

entitled "Disclosure Proceedings" and "Conclusion." The memorandum of law, 57 pages in length, not only makes reference to the relief sought in the notice of motion for partial summary judgment but also makes reference to relief requested for pleading defects, another action pending and a determination to be made based on the Second Department decision other than the Federal determinations.

At page 13 of the memorandum, the author writes of a "detailed analysis of the pleadings in each of the dismissed Federal actions and the present amended complaint." A careful reading of all 57 pages fails to identify which causes of action in the complaint under attack should be dismissed; which causes of action in the Federal pleadings they duplicate; and which portions of the Federal judgment necessarily make final decisions with respect to the present complaints' allegations.

I have no doubt that at some point all of these items are covered in the affidavit and the memorandum of law; and I appreciate the confidence in me demonstrated by the moving attorney in his assurance that if I look carefully enough I will be able to discover the grounds for dismissal of the yet to be identified portions of the present complaint.

Nonetheless, I find it to be the attorney's obligation to make that analysis, first, so that the Court may be informed of the precise claims made and, second, so that the adversary may be in a position to respond.

If the motion seeking stay of disclosure heretofore ordered is related to the summary judgment motion and insofar as disclosure has heretofore been ordered by other Judges with a denial of a stay by the Appellate Division, the stay requested of me is likewise denied.