

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION: SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

----- X

In the Matter of George Sassower,
an attorney and counselor-at-law,

:

GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE SECOND
AND ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICTS,

:

NOTICE OF
PETITION

:

Petitioner,

:

GEORGE SASSOWER,

:

Respondent.

:

----- X

S I R :

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the annexed Petition of LEE CROSS, Chairwoman of the Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts, verified on the 12th day of November, 1985, a motion will be made before this Court at the Courthouse, 45 Monroe Place, in the Borough of Brooklyn, County of Kings, City and State of New York, on the 6th day of December, 1985, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for an order directing that the respondent, George Sassower, as an attorney and counselor-at-law, be disciplined upon the charges set forth in the annexed Petition, for such other or further action upon the charges embodied in said Petition as justice may require, and for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respondent shall serve and file two copies of his

Answer, with proof of service, on or before the return date.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
November 12, 1985

Yours, etc.

ROBERT H. STRAUS
Attorney for Petitioner

GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE
SECOND AND ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICTS
Municipal Building, Room 1200
210 Joralemon Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 624-7851

TO:

GEORGE SASSOWER, ESQ.
2125 Mill Avenue
Brooklyn, New York 11234

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION: SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

----- X

In the Matter of George Sassower, :
an attorney and counselor-at-law, :
: PETITION
GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE SECOND :
AND ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICTS, :
Petitioner, :
GEORGE SASSOWER, :
Respondent. :

----- X

TO: THE HONORABLE PRESIDING JUSTICE AND ALL JUSTICES OF
THE APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
STATE OF NEW YORK, SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

The Petition of the Grievance Committee for the Second
and Eleventh Judicial Districts respectfully alleges that:

FIRST: By order of this Court, dated and filed June 3,
1985, the Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial
Districts (hereinafter "Committee") was authorized to institute
and prosecute a disciplinary proceeding in this Court against
George Sassower (hereinafter "respondent"), based on the acts of
professional misconduct as set forth in the report of the Griev-
ance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts,
dated May 13, 1985. Further, said order of June 3, 1985 ap-
pointed Robert H. Straus, Esq., as attorney for the petitioner in
this proceeding.

SECOND: Said order of June 3, 1985 was duly made and filed by this Court pursuant to Section 90 of the Judiciary Law and Section 691.4 of the Rules Governing the Conduct of Attorneys of this Court.

THIRD: By further order of this Court, dated and filed October 11, 1985, petitioner was authorized to include additional charges, based upon respondent's conviction of serious crimes, in this Petition to discipline respondent for his acts of professional misconduct.

FOURTH: The respondent was admitted to practice as an attorney and counselor-at-law in the Courts of the State of New York, by the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, Second Judicial Department, on March 30, 1949.

FIFTH: Upon information and belief, respondent, at all times hereinafter mentioned, maintained an office for the practice of law at 2125 Mill Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11234.

SIXTH: Upon information and belief, respondent has been guilty of professional misconduct as defined by Section 691.2 of the Rules Governing the Conduct of Attorneys in that:

CHARGE ONE

Respondent has been guilty of professional misconduct prejudicial to the administration of justice and which adversely reflects on his fitness to practice law, as follows:

1. By order of Honorable Ira Gammerman, Justice of the

Supreme Court, New York County, dated January 23, 1985, respondent was permanently enjoined and restrained from filing or serving any further lawsuits relating to Puccini Clothes, Ltd. ("Puccini"), and from continuing to prosecute designated pending lawsuits against specified parties, without leave of court.

2. Respondent wilfully and deliberately violated said order.

3. By order of Honorable Alvin F. Klein, Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated June 26, 1985 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT A), respondent was convicted of criminal contempt of court for having wilfully and deliberately violated the order of Justice Gammerman and was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of thirty days and to fines totalling \$1,250.00.

4. On September 17, 1985 the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department affirmed respondent's criminal contempt conviction.

5. By reason of the foregoing, respondent has been convicted of a serious crime as defined by Section 691.7(b) of the Rules of the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department and has violated Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A)(5) and 1-102(A)(6) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

CHARGE TWO

Respondent has been guilty of professional misconduct prejudicial to the administration of justice which adversely reflects upon his fitness to practice law, as follows:

1. By order of Honorable Ira Gammerman, Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated January 23, 1985, respondent was permanently enjoined and restrained from filing or serving any further lawsuits relating to Puccini and from continuing to prosecute designated pending lawsuits, against specified parties without leave of court.

2. Respondent wilfully and knowingly violated said order.

3. By order of Honorable David B. Saxe, Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated June 26, 1985 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT B), respondent was convicted of criminal contempt of court for having wilfully and knowingly disobeyed the order of Justice Gammerman and was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of ten days and to a fine of \$250.00.

4. On September 17, 1985, the Appellate Division, First Judicial Department affirmed respondent's criminal contempt conviction.

