

In the
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
October Term, 1992
No. 93-5045

-----x
GEORGE SASSOWER,
Petitioner,
-against-

KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C.; CITIBANK, N.A.;
JEROME H. BARR; LEE FELTMAN; FELTMAN,
KARESH & MAJOR; HOWARD M. BERGSON; ROBERT
ABRAMS; EUGENE H. NICKERSON; THOMAS J.
MESKILL; WILFRED FEINBERG; HELEN KAUFMAN,
as executrix of the Estate of IRVING
KAUFMAN; JAMES L. OAKES; CHARLES L.
BRIEANT; FRANCIS T. MURPHY; XAVIER C.
RICCOBONO; ANDREW J. MALONEY; WEST
PUBLISHING COMPANY; MEAD DATA CENTRAL,
INC.; and LAWYERS CO-OPERATIVE PUBLISHING
COMPANY,
Respondents.

-----x
x-----x
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
to the
U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
x-----x

x-----x
PETITIONER'S MOTION TO COMPEL
THE SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
TO EXPEDITIOUSLY ADJUDICATE
PETITIONER'S STAY APPLICATION
x-----x

GEORGE SASSOWER
Petitioner, pro se
16 Lake Street,
White Plains, N.Y. 10603
(914) 949-2169

This motion, made under penalty of perjury, is to
compel the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals to expeditiously
adjudicate affirmant's motion, dated April 7, 1993 and filed on
April 12, 1993 (Exhibit "A"), which requested, inter alia:

"(2) a stay, in accordance with the
unopposed Preliminary Injunction submitted to the
District Court dated December 16, 1992, including the
mandatory stay requirement that KREINDLER & RELKIN,
P.C. and its clients, turn over all monies payable to

United States Court of Appeals FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

Each motion must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit.

George SASSOWER

KREINDWERT Belkin et al

Use short title

93-6082
FEDNY-93 Case Number *MISC 004*
NOTICE OF MOTION

State type of motion
for *2 FUSE 125422* *Cent*
Rule 23.3 STAY

MOTION BY: (Name, address and tel. no. of law firm and of attorney in charge of case)

GEORGE SASSOWER

16 Lake Street
White Plains, NY 10603-3852

914-949-2169

OPPOSING COUNSEL: (Name, address and tel. no. of law firm and of attorney in charge of case)

See inside

Has consent of opposing counsel:

- A. been sought? Yes No
- B. been obtained? Yes No
- Has service been effected? Yes No
- Is oral argument desired? Yes No

(Substantive motions only)

Requested return date: *4/20/93*

(See Second Circuit Rule 27(b))

Has argument date of appeal been set:

- A. by scheduling order? Yes No
- B. by firm date of argument notice? Yes No
- C. If Yes, enter date: _____

Judge or agency whose order is being appealed:

Judge Denis R. Hurley

Brief statement of the relief requested:

1) Conviction void
2) Federal money diverted to private pockets, including appellant's

Complete Page 2 of this Form

By: (Signature of attorney)

[Signature]

Appearing for: (Name of party)

Appellant

Appellant or Petitioner:
 Plaintiff Defendant
Appellee or Respondent:
 Plaintiff Defendant

Signed name must be printed beneath

George SASSOWER

Date *4/7/93*

Kindly leave this space blank

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion be and it hereby is

granted

denied

[Handwritten arrows pointing to 'denied' and other markings]

[Handwritten notes and stamps]

[Handwritten arrow pointing right]

Date

Circuit Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
GEORGE SASSOWER,
Petitioner-Plaintiff,

Docket No.
92-

-against-

KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C.; CITIBANK,
N.A.; JEROME H. BARR; LEE FELTMAN;
FELTMAN, KARESH & MAJOR; HOWARD M.
BERGSON; ROBERT ABRAMS; EUGENE H.
NICKERSON; THOMAS J. MESKILL;
WILFRED FEINBERG; HELEN KAUFMAN,
as executrix of the Estate
of IRVING KAUFMAN; JAMES L. OAKES;
CHARLES L. BRIEANT; FRANCIS T.
MURPHY; XAVIER C. RICCOBONO;
ANDREW J. MALONEY; WEST PUBLISHING
COMPANY; MEAD DATA CENTRAL, INC.;
and LAWYERS CO-OPERATIVE PUBLISHING
COMPANY,

