

In The  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
October Term, 1990  
No. 90-6785

-----x

GEORGE SASSOWER,  
Petitioner-Petitioner,  
-against-

Hon. A. FRANKLIN MAHONEY, as Presiding  
Justice of the Appellate Division, Third  
Judicial Dept.; WILFRED FEINBERG; EUGENE  
H. NICKERSON; FRANCIS T. MURPHY; MILTON  
MOLLEN; XAVIER C. RICCOBONO; ALVIN F.  
KLEIN; DAVID S. SAXE; IRA GAMMERMAN; Hon.  
ALLAN L. WINICK; DENIS DILLON; ROBERT  
ABRAMS; ANTHONY MASTROIANNI; and The  
DISTRICT COURT OF NASSAU COUNTY.

Respondents-Respondents.

-----x

x-----x

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI  
TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE  
SECOND CIRCUIT

x-----x

x-----x

PETITIONER'S MOVING AFFIRMATION

x-----x

This affirmation is made to disqualify the United States Solicitor General and the United States Attorney General from representing the federal respondents herein, whose privately motivated activities do not comport with the interests of the United States.

1a. For months, the offices of the United States Solicitor General and Attorney General have known that substantial monies payable, in haec verba, "to the [federal] court" were criminally diverted to private pockets.

b. The offices of the aforementioned have also known that "millions of dollars" have been "extorted" from affirmant's

former client, HYMAN RAFFE, in order to avoid incarceration under manifestly unconstitutional trialless convictions.

2a. When neither the Solicitor General nor the Attorney General made any attempt to recover such monies in favor of the sovereign affirmant, on January 14, 1991, moved this Honorable Court for relief.

b. Only a shattering silence has come from those who purport to represent the federal government in this Court.

c. The United States, no less than a private person, is entitled to zealous legal representation by those committed to "undivided loyalty".

3a. As part of the relief requested by affirmant in this Honorable Court, in his motion of January 14, 1991, was to:

"direct that all monies payable, in haec verba, 'to the federal court', but diverted to private parties, be turned over by those private parties to the Clerk of this Court ...

direct that All monies paid by HYMAN RAFFE to private parties in consideration for not being incarcerated under a criminal conviction and a Report recommending that he be incarcerated for criminal conduct, also be turned over by those private parties to the Clerk of this Court."

b. As independently investigated, reported and published in, inter alia, the Village Voice (June 6, 1988) by Mr. JONATHAN FERZIGER ["Ferziger"] of UNITED PRESS, INTERNATIONAL ["UPI"]:

"By signing three extraordinary agreements in 1985, however, Raffe agreed to foot all legal costs incurred by Feltman's firm and Citibank's lawyers, Kreindler & Relkin, for defending against Sassower. In exchange, the court agreed to let him go free. The tab so far has come to more than \$2.5 million, paid to both the Feltman and Kreindler firms.

Raffe continues to pay with checks from his A.R. Fuels Co. business."

c. Included in these "millions" paid by Raffe, in consideration for not being incarcerated, was the payment of substantial fine monies to private parties instead of the federal court, in addition to Raffe's agreement to execute releases to the federal "judges of the Eastern and Southern District of New York".

d. As long as Raffe keeps paying, and so the written agreement reads, Raffe will not be incarcerated, and consequently more "millions" have been extorted from him since the aforementioned publication.

4a. As the offices of the U.S. Solicitor General and Attorney General are aware, there are many jurists in the Second Circuit involved in this criminal racketeering adventure, including at the Circuit Court level.

b. The Attorney General is part of the executive branch of government and "not a mere lackey of the court" (27 C.J.S. §1, p. 622-623).

5a. Since the only relief that affirmant has ever received in his persistent refusal to become involved in judicial corruption has been from the media, public interests groups, and members of congress, he will continue his practice of making them privy to the events.

b. Affirmant has been repeatedly convicted, fined and/or incarcerated by the New York-Second Circuit judiciary without a trial, without the benefit of a trial, and without any live testimony in support thereof, and has otherwise been visited

with a "judicial reign of terror" for no other reason than he has consistently refused to involve himself or remain silent on the subject of judicial corruption (cf. Garrison v. Louisiana, 379 U.S. 64 [1964]).

c. The American taxpayer should not be burdened with the expenses of the offices of the U.S. Solicitor General and U.S. Attorney General in their attempt to defend these privately motivated criminal activities by members of the federal judiciary.

6. The aforementioned is stated to be true under the penalty of perjury.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that affirmant's motion be granted in all respects.

Dated: January 26, 1991

\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE SASSOWER [GS-0521]  
Petitioner, Pro se  
16 Lake Street,  
White Plains, N.Y. 10603  
914-949-2169

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On January 27, 1991, I served a true copy of this Affirmation by mailing same in a sealed envelope, first class, with proper postage thereon, addressed to Hon. Kenneth W. Starr and Hon. Richard L. Thornburgh, at 10th Street & Constitution, Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Dated: January 27, 1991

\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE SASSOWER [GS-0521]  
16 Lake Street,  
White Plains, N.Y. 10603  
914-949-2169