5. By reason of the foregoing, respondent has been convicted of a serious crime as defined by Section 691.7(b) of the Rules of the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department and has violated Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A)(5) and 1-102(A)(6) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

CHARGE THREE

Respondent has been guilty of professional misconduct prejudicial to the administration of justice which adversely re-

flects upon his fitness to practice law, as follows:

1. By order of Honorable Eugene H. Nickerson, Judge of the United States District Court of the Eastern District of New York, dated May 28, 1985, respondent was ordered to appear at the United States District Courthouse in Brooklyn, New York for deposition on May 30, 1985.
2. Respondent wilfully and deliberately violated said order.
3. By order of Judge Nickerson, dated June 7, 1985 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT C), respondent was convicted of criminal contempt of court for violating Judge Nickerson's order of May 28, 1985.
4. On September 13, 1985, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed respondent's criminal contempt conviction.
5. By reason of the foregoing, respondent has been convicted of a serious crime as defined by Section 691.7(b) of the Rules of the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department and has violated Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A)(5) and 1-102(A)(6) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

CHARGE FOUR

Beginning in or about May 1980, respondent knowingly and deliberately engaged in professional misconduct which abused the judicial process, interfered with, obstructed and was pre-

judicial to the administration of justice and which adversely reflected on his fitness to practice law, as follows:

1. Following the judicial dissolution of Puccini and the appointment of a permanent receiver, beginning in or about 1982 respondent wilfully, knowingly and maliciously initiated, instituted, supervised and directed a continuing flow of vexatious, baseless, frivolous and repetitious litigation pertaining to Puccini.

2. Schedule I, annexed to and made a part of this Petition, sets forth said litigation.

3. Respondent directed said frivolous and vexatious litigation against litigants, judges, referees, attorneys, public officials and other parties who participated in litigation involving the Puccini dissolution or who rendered decisions or rulings adverse or contrary to positions asserted by respondent.

4. Respondent engaged in said frivolous and vexatious litigation for the purpose of harassing, threatening, coercing and maliciously injuring those made subject to it.

5. By engaging in said conduct respondent violated Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A) (5), 1-102(A) (6), 7-102(A) (1) and 7-102(A) (2) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

CHARGE FIVE

Beginning in or about September 1980, respondent knowingly and deliberately engaged in professional misconduct

which interfered with, obstructed and was prejudicial to the administration of justice and which adversely reflected on his fitness to practice law, as follows:

1. By order of Honorable Hilda E. Schwartz, Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated September 11, 1980 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT D), respondent was relieved as counsel in certain proceedings pertaining to Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and was directed to turn over his legal files pertaining to the proceedings.

2. By orders of Honorable Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr., Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated February 1, 1982 (copies are annexed as EXHIBITS E and F), respondent was disqualified as the attorney for Hyman Raffe (hereinafter "Raffe") in specified Puccini proceedings.

3. By order of Honorable Edward J. Greenfield, Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated September 8, 1982 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT G), respondent was prohibited from representing Puccini unless expressly authorized by Lee Feltman (hereinafter "Feltman"), Puccini's court-appointed receiver.

4. By order of Honorable Xavier C. Riccobono, Administrative Judge of the Civil Branch of the Supreme Court, First Judicial District, dated March 26, 1984 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT H), the Puccini proceedings, including all discovery related motions and all factual issues in designated proceedings, were referred for assignment to a referee and all future applications were to contain

an endorsement indicating the terms of Judge Riccobono's administrative order.

5. Donald Diamond was appointed Special Referee for specified Puccini proceedings, pursuant to Judge Riccobono's administrative order.

6. Referee Diamond directed that all applications to reargue and renew must be brought by order to show cause signed by the judge who had made the original determination and that all other motions must be preceded by a pre-motion conference with the Special Referee.

7. By a ruling of Special Referee Diamond, dated September 26, 1984 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT I), respondent was held to be in continuing violation of the previous orders disqualifying, enjoining and otherwise restraining him and was ordered barred from attending all proceedings conducted before Special Referee Diamond from which he had previously been removed as attorney.

8. By order of Honorable Thomas J. Hughes, Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County, dated December 7, 1984 (a copy of which is annexed as EXHIBIT J), respondent was enjoined and restrained, pending the determination of the motion for a permanent injunction, from instituting any additional proceedings or serving any additional claims or amended complaints pertaining to Puccini, without leave of court.

9. By order of Honorable Ira Gammerman, Justice of

the Supreme Court, New York County, dated January 23, 1985 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT K), respondent was permanently enjoined and restrained from prosecuting or initiating any proceedings pertaining to Puccini against specified individuals, without leave of court; was prohibited from making any motion to vacate, reargue, renew or modify this order except by order to show cause, presented to either Judge Gammerman or to the Administrative Judge of the Court; and was prohibited from making any application, motion or submission pertaining to Puccini without annexing a copy of this order and setting forth a statement as to its existence.

10. By opinion and order of Honorable William R. Conner, Judge of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, dated October 11, 1985 (a copy is annexed as EXHIBIT L), respondent was permanently enjoined and restrained from filing or initiating any action in any federal court or tribunal pertaining to Puccini against specified individuals.

11. Respondent knowingly and wilfully disobeyed, violated, and defied the aforementioned orders and rulings by instituting, initiating, filing, submitting and participating in, a continuing series of motions, suits, proceedings (which are set forth in Schedule I).

12. Respondent knowingly and wilfully disobeyed, violated and defied said orders and rulings by making numerous appearances in which he purported to represent Puccini, Hyman Raffe, or both.

