In The SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES October Term, 1991
No. 91-8121

GEORGE SASSOWER,

Petitioner-Appellant,

-againstSTEPHEN B. HIGGINS; DONALD P. LAY; RICHARD S.
ARNOLD; ROGER L. WOLLMAN; CLARENCE ARLEN BEAM;
BARBARA L. HERWIG; JEROME G. ARNOLD; JAMES L.
OAKES; CHARLES L. BRIEANT; SAMUEL A. ALITO,
JR.; FRANCIS T. MURPHY; ROBERT ABRAMS; DONALD
DIAMOND; FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN;
KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C.; CITIBANK, N.A.;
DENIS DILLON, and FIDELITY & DEPOSIT COMPANY
OF MARYLAND,

Respondents-Appellees.

RECEIVED

MAY 20 1992

OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.

This affirmation, made under penalty of perjury, is in support of an application: (1) to compel Solicitor General KENNETH W. STARR ["Starr"] to take such remedial action as may be necessary to recover monies that were payable, by virtue of a court order, "to the federal court", which monies were diverted to private pockets; (2) to prohibit, as unauthorized, any representation by Solicitor General Starr of persons who have not been "scope" certified (28 U.S.C. \$2679[d]), and who are conducting themselves contrary to the interests of the United States.

la. Solicitor General Starr asserts that he appears for "The Government" and "waives" "response" (Exhibit "A"):

"unless requested to do so by the court."

- b. The interests of "the government" in this proceeding is, inter alia, to recapture monies payable "to the federal court", but diverted to private pockets.
- official, no matter how exalted his office, can waive, excuse and/or ratify the diversion to private pockets of monies payable or owing the federal government.
- d. This Court, to vindicate its own Honor, should direct the Solicitor General "to respond", and "to respond" as a lawful federal official.
- In civil tort litigation, the government can only represent and defend, at federal cost and expense, persons who have received an Attorney General's certification that the official or employee:

"was acting within the scope of his office or employment at the time of the incident out of which the claim arose ... [whereupon the action] shall be deemed an action against the United States ... and the United States shall be <u>substituted</u> as the party defendant." (28 U.S.C. §2679[d]) [emphasis supplied].

- b. The full title of this proceeding, reproduced herein, does not disclose the United States as an appellee, the jurisdictional pre-condition for federal representation (28 U.S.C. §547), at federal cost and expense.
- c. Obviously, federal officials involved in diverting monies payable "to the federal court" to private pockets, or those aiding and abetting such criminal conduct, cannot obtain a "scope" certification or adjudication, and have not.

- d. Had there been a "scope" certification or adjudication, the United States would have been substituted, in lieu of federal judges and officials, as the appellee in the title of the affirmant's petition to this Court.
- e. The representation in the courts below, and in this Court, by the U.S. Attorney and the Solicitor General, was and is unauthorized (28 <u>U.S.C.</u> §547), and a fraud upon the federal purse, a matter which should no longer be tolerated.
- 3. The matter is more fully treated in affirmant's Rule 8.1 petition to this Court, a copy of which is annexed, which is incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that the relief requested herein be granted in all respects.

Dated: May 18, 1992

CREE SASSOWER [GS-0521]

Petitioner, pro se

16 Lake Street,

White Plains, N.Y. 10603

(914) 949 - 2169

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On May 18, 1992 I served a true copy of this Motion and Exhibit (with Rule 8.2 application) by mailing same in a sealed envelope, first class, with proper postage thereon, addressed to U.S. Solicitor General, Kenneth W. Starr, Department of Justice, 10th & Constitution Aves., Washington, D.C. 20530; U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit and U.S. Attorney, Stephen B. Higgins at U.S. Courthouse, 1114 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101; that being their last known addresses.

Dated: May 18, 1992

GEORGE SASZOWER

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OCTOBER TERM, 1991

SASSOWER, GEORGE

VS.

No. 91-8121

STEPHEN B. HIGGINS, ET AL.

WAIVER

The Government hereby waives its right to file a response to the petition in this case, unless requested to do so by the court.

KENNETH W. STARR SOLICITOR GENERAL

May 12, 1992

cc:

GEORGE SASSSOWER 16 LAKE STREET WHITE PLAIN, New York 10603

Exhibit "A"