RECEIVED

NOV 9 1977

DEPARTMENT OF LAW, NEW YORK CITY OFFICE

The second section is the second

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GEORGE SASSOWER,

Plaintiff.

No. 77-C-1447

- against -

ERNEST L. SIGNORELLI, ANTHONY
MASTROIANNI, VINCENT G. BERGER,
JR., JOHN P. FINNERTY, ALLEN
KROOS, ANTHONY WISNOSKI and
LEONARD J. PUGATCH.

Memorandum of Decision and Order

Defendants.

November 8, 1977

$\underline{A} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{S} :$

GEORGE SASSOWER, ESQ., Plaintiff $\underline{\text{Pro}}$ Se 75 Wykagyl Station New Rochelle, New York 10804

HON. LOUIS J. LEFKOWITZ
Attorney General of the State of New York
Attorney for Defendants LEONARD J. PUGATCH jand
ERNEST L. SIGNORELLI

2 World Trade Center
New York, New York 10047
By: Leonard J. Pugatch, Deputy Assistant
Attorney General - Of Counsel

JAMES G. MARSH, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant VINCENT G. BERGER
6351 Jericho Turnpike
Commack, New York 11725

DAVIDOW and DAVIDOW, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendants JOHN P. FINNERTY,
ALLAN KROOS and ANTHONY WISNOSKI
110 North Ocean Avenue
Patchogue, New York 11772

MISHLER, CH. J.

Plaintiff moves for an order to reargue the motions that were before this court on September 2, 1977. These motions were granted and the complaint dismissed against the remaining defendants in a memorandum of decision and order that was filed on September 21, 1977. A notice of motion for reargument must be served within ten days after the filing of the court's determination of the original motion. Rule 9(m). General Rules of the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. Since the instant notice of motion was filed on October 6, 1977 -- more than 10 days after September 21, 1977 -- plaintiff's motion has not been timely made. Even assuming arguendo that plaintiff had filed this motion within the requisite period, he has failed to allege a Rule 60(b) ground which justifies relief from a final judgment or order.

^{/1} Defendant Berger moved to dismiss the complaint under Rule 12(b)(6) of the F.R.Civ.P., and defendants Pugatch and Signorelli moved under Rule 12(c) of the F.R.Civ.P. for a judgment on the pleadings.

Plaintiff's motion for reargument is in all respects denied, and it is

SO ORDERED.

U. S. D. J.

Defendant Berger's motion for an attorney's fee is denied. Plaintiff's §1983 claim does not fall within that class of civil rights actions for which Congress intended to award counsel fees. See Zarcone v. Perry, et al., Order, September 21, 1977).