13. By engaging in the aforesaid conduct, respondent violated Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A) (5) and 1-102(A) (6) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

CHARGE SIX

Respondent has been guilty of failing to seek the lawful objectives of a client and of prejudicing and damaging a client, as follows:

1. In or about 1979 respondent was retained by Hyman Raffe ("Raffe") to represent him with respect to the Puccini dissolution proceedings.
2. In or about January 1985, respondent was instructed by Raffe to commence no further litigation with respect to Puccini in Raffe's name or on his behalf.
3. Respondent deliberately and wilfully disregarded and violated Raffe's instructions by instituting, filing and submitting numerous actions, proceedings and appeals pertaining to Puccini on Raffe's behalf.
4. As a direct result of respondent's unauthorized behavior, Raffe was assessed costs, attorneys' fees, fines and penalties and was held to be guilty of civil and criminal contempt of court.
5. By engaging in the aforesaid conduct, respondent violated Disciplinary Rules 1-102(A) (5), 1-102(A) (6) and 7-101(A) (1) and 7-101(A) (3) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

CHARGE SEVEN

Respondent failed to cooperate with the Committee in its legitimate investigation of a complaint of professional misconduct made against him, as follows:

1. By letter dated December 5, 1984, respondent was provided with a copy of the complaint of Donald F. Schneider, Esq., was requested to provide a written answer to the allegations and was informed that an unexcused failure to respond constituted professional misconduct.

2. In a letter dated December 14, 1984, respondent acknowledged receipt of the complaint and stated that he had not read it and did not intend to do so.

3. Respondent failed to respond to the allegation of misconduct as requested.

4. By letter dated January 3, 1985, respondent was again informed that his written response to the complaint was required and was again advised that a failure to respond constituted professional misconduct.

5. In letters dated January 14, 1985 and January 22, 1985, respondent again failed to respond to the allegations of the complaint.

6. In letters dated January 28, 1985 and January 30, 1985, respondent made the deliberately false representation that a court order prohibited him from responding to the allegations of the complaint.

7. By engaging in the aforesaid conduct, respondent violated Disciplinary Rule 1-102(A)(6) of the Code of Professional Responsibility.

WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that an order directing that the respondent, George Sassower, as an attorney and counselor-at-law be disciplined upon the charges as set forth herein, and for such other action as may be contemplated by Section 90 of the Judiciary Law of the State of New York.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
November 12, 1985



LEE CROSS,
Chairwoman

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF KINGS)

LEE CROSS, an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms that the following statements are true under the penalties of perjury:

That she is Chairwoman of the Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts, the petitioner in the within proceeding.

That she has read the foregoing petition and knows the contents thereof and that the same is true upon information and belief.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
November 12, 1985



LEE CROSS,
Chairwoman

SCHEDULE I

A. LAWSUITS COMMENCED IN VIOLATION OF COURT ORDERS

	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>COURT</u>	<u>INDEX NO.</u>	<u>COMMENCED</u>
✓ 1.	<u>Raffe v. Barr</u>	Supreme Court New York County	10006/80	5/80
2.	<u>Hyman Raffe and George Sassower v. Arutt, Nachamie, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C. and Feltman, Karesh & Major</u>	Supreme Court New York County	15604/82	5/82
3.	<u>Hyman Raffe v. Eugene Dann, Robert Sorrentino and Lee Feltman as Re- ceiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd.</u>	Supreme Court New York County	10400/83	4/83
✓ 4.	<u>Raffe v. Citibank</u>	United States District Court, Eastern District of New York	84 Civ. 0305	1/84
✓ 5.	<u>Raffe v. Citibank</u>	Supreme Court Nassau County	7362/84	3/84
6.	<u>Raffe v. Doe</u>	United States District Court, Southern District of New York	84 Civ. 6272	9/84
7.	<u>Hyman Raffe, indivi- dually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. v. The State of New York</u>	New York Court of Claims	701/84	1984
8.	<u>Raffe v. Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.</u>	Supreme Court New York County		9/84
9.	<u>Hyman Raffe, indivi- dually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. v. Hon. Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr.</u>	Supreme Court New York County		9/84

	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>COURT</u>	<u>INDEX NO.</u>	<u>COMMENCED</u>
10.	<u>George Sassower v. Donald F. Schneider, Feltman, Karesh & Major & John Doe</u>	Supreme Court New York County	25452/84	9/19/84
11.	<u>Raffe v. Hon. Riccobono, Hon. Dontzin, Hon. Sinclair; Hon. Abrams; Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C.; Lee Feltman; Feltman, Karesh & Major</u>	Supreme Court New York County	22106/84	9/84
12.	<u>Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. v. Lee Feltman, Feltman Karesh & Major and Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono, as Trustee; Hon. Michael J. Dontzin, as Trustee; Hon. Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr., as Trustee; individually and as etc.; and Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland</u>	Supreme Court New York County	23485/84	9/19/84
13.	<u>Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. v. Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Feltman, Karesh & Major; and Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C.</u>	Supreme Court New York County (Nassau designated as County of Trial)		11/84
14.	<u>Raffe v. Edward Weissman</u>	Supreme Court New York County		1/07/85
15.	<u>Raffe v. Jerome Barr and Citibank, N.A. and Lee Feltman</u>	Supreme Court New York County	643/85	1/07/85

