter-signing and returning same is respectfully requested.
- Your Honor's attention is drawn to the fact that I am entitled to "everyone's evidence", and the subpoena merely represents the judicial obligation of all witnesses to come forward (Application of Barbara, 7 A.D.2d 340, 183 N.Y.S.2d 147 [3d Dept.]. In other words, it is their duty, as much as my right!
- b. Members of the judiciary, are not excepted from the obligation to testify (Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24).

- c. Since this habeas corpus proceeding, is criminally related, the right of subpoena has constitutional implications (Amendments VI, XIV U.S. Constitution).
- 3a. In view of the evidence heard by Your Honor in the Estate matter, or the evidence heard by Judge Melia, there was absolutely no reason for me to have been fearful nor try, nor did I ever try, to avoid a hearing where the accusation was that I "willfully failed to comply with a lawful order directing [me] to turn over books and records", or similar contrived nonsense!
- b. Why have my adversaries, past and present, avoided or tried to avoid a trial on the merits of the accusation?
- c. Why in every contempt proceeding, have efforts always been insure by non-presence or the possibilities maximized so that I would not be able to attend such hearings?
- d. Why each time I am arrested and hauled into Suffolk County, do they refuse to give me a trial on the merits?
- e. In short, contrary to the false and misleading propaganda circulated, it was my adversaries who continuously staged situations to make it appear that my physical non-appearance was deliberate and intentional, with its attendant adverse implications, when it was really they, not me, who consistently avoided a hearing on the subject!
- 4a. Did Judge Harry Seidell, Surrogate Ernest L. Signorelli, Vincent G. Berger, Jr., Esq., Anthony Mastroianni, and everyone else know that I was engaged before the Order of Contempt was signed? Of course!
- Order of Conviction under the circumstances? Without guestion! They had the long opinion of Hon. George F.X. McInerney before them at the time, and in addition thereto, Mr. Berger, according to his time sheets, spent three hours of research on the subject, before submitting an Order and Warrant.
- C. The Order and Warrant was immediately submitted, immediately signed, and immediately turned over to the Sheriff for execution!
- d. Had I appeared on March 7, 1978, Mr. Berger and Mr. Mastroianni would have certainly found some excuse for not going forward with the hearing, that is also certain.

- 5a. No one was looking for "books and records" when the Sheriff of Suffolk County, was making numerous forays into Westchester County and New York City, at astronimical costs to the County!
- b. No one was looking for "books and records", when I was seized, taken to Suffolk County, and incarcerated therein!
- c. No one was looking for "books and records" when they incarcerated by wife and middle child!
- d. No one was looking for "books and records" when they were telling neighbors that I was a "fugitive from justice", practically destroying my youngest child!
- 6a. With seven (7) accountings on file in New York County, Berger and Abuza told Judge Seidell that they could not account in Suffolk County, because I did not account in New York County or that books and records were missing!
- b. It was Berger, Abuza, Mastroianni, and Signorelli, who did not desire to render an accounting, and needed some public excuse to support that fact!
- 7a. Since Holmes' dog "could distinguish between being kicked, and tripped over" (The Common Law, p. 3), so can I, so could Judge Melia, so could the Grievance Committee, and I am absolutely certain so can Your Honor or any fair minded person!
- b. Since everyone desires a "hearing" on this "so-called" issue, and desires to avoid the ultimate issue, to wit., the issue of whether I was in criminal contempt, I, as a mere actor in this tragic charade, will play my part as best I can, aided by subpoenad testimony.
- c. No, I did not "default", and certainly did not waive my constitutional right to be present on this criminal charge, for it was my adversaries who repeatedly refused to proceed to trial on the issue, when I was present!
- d. This was, and still is, "blackmail" and "extortion", and the "machinery of justice" is being used by my adversaries, past and present, for that end, with Your Honor and myself, and the Estate, the innocent victims!
- 8a. I hope that Your Honor will exert his best efforts to have made available the entire court file!
- b. Ninety percent (90%) of a court file, is not lost and misplaced by accident, when all that survives is the incriminating documentation.

- c. That the Surrogate's Court file was being continuously pruned was evident to Judge Melia, during my wife's hearings, when affidavits of her actual engagement, or my hospitalization, all sent by certified mail, were missing, and instead the Surrogate's Court records revealed an unexplained default.
- d. That the Surrogate's Court file was extensively pruned was also evident to Judge Melia, during my hearings, when all exculpatory, although concededly filed, documents were also all missing.

9. Most especially, I do not wish anyone, during or after the hearings to forget, that it was my adversaries who desired same!

Respectfully

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Richard C. Cahn, Esq.
Robert M. Calica, Esq.
Doris L. Sassower, Esq.