

GEORGE SASSOWER
#16293054
Federal Medical Center
Rochester, Mn. 55903-4600

Ms. Cathy Bird
c/o New Jersey Law Journal
238 Mulberry Street
P.O. Box 20081
Newark, New Jersey 07101-6081

June 30, 1989

Dear Ms. Bird,

1a. START with the quintessential inquiry of Lee Feltman, Esq. (the receiver) or Robert Abrams, the Attorney General of N.Y. State (the statutory fiduciary) for a copy of an accounting for the judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd.

b. There is none!

2a. In every American jurisdiction, a court appointed receiver, as an agent of the court must file a public accounting. Such filing of a public accounting cannot be waived for the public is entitled to know how the judiciary and its appointees dispose of judicial trust assets.

b. In New York such accounting must be filed "at least once a year" (22 NYCRR 202.52) and if not filed, settled, and distribution made within 18 months, the Attorney General must make application to the court for its filing, settlement, and distribution of the assets (Bus. Corp. Law 1216).

c. It is now more than nine (9) years since Puccini was involuntarily dissolved on June 4, 1989, and not a single accounting has been filed, nor has Robert Abrams made a single application -- not one!

3a. Since none of the creditors or stockholders receive a single cent, where did all of Puccini's massive judicial assets go?

b. A true accounting will reveal that Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. with Citibank engineered the ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ massive larceny of Puccini's assets, and while they were stealing they were inundating the judicial forum with perjurious affidavits denying same.

b. The above unlawfully agreed with Feltman that if he concealed such massive larceny and took no steps to recover such assets, ^{the} balance of Puccini's tangible assets would be turned over to him.

d. Since Feltman's maximum fee is determined by statute (Bus. Corp. Law 1217), the transfer of Puccini's tangible assets was to be made to Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs., although they were never judicially appointed and never did anything to advance the interests of Puccini. In short, for multiple reasons they are not entitled to anything.

e. Under the aforementioned circumstances no accounting can ever be rendered without exposing the aforementioned criminal conduct including "pay-offs" and otherwise corrupt activities of judges and other governmental officials.

4a. The evidence of the massive larceny of Puccini's judicial trust assets, the unjustified plundering of the balance of its tangible assets, the perjury, the extortion, and other criminal activities, including judicial and official corruption is documented by checks, sworn written confessions and admissions, and other evidence.

b. On October 26, 1988, Referee Donald Diamond of New York County, "approved" the "final accounting" for Puccini with the consent of Robert Abrams's office.

c. However, there is no accounting, final or otherwise. It is phantom.

d. To prove this point, let Feltman, Robert Abrams, or Referee Donald Diamond mail you a copy of this "phantom" accounting, or else make demand for same under the Freedom of Information Act.

e. Obviously to have Robert Abrams as the statutory watchdog, assuring that the judiciary and its appointees act honestly and properly is like Richard III babysit for your nephews!

6a. I have personally substantial interests in Puccini, including a judgment and have an absolute constitutional ~~right~~ and statutory right to demand an accounting (Bus. Corp. Law 1216) and recover on Puccini's behalf the monies stolen and plundered from it.

b. Once Puccini's accounting is filed essentially all of the Puccini litigation comes to a close -- not before.

7. Although presently incarcerated, I am writing to you as a sure winner.

a. My adversaries, which includes the Attorney General of New York, cannot avoid the fact that there is no accounting.

b. My adversaries cannot avoid the fact that Diamond approved, with Abrams' consent a "phantom" accounting.

~~Mxxx~~

c. What will the public say when they learn that monies payable "to the (federal) court" wind up in the private pockets of Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. and their clients.

d. What will the public say when they learn that my client paid \$2.5 million dollars to the Feltman and Kreindler firms so as to avoid incarceration.

e. Think of it, manifestly unconstitutional trialless convictions, but for the payment of \$2.5 million dollars, the execution of general releases to federal and state judges, Robert Abrams and others, and other consideration, you avoid incarceration.

f. Even the famous "indulgence peddler", Johann Tetzl would be horrified by the above.

g. I enclōse a copy of my letter of even date to Congressperson Nita M. Lowey, and how long do you believe the American public will tolerate such ~~extxxxxx~~ outrageous conduct?

Ms. Cathy Bird

-3-

June 30, 1989

8a. Ms. Bird, whatever documentation you need, you merely have to request from my ~~daughter~~ daughter Elena. But to repeat, your first ~~step~~ step should be to reassure yourself there is no accounting on behalf of Puccini!

b. When you recognize whose cooperation was needed, judicial and official to avoid filing such accounting and to impose this draconian reign of terror upon myself, Hy Raffe, and others, you find yourself very high in the judicial and official hierarchy.

Very truly yours,


GEORGE SASSOWER