<u>ACTION</u>	<u>COURT</u>	<u>INDEX NO.</u>	<u>COMMENCED</u>
16. <u>Raffe v. Donald Diamond, Jerome Barr and Citibank & Lee Feltman</u>	Supreme Court New York County		1/07/85
17. <u>Raffe & Sassower v. Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Feltman, Karesh & Major; Nachamie, Kirschner, Levine, Spizz & Goldberg, P.C.; Citibank, N.A. & Barr</u>	Supreme Court New York County	4436/85	1/28/85
18. <u>Raffe & Sassower v. Feltman Karesh & Major; Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Nachamie, Kirschner, Levine, Spizz & Goldberg, P.C.; and Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C.</u>	Supreme Court New York County		2/13/85
19. <u>Raffe v. Citibank, N.A. & Jerome Barr and Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.</u>	Supreme Court New York County		3/85
20. <u>Raffe v. Riccobono; Donald Diamond, Feltman, Karesh & Major and Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.</u>	Supreme Court New York County	9522/85	4/10/85
21. <u>Raffe v. Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Hon. Walter Schackman, "JOHN DOE" & "JOHN ROE" names ficti- tious, persons intended to be those who communicated with the Court</u>	Supreme Court New York County		4/23/85
22. <u>Raffe v. Donald B. Relkin, Michael J. Gerstein, Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Citibank, N.A. & Jerome Barr</u>	Supreme Court, New York County		4/24/85

<u>ACTION</u>	<u>COURT</u>	<u>INDEX NO.</u>	<u>COMMENCED</u>
23. <u>Raffe v. Feltman,</u> <u>Karesh & Major</u>	Supreme Court New York County		4/85
24. <u>Puccini Clothes, Ltd.</u> <u>v. Hon. Francis T.</u> <u>Murphy, Presiding</u> <u>Justice; Hon. Theodore</u> <u>R. Kupferman; Hon.</u> <u>Joseph P. Sullivan; Hon.</u> <u>Bentley Kassal; and Hon.</u> <u>Ernst H. Rosenberger,</u> <u>Associate Justices, in-</u> <u>dividually and on behalf</u> <u>of the Appellate Divi-</u> <u>sion of the Supreme Court</u> <u>of the State of New York,</u> <u>First Judicial Department;</u> <u>Lee Feltman, Esq.; and</u> <u>David S. Cook, Esq.</u>	United States District Court, Southern District of New York	85 Civ. 3712	5/16/85
25. <u>Hyman Raffe, George</u> <u>Sassower, Esq., Sam</u> <u>Polur, Esq., Puccini</u> <u>Clothes, Ltd. and the</u> <u>Justices of the Supreme</u> <u>Court of the State of</u> <u>New York, New York County</u> <u>other than those named</u> <u>defendants herein v. Hon.</u> <u>Xavier Riccobono; Referee</u> <u>Donald Diamond; Hon. Ira</u> <u>Gammerman; Hon. Walter M.</u> <u>Schackman; Hon. Francis T.</u> <u>Murphy, Presiding Justice;</u> <u>Hon. Theodore R. Kupferman;</u> <u>Hon. Joseph P. Sullivan;</u> <u>Hon. Bentley Kassal; and</u> <u>Hon. Ernest H. Rosenberger,</u> <u>Associate Justices, indi-</u> <u>vidually and on behalf of</u> <u>the Appellate Division of</u> <u>the Supreme Court of the</u> <u>State of New York, First</u> <u>Judicial Department; Lee</u> <u>Feltman, Esq., Feltman,</u> <u>Karesh & Major; Kreindler</u> <u>& Relkin, P.C., and David</u> <u>S. Cook, Esq.</u>	United States District Court Southern District of New York	85 Civ. 3927	5/23/85

<u>ACTION</u>	<u>COURT</u>	<u>INDEX NO.</u>	<u>COMMENCED</u>
26. <u>Raffe v. Donald B. Relkin; Michael J. Gerstein, Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Lee Feltman; Donald F. Schneider; Edward Weissman; Feltman, Karesh & Major; Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., individually and as the executors of the last will and testament of Milton Kaufman, Donald Diamond; Xavier C. Riccobono; Ira Gammerman; Walter M. Schackman; David B. Saxe; Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr.; Martin Rettinger, and David S. Cook</u>	United States District Court, Southern District of New York	85 Civ. 4158	6/03/85

B. ARTICLE 78 PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED IN VIOLATION OF COURT ORDERS

1. Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., as Executors of the Will of Milton Kaufman, plaintiffs against Hyman Raffe, defendant; Hyman Raffe, third party plaintiff against Puccini Clothes, Ltd., Eugene Dann, and Robert Sorrentino, third party defendants; In the Matter of the Application of Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., as Executors of the Will of Milton Kaufman, Holders of One-Quarter of All Outstanding Shares of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. Entitled to Vote in an Election of Directors, Petitioners, For the Dissolution of Puccini Clothes, Ltd.; In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, Petitioner, against Hon. Donald Diamond, Official Referee of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York.

2. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, petitioner against Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York; Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono; Referee Donald Diamond; and Hon. Ethel B. Danzig, respondents, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

3. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner against Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono, as Administrative Judge of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, and Hon. Ethel B. Danzig and Hon. Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr., Acting Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, respondents. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

4. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe and George Sassower, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioners against Robert Abrams, as Attorney General of the State of New York, respondent. For an Order pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York.

5. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner, against Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, respondent. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

6. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioners against Hon. Ethel B. Danzig, respondent. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

7. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner, against Hon. Donald Diamond and Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono, respondents. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

8. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner against Hon. Irving Kirschenbaum, respondent. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

9. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, petitioner against Hon. Ethel B. Danzig and Hon. Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr., Acting Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, respondents. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

10. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, petitioner against Hon. David B. Saxe, Acting Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, respondent. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

11. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner against Hon. Martin H. Rettinger, Acting Justice of the Supreme Court, New York County; Hon. Donald Diamond, Official Referee of the Supreme Court, New York County, and Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono, Administrative Judge of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, Respondents. Pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

12. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner against Hon. Ira Gammerman and Hon. Kenneth L. Shorter, Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, respondents, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

13. In the Matter of the Application of George Sassower, Hyman Raffe and Elena Ruth Sassower, petitioners against Honorable Donald Diamond, Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono, Hon. Ira Gammerman, The Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, Hon. Robert Abrams, and David S. Cook, respondents, for a Writ of Prohibition and a Bill in Equity, Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York.

14. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe and George Sassower, petitioners against Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, respondents, for a Writ of Prohibition and other relief, pursuant to Article 78 of the CPLR, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

15. Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., as Executors of the Will of Milton Kaufman, plaintiffs against Hyman Raffe, defendant; Hyman Raffe, third-party plaintiff against Eugene Dann, and Robert Sorrentino and Puccini Clothes, Ltd., third-party defendants; In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, petitioner against Hon. Louis Grossman, respondent, for a Writ of Prohibition, pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

16. In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, petitioner against Hon. Xavier C. Riccobono, Administrative Judge of the Supreme Court, New York County; Hon. Donald Diamond, Official Referee, of the Supreme Court, New York County, and Hon. Richard S. Lane, Acting Justice of the State of New York, County of New York, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

17. Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., as Executors of the Will of Milton Kaufman, plaintiffs against Hyman Raffe, defendant; Hyman Raffe, third-party plaintiff against Eugene Dann and Robert Sorrentino and Puccini Clothes, Ltd., third-party defendants; In the Matter of the Application of Hyman Raffe, petitioner, against the Justices of the Supreme Court, New York County, Hon. Louis Grossman and Hon. Michael J. Dontzin, respondents for a Writ of Prohibition, pursuant to Article 78 of the Civil Practice Law and Rules, Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department.

C. MOTIONS MADE IN VIOLATION OF COURT ORDERS

- a. Dissolution proceeding (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 01816/80)
1. Notice of Motion dated February 9, 1982 for a stay of the February 1, 1982 Order which, inter alia, appointed Lee Feltman, Esq. as permanent receiver for Puccini.
 2. Notice of Motion dated February 11, 1982 for an order granting renewal, reargument and/or resettlement of the February 1, 1982 Order.
 3. Undated Notice of Motion for an order permitting Raffe to commence an action against Lee Feltman and Feltman, Karesh & Major, and removing Lee Feltman as receiver.
 4. Notice of Cross-Motion dated September 10, 1982 for an order directing the Receiver to pay to Raffe the sum of \$35,541.23; directing the Receiver to pay to Sassower the sum of \$27,912.42; and directing the Receiver to settle an interim account.
 5. Notice of Motion dated September 22, 1982 for an order granting reargument and renewal of the February 1, 1982 Order and the subsequent order of Justice Sinclair which denied reargument of the February 1, 1982 Order.
 6. Notice of Motion dated February 4, 1983 for an order seeking to remove the Receiver and compelling the Receiver to account.
 7. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 23, 1983 for an order permitting Sassower to intervene on behalf of Puccini and on his own behalf.
 8. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 24, 1983 for an order permitting Raffe to intervene on behalf of Puccini and on his own behalf.
 9. Notice of Motion dated May 30, 1983 for an order disaffirming the report of the Special Referee.
 10. Notice of Cross-Motion dated August 17, 1983 for an order for leave to reargue the order of June 6, 1983.

11. Notice of Motion dated November 25, 1983 for an order seeking to remove the receiver and Feltman, Karesh & Major as attorneys for the receiver; denying Feltman, Karesh & Major compensation for services; compelling an accounting; appointing a new receiver with consideration being given to Raffe.
12. Notice of Motion dated December 13, 1983 for an order to renew and reargue the decision of June 2, 1983.
13. Notice of Motion dated December 13, 1983 for an order to renew and reargue the order of April 6, 1983.
14. Notice of Motion dated December 30, 1983 for an order staying the hearing for attorneys' fees.
15. Notice of Motion dated January 7, 1984 for an order vacating the orders of the court (does not list orders)
16. Notice of Motion dated January 9, 1984 for an order to renew and vacate orders of the court.
17. Notice of Motion dated January 16, 1984 for an order discharging the receiver.
18. Notice of Motion dated February 6, 1984 for an order directing the receiver to file an amended Business Corporation Law Section 1207 Statement; compelling petitioners and their attorneys to withdraw their claim against Puccini's creditors; directing the receiver to file a final settlement; alternatively for an intermediate settlement of the account; directing the receiver to exhibit the books and records at D.A.'s office; and disqualifying Kreindler & Relkin.
19. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 7, 1984 for an order accelerating the return date of Raffe's motion from February 21, 1984 to February 17, 1984.
20. Notice of Motion dated February 9, 1984 for an order granting leave to reargue the order of January 25, 1984 entered on January 31, 1984.
21. Notice of Motion dated February 9, 1984 for an order to renew and reargue the order of the court dated April 6, 1983.
22. Notice of Motion dated February 13, 1984 for an order discharging Lee Feltman as receiver.

