In the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES October Term, 1992 No.

GEORGE SASSOWER,

Plaintiff,

-against-MEAD DATA CENTRAL, INC.; JAMES L. OAKES; GEORGE C. PRATT; CHARLES L. BRIEANT; WILLIAM C. CONNER; EUGENE H. NICKERSON; GERARD L. GOETTEL; FRANCIS T. MURPHY; 16 LAKE STREET OWNERS, INC.; LAWRENCE J. GLYNN; KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C.; CITIBANK, N.A.; FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN; ROBERT ABRAMS, and DENIS DILLON,

Defendants.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

The same wind when the same wind the same wi MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Petitioner, GEORGE SASSOWER, asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of mandamus without prepayment of costs and to proceed in forma pauperis.

Petitioner's affirmation in support of this motion is attached hereto.

Dated: May 31, 1993

GEORGE SASSOWER Petitioner, pro se 16 Lake Street White Plains, N.Y. 10603 (914) 949-2169

In the SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES October Term, 1992
No.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

GEORGE SASSOWER,

Plaintiff,

mead data central, inc.; james L. Oakes; george c. pratt; charles L. brieant; william c. conner; eugene H. nickerson; gerard L. goettel; francis t. murphy; 16 Lake street owners, inc.; lawrence j. glynn; kreindler & relkin, p.c.; citibank, n.a.; feltman, karesh, major & farbman; robert abrams, and denis dillon,

Defendants.

X-----X

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE

SIXTH CIRCUIT

X-----X

AFFIRMATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR

LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

x-----x

Petitioner, under penalty of perjury, in support of his motion to proceed, on this Petition for a Writ of Mandamus, without being required to prepay fees, costs or give security therefor, state that because of his involuntary poverty affirmant is unable to pay the costs of said proceeding or to give security therefor; that petitioner is entitled to redress; and that the issues which affirmant desires to present are set forth in affirmant's accompanying Petition for a Writ of Mandamus.

Affirmant further affirms under penalty of perjury that the responses which affirmant has made to the questions and instructions below relating to his ability to pay the cost or prosecuting the appeal are true.

Affirmant further contends that because the judiciary has unconstitutionally frozen his assets, as demonstrated in affirmant's recent filings in this Court (see Sassower v. Puccini; Sassower v. Feltman and Sassower v. A.R.), the courts are estopped from denying in forma pauperis relief.

In forma pauperis relief was denied in the Court below, but recent events reveal that such denial was, under the circumstances, beyond the power of the federal court, as prompted by corruption.

- 1Q. Are you presently employed?
- A. Affirmant is not presently employed. Affirmant has always been self-employed and his last income from such self-employment was about October of 1989 and was approximately \$500 that month.
- Any income from a business, profession or other form of self-employment, or in the form of rent payments, interest, dividends, or other source?
- A. Affirmant receives \$393 per month Social Security benefits; \$111 per month food stamps; and about \$20 per month miscellaneous income.
- 3Q. Do you own any cash or checking or savings accounts?
- A. The amount of cash that affirmant has on hand is about \$15, approximately \$40 in his checking account, and \$20 in food stamps.

- 4Q. Do you own any real estate, stocks, bonds, notes, automobiles, or other valuable property (excluding ordinary household furnishings and clothing).
- A. None, except for monies due affirmant pursuant to a judgment and various claims, liquid and unliquidated, which affirmant has been unable to collect because affirmant is denied access to the courts and for other unconstitutional reasons.
- 5Q. List the persons who are dependent upon you for support and state your relationship to those persons.
- A. Affirmant's youngest daughter, Lizbeth A. Sassower, but affirmant is not supporting her because of affirmant's present financial situation.

Affirmant understands that a false statement in this affirmation will subject affirmant to the penalties for perjury.

Dated: May 31, 1993

GEORGE SASSOWER

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On June 1, 1993 I served a true copy of this Petition by mailing same in a sealed envelope, first class, with proper postage thereon, addressed to U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit and Chief Judge Gilbert S. Merritt, U.S. Post Office & Courthouse Bldg., 100 East 5th Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-3988; Solicitor General of the United States, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530; U.S. Attorney Edmund Sargus, Att: AUSA Pamela Millard Stanek, Federal Building, 200 West Second Street, Dayton, Ohio 45402; Thompson, Hine and Flory, Esgs., 2000 Courthouse Plaza N.E., P.O. Box 8801, Dayton, Ohio 45401-8801; FeItman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esgs., 152 West 57th Street, New York, NY 10019; and Ass't N.Y. State Attorney General David B. Roberts, The Capitol, Albany, New York 12224, that being their last known addresses.

Dated: June 1, 1993