Temporary Restraining
Order and Preliminary
Injunction

Respondents-Defendants.
-----x

It appearing to the satisfaction of this Court, after service of copies of this proposed order upon all persons and parties named herein, and others, and after affording each of them an opportunity to controvert, amplify, explain and/or justify, anything stated herein, fact or law, in this writ of error coram nobis, FRCivP Rule 60(b)[4] and/or Rule 60(b) independent proceeding, that LEE FELTMAN, the court-appointed receiver for PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD., unable to render an accounting for its judicial trust assets without further exposing the larceny engineered by KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C., CITIBANK, N.A., JEROME H. BARR, and their other criminal activities, and with their co-conspirators, FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Attorney General ROBERT ABRAMS, and their cadre of corrupted judges, state and federal, in an attempt to compel petitioner, HYMAN RAFFE and SAM POLUR to succumb and submit, in a period of

less than a two (2) month period obtained seven (7) privately initiated and prosecuted criminal convictions for non-summary criminal contempt against petitioner, HYMAN RAFFE and SAM POLUR, all entered without a trial, without the opportunity for a trial, without any confrontation rights, or live testimony in support thereof, with herculian fines and terms of incarceration imposed thereon; and in all such criminal proceedings, neither petitioner nor SAM POLUR were parties to the underlying civil actions; that in one such trialess, without live testimony, proceeding entitled "HYMAN RAFFE, individually and on behalf of PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD. v. CITIBANK, N.A., and JEROME H. BARR individually and as executors of the Estate of Milton Kaufman, et el." (84 Civ. 0305 [EHN]) on June 7, 1985, U.S. District Court Judge EUGENE H. NICKERSON, at the instance of KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C., CITIBANK, N.A., and JEROME H. BARR, found petitioner and HYMAN RAFFE, inter alia, guilty of non-summary criminal contempt, albeit their pleas of, inter alia, "not-guilty"; and it further appears that based upon such trialess conviction, substantial monetary criminal fines were imposed payable, in haec verba, "to the [federal] court", which monies, including those paid on behalf of petitioner, were all diverted to KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C., JEROME H. BARR and/or CITIBANK, N.A.; and it further appears that no federal court created by Congress, or any judge thereof, has the power or authority to convict any person for non-summary criminal contempt without an opportunity for a trial or without live testimony in support thereof or to consent, ratify or ignore, such diversion of monies payable "to the

federal court" to private pockets; and it further appears that defendants, including U.S. District Court Judge EUGENE H. NICKERSON, Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge THOMAS J. MESKILL, [former] Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge WILFRED FEINBERG, [former] IRVING KAUFMAN, and [former] Chief U.S. Circuit Court Judge JAMES L. OAKES knew of such lack of judicial power or authority; and it further appears that KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C. and their prime co-conspirators, FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esqs. openly flaunt the fact that they "pay-off" judges and "control" all judges; and it further appears that thereafter when petitioner refused to succumb and/or remain silent about the corrupt state of affairs in the New York-Second Circuit judicial bailiwick, the aforementioned trialess convictions were, ex post facto, elevated from "offenses" to "serious crimes" and petitioner was disbarred; and it further appears that years after the trialess conviction of SAM POLUR when he exposed the extant corruption, disciplinary proceedings were commenced against him and he was suspended from the practice of law; and it further appears that HYMAN RAFFE convinced by the aforementioned, and other events that indeed KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C. and FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esqs. did "control" the judges and courts, in order to avoid incarceration under another trialess without live testimony, conviction, agreed to execute releases in favor of, inter alia, the federal judges of the Eastern and Southern District of New York and pay very substantial "extortion" monies to them; and it further appears that whenever such trialess, without live testimony, convictions are reported