23. Notice of Motion dated February 21, 1984 for an order declaring null and void any creditor's claims by petitioners against Puccini; reserving to applicant and Puccini their rights re false and exaggerated claims; compelling petitioner Barr to make disclosure; awarding Raffe restitution by Kaufman; disqualifying Kreindler & Relkin; compelling Rashba & Pokart to set forth their relationship to Kreindler & Relkin, and Arutt, Nachamie; and compelling Kreindler & Relkin and Arutt, Nachamie to set forth their relationship to Rashba & Pokart.
24. Notice of Motion dated March 27, 1984 for an order declaring that Feltman, Karesh & Major are not entitled to compensation.
25. Notice of Motion dated April 14, 1984 for an order disaffirming the report of Referee Frank B. Lewis.
26. Notice of Cross-Motion dated April 23, 1984 for an order to disaffirm the report of Referee Frank B. Lewis and to disqualify Kreindler & Relkin and Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C.
27. Notice of Motion dated April 23, 1984 for an order vacating and/or modifying the order of Judge Riccobono.
28. Notice of Cross-Motion dated May 1, 1984 for an order to direct the receiver to collect twice the amount of all monies and properties taken from Puccini, consolidating motions with a proceeding returnable May 21, 1984 entitled "Raffe v. Abrams", and directing the receiver to file and settle his account.
29. Notice of Cross-Motion dated May 1, 1984 for an order to disaffirm the report of Referee Frank B. Lewis and to remove Lee Feltman as receiver.
30. Notice of Cross-Motion dated May 11, 1984 for an order declaring that Rashba & Pokart is not entitled to any fee from Puccini.
31. Notice of Motion dated June 11, 1984 for omnibus order directing a re-examination of all Puccini related orders and judicial directions of the court.
32. Notice of Motion dated June 20, 1984 for an order modifying the on-the-record order/direction of Referee Donald Diamond dated June 14, 1984 so as to preclude FK&M from participating on behalf of Lee Feltman; preclude the appearance and participation of FK&M unless they waive compensation;

directing that on July 25, 1984 examination and disclosure take place and for an appointment by the court at judicial expense of an attorney to conduct the examination of witnesses.

33. Notice of Cross-Motion for an order vacating the order of June 29, 1984.
34. Notice of Motion dated August 10, 1984 for an order to strike the name of Feltman, Karesh & Major as attorneys for Lee Feltman.
35. Notice of Motion dated September 26, 1984 for an order dismissing the contempt proceeding brought by Feltman, Karesh & Major against Hyman Raffe.
36. Notice of Motion dated October 22, 1984 for an order to resettle an order dated May 15, 1984 of Honorable Alfred Ascione which referred motion for multiple relief to Trial Term, Part 10.
37. Notice of Motion dated November 27, 1984 for an order compelling the receiver to make recovery of all monies paid pursuant to a non-entered judgment and/or order of Judge Saxe.
38. Notice of Motion dated November 29, 1984 for an order vacating the order dated April 6, 1983 as jurisdictionally defective.
39. Notice of Motion dated December 5, 1984 for an order to remove the Receiver and for leave to sue the bonding company, the court, its justices and the State.
40. Notice of Motion dated December 5, 1984 for a renewal order compelling every person or firm who has Puccini-related financial information, including the Receiver, FK&M, Rashba & Pokart, Citibank, Arutt, Nachamie, Dann and Sorrentino, to turn over same to the Court for inspection and safekeeping.
41. Notice of Motion dated January 11, 1985 for an order (1) vacating the disqualification provisions in the order of February 1, 1985, (2) vacating the disqualification provisions in the order of February 1, 1982, (3) alternatively, resettling the said orders [returnable in Special Term, Part I].

42. Same motion dated January 11, 1985 returnable in Trial Term, Part 11.
43. Notice of Cross-Motion dated January 29, 1985 for an order activating Raffe's motion dated November 29, 1984.
44. Notice of Cross-Motion dated January 29, 1985 for an order declaring null, void and of no effect the order of April 6, 1983.
45. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 1, 1985 for an order granting renewal as of right from the order dated December 14, 1984, alternatively, granting leave to renew and/or reargue the aforesaid order and upon renewal vacating the order.
46. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 1, 1985 for an order granting renewal as of right and upon renewal removing the disqualification of George Sassower in the Dissolution Proceeding.
47. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 1, 1985 for an order dismissing and denying the motion of FK&M as an unauthorized proceeding not brought to advance Puccini's interests.
48. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 4, 1985 for an order denying Puccini's motion for an order pursuant to BCL § 1216(a) extending Receiver's time to apply for final settlement of account.
49. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 8, 1985 for an order declaring the order dated April 6, 1983 null, void and jurisdictionally defective.
50. Notice of Cross-Motion dated February 8, 1985 for an order activating Raffe's motion dated November 29, 1984.
51. Notice of Motion dated February 8, 1985 for an order declaring jurisdictionally defective and void the order of Judge Gammerman dated January 23, 1985.
52. Notice of Motion dated February 13, 1985 for an order declaring null and void the order of Referee Diamond dated October 10, 1984.
53. Notice of Motion of Hyman Raffe dated February 14, 1985 for an order declaring null and void the order of Judge Gammerman dated January 25, 1985.

