GEORGE SASSOWER

16 LAKE STREET WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10603

914-949-2169

RECEIVED

MAY 7 9 1993 OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.

May 14, 1993

Ms. Cynthia J. Rapp Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543

Re: George Sassower v. Kreindler & R [2nd Circuit]

Dear Ms. Rapp,

- 1. With respect to the above matter, enclosed find returned my (1) "Motion/Application for Stay/Injunction", (2) Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. (3) Rule 11 Affirmation, (4) Motion to Disqualify, and (5) Rule 23.3 Statement.
- 2a. With reference to your letter, I draw your attention to the second sentence in Rule 23.3 which should be read in conjunction with my Rule 23.3 Statement, and reveals truly "extraordinary circumstances".
- b. Summarizing my Rule 23.3 Statement, the Second Circuit either does <u>not</u> adjudicate my Rule 23.3 applications, <u>or</u> does <u>not</u> physically accept same.
- c. As shown on the annexed exhibits, the Circuit Court acknowledged receipt of my motion for leave to appeal and Rule 23.3 motion on April 12, 1993, and same is <u>not</u> reflected on the Docket Sheet.
- d. One month later, that Court dismissed my appeal for failure to file a motion for leave to appeal.
- e. Under the aforementioned bizarre circumstances, and your unjustified and abbreviated interpretation of Rule 23.3, compliance is impossible.
- 3. Nevertheless, if a Justice of your Court determines that notwithstanding the contents of my Rule 23.3 Statement, an application for a writ of mandamus should be made to compel the Second Circuit to physically accept and expeditiously adjudicate my Rule 23.3 motion, I will then promptly bring such proceeding.

Very truly yours, GEORGE BASSOWER