

In The
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
October Term, 1992
No. 92-

-----x
GEORGE SASSOWER,
Appellant,
-against-
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Appellee,
etc.
-----x

x-----x
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
THIRD CIRCUIT
x-----x

x-----x
APPELLANT'S MOTION
x-----x

Appellant respectfully moves this Court for an Order: (1) directing the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and/or the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit to expeditiously re-sentence appellant, as directed by the Circuit Court on June 19, 1990 (U.S.A. v. Sassower, 908 F.2d 965 [3rd Cir.-1990]), or otherwise dispose of the matter, and/or articulate a reason for the wilful failure and refusal for same; and (2) directing the United States Solicitor General to take such action as may be necessary to recover the monies, including monies paid on behalf of appellant, which were payable "to the federal court" (Raffe v. Citibank, 84 Civ. 1984 [E.D.N.Y.-June 7, 1985]), but which were diverted to the private pockets of the clients of CLAPP & EISENBERG, P.C.

1a. In the subsequent twenty-three (23) months since U.S.A. v. Sassower (supra) was rendered, appellant has made every conceivable application to the U.S. Attorney for New Jersey, the

District Court of New Jersey, and the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit to have appellant re-sentenced, without success or any articulated reason for this wilful failure and refusal.

b. Every requirement enunciated by this Court in (Barker v. Wingo, 407 U.S. 514 [1972]) has been alleged and shown in these various applications.

c. Enough is enough!

2a. Monies payable under a Federal Court Order, in haec verba, "to the [federal] court", including monies paid on behalf of appellant, belong to the federal court, not in the pockets of private individuals.

b. Appellant asserts, that no federal official, no matter how exalted his office, including the U.S. Solicitor General and members of this Honorable Court can avoid their responsibility by the simple expedient of closing their eyes, covering their ears, and holding their breath.

3. To say more would be supererogatory.

4. The aforementioned is stated to be true under the penalty of perjury.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that the relief requested herein be granted in all respects.

Dated: May 11, 1992

GEORGE SASSOWER [GS-0521]
Plaintiff, pro se
16 Lake Street,
White Plains, New York, 10603
(914) 949-2169

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On May 11, 1992 I served a true copy of Appellant's Motion by mailing same in a sealed envelope, first class, with proper postage thereon, addressed to U.S. Solicitor General, Kenneth W. Starr, Department of Justice, 10th & Constitution Ave., Washington, D.C. 20530; Robert Abrams, Esq., The Capitol, Albany, New York 12224; Clapp & Eisenberg, P.C., 1 Newark Center, Raymond Blvd., Newark, N.J. 07102; Snitow & Pauley, Esqs., 345 Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017, that being their last known addresses.

Dated: May 11, 1992

GEORGE SASSOWER