54. Notice of Motion dated February 23, 1985 for an order dismissing the notice of cross-appeal of the receiver regarding the Judge Evans contempt order and staying the Order entered January 4, 1985. [Ap. Div. 1st Dep't].
 55. Notice of Motion dated March 5, 1985 for an order dismissing the criminal contempt proceedings dated January 30, 1985.
 56. Notice of Motion dated March 5, 1985 for an order (a) permitting Sassower to intervene; and (b) directing a hearing for the purpose of removing the receiver.
 57. Notice of Motion dated March 5, 1985 for an order appointing Sassower as temporary receiver for a 45-day period with power to subpoena and commence legal actions.
 58. Notice of Motion dated April 9, 1985 for an order declaring null, void and of no effect the Diamond document dated March 4, 1985 and alternatively for indemnification by Referee Diamond.
 59. Notice of Motion dated May 1, 1985 for an order striking the claim of Lee Feltman for the wilful failure of FK&M to appear and submit to an examination before trial on April 29, 1985.
- b. Action entitled "Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A., et ano. v. Hyman Raffe, et al." in which Puccini is a third-party defendant (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 16792/80)
1. Notice of Motion dated February 11, 1982 for an order granting renewal, reargument and/or resettlement of an order of Justice Sinclair dated February 1, 1982.
 2. Notice of Cross-Motion dated March 11, 1982 for an order disqualifying Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. and Jerome H. Barr as attorneys for plaintiffs.
 3. Notice of Cross-Motion dated March 11, 1982 for an order vacating, staying and/or dismissing the notice of motion of Puccini Clothes.
 4. Notice of Motion dated March 29, 1982 for an order vacating the disqualification provisions contained in an order of Justice Sinclair dated February 1, 1982.
 5. Notice of Cross-Motion dated June 2, 1982 for an order vacating and dismissing Puccini's notice of motion dated May 21, 1982, disqualifying Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. as

attorneys, directing the County Clerk to enter a money judgment in favor of Raffe and against Puccini, Eugene Dann and Robert Sorrentino, and for attorney's fees.

6. Notice of Cross-Motion dated July 6, 1982 for an order, inter alia, directing the County Clerk to enter judgment in favor of Raffe and against Puccini, Eugene Dann and Robert Sorrentino, for attorneys' fees and for a judgment over against Puccini.
7. Notice of Motion dated September 20, 1982 for reargument of the order of Justice Stecher dated September 9, 1982.
8. Notice of Motion dated September 22, 1982 for an order granting reargument and renewal of orders dated February 1, 1982 and April 26, 1982.
9. Notice of Motion dated November 30, 1982 for an order granting reargument of a portion of a decision of Justice Sinclair dated October 28, 1982, and for an order striking plaintiffs' second and third causes of action.
10. Notice of Motion dated December 16, 1982 for an order granting reargument of the order of Justice Stecher dated September 9, 1982, and the subsequent order of Justice Stecher dated December 9, 1982 which had denied reargument.
11. Notice of Motion dated January 5, 1983 for an order granting renewal and requesting that the disqualification provisions contained in the order of February 1, 1982 be superceded and cancelled.
12. Notice of Motion dated January 28, 1983 for reargument of Justice Klein's decision which granted plaintiffs' motion for a protective order striking Raffe's interrogatories.
13. Notice of Motion dated February 8, 1983 for an order renewing the motion which led to an order dated January 4, 1983.
14. Notice of Motion dated February 22, 1983 for an order vacating plaintiffs' Note of Issue.
15. Notice of Motion dated March 2, 1983 for an order permitting Sassower to intervene in the action on behalf of Puccini and on his own behalf.

16. Notice of Motion dated March 10, 1983 for an order disqualifying as attorneys Feltman, Karesh & Major and Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.
17. Notice of Motion dated March 10, 1983 for an order requesting leave to reargue the order of March 9, 1983.
18. Notice of Motion dated March 21, 1983 for an order directing clerk to enter conditional judgment against Puccini.
19. Notice of Cross-Motion dated June 2, 1983 for an order dismissing the plaintiffs' second and third causes of action.
20. Notice of Motion dated August 25, 1983 for an order dismissing appeal and to extend time to perfect appeal from order of January 4, 1983 and judgment of February 4, 1983.
21. Notice of Motion dated September 6, 1983 for an order, inter alia, to restore action to jury calendar, permitting Raffé to amend answer and renewal of motion leading to May 6, 1983 decision.
22. Notice of Motion dated September 22, 1983 for an order dismissing plaintiffs' second and third causes of action.
23. Notice of Motion dated October 13, 1983 for renewal of the motion relating to order of January 18, 1983 which disqualified Sassower.
24. Notice of Motion dated October 13, 1983 for an order, inter alia, striking matter from jury calendar, nullifying all judicial actions of Judge Dontzin, modifying order of October 4, 1983, and staying trial of action pending disposition on appeal.
25. Notice of Motion dated November 21, 1983 for an order to dismiss and/or deny plaintiffs' motion for sanctions.
26. Notice of Motion dated November 25, 1983 declaring Justice Louis Grossman's direction null and void and prohibiting enforcement thereof and disqualification of Justice Louis Grossman.
27. Notice of Motion dated December 13, 1983 for an order of summary judgment striking answer of Puccini and staying the examination before trial of plaintiffs.

28. Notice of Motion dated December 21, 1983 for an order compelling Justice Louis Grossman to issue orders with respect to certain motions.
29. Notice of Motion dated January 16, 1984 for an order directing Kreindler & Relkin, Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Kirschner & Lipkin, P.C., Lee Feltman, Feltman, Karesh & Major, Eugene Dann, Robert Sorrentino, Jerome H. Barr, Rashba & Pokart and John V. Lindsay to submit to disclosure proceedings.
30. Notice of Motion dated May 25, 1984 for an order amending the order of the Appellate Division, First Department dated April 27, 1982 requesting the court to add a provision that "this court's affirmance is based upon the expressed and repeated representations of respondent's attorneys to Special Term, as well as to this Court, regarding the limitation of their second and third causes of action." [Appellate Division, First Department].
31. Notice of Motion dated March 26, 1984 for an order to vacate judgment dated February 4, 1983; modification of Order of January 4, 1983; modification of Decision of October 28, 1982 and restitution.
32. Notice of Motion dated March 27, 1984 for an order, inter alia, dismissing the second and third causes of action, directing plaintiffs to answer the interrogatories and compelling plaintiffs to produce original records.
33. Notice of Motion dated May 2, 1984 for an order removing the disqualification of Sassower.
34. Notice of Motion dated June 20, 1984 for an order confirming, modifying and/or vacating the report of Referee Donald Diamond.
35. Notice of Motion dated June 27, 1984 for an order vacating the judgment dated February 4, 1983 in favor of plaintiffs against defendant with restitution, vacating a judgment over in favor of Raffe, modification of order of January 4, 1983, modification of decision of October 28, 1983.
36. Order to Show Cause dated August 13, 1984 for an order vacating the judgment dated February 4, 1983, modification of the order of January 4, 1983, and modification of the decision of October 28, 1982.

37. Notice of Motion dated August 30, 1984 for an order vacating the judgment dated February 4, 1983, modification of the order of January 4, 1983, modification of the decision of October 28, 1982, restitution, cancellation of the judgment in favor of third-party defendants.
38. Notice of Motion dated August 30, 1984 for same relief [returnable in Trial Term, Part 10].
39. Notice of Motion dated August 30, 1984 for same relief [returnable before the Honorable Xavier C. Riccobono].
40. Notice of Motion dated August 30, 1984 for same relief [returnable Trial Term, Part 11].
41. Notice of Motion dated August 30, 1984 for same relief [returnable before the Honorable Thomas V. Sinclair].
42. Notice of Motion dated August 30, 1984 for same relief [returnable Appellate Division, First Department].
43. Notice of Motion dated September 24, 1984 "for a 'renewal' order, made as of right, to be represented, at least in the main action, as distinguished from the third party action, by George Sassower, Esq."
44. Notice of Motion dated September 24, 1984 for an order to restrain Lee Feltman, Esq. from taking any position contrary to the legitimate interests of Puccini Clothes, Ltd.; to restrain Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C. from taking any position contrary to the legitimate interests of its clients and to restrain Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. from taking any motion to sever the third-party defendants from this action.
45. Notice of Motion dated September 24, 1984 for an order to have appeals from the order of Justice Sinclair dated August 27, 1984 and order of Justice Danzig dated September 18, 1984 heard during the November, 1984 Term.
46. Notice of Cross-Motion dated November 27, 1984 for an order requesting that the Court exercise its inherent power and determine whether a fraud is being practiced upon the court by its agent and reconsideration of certain orders.
47. Notice of Cross-Motion dated December 5, 1984 for an order vacating the order of January 4, 1983, the judgment of February 4, 1983 and the decision of October 28, 1982.

48. Notice of Motion dated December 12, 1984 for an order appointing a receiver on behalf of Puccini to supercede Lee Feltman with authority to engage counsel [Appellate Division, First Department].
 49. Notice of Motion dated December 21, 1984 for an order removing the disqualification of George Sassower.
 50. Notice of Motion dated December 26, 1984 for an order setting the matter down for argument during the January, 1985 Term of the court as originally noted and pending argument staying the trial of Barr v. Raffe [Appellate Division, First Department].
 51. Notice of Motion dated January 2, 1985 for an order dismissing plaintiff's second and third causes of action for their willful and deliberate noncompliance with the order of the Appellate Division of August 18, 1983, the ruling of Referee Diamond, and the order of Justice Danzig dated September 18, 1984.
- c. Action Entitled "Hyman Raffe and Puccini Clothes, Ltd. Eugene Dann and Robert Sorrentino v. Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A." (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 10006/80)
1. Notice of Cross-Motion dated March 26, 1982 for an order dismissing the Notice of Motion of Puccini dated March 23, 1982.
 2. Notice of Motion dated December 17, 1982 for an order granting reargument of an appeal by Raffe or leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals.
- d. Multicaptioned Action (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index Nos. 21208/80, 01816/80 and 10006/80)
1. Notice of Motion dated January 25, 1982 for an order confirming report of a special referee.
 2. Notice of Cross-Motion dated December 7, 1982 for an order vacating and/or dismissing the order to show cause of November 22, 1982.

3. Notice of Motion for an order enlarging appellants' time to perfect appeals and consolidating appeals.
4. Notice of Motion dated January 25, 1983 for a stay of an order dated February 1, 1982 insofar as it disqualified Sassower from representing Puccini.
5. Notice of Motion for reargument and renewal of the decision of the Appellate Division dated February 9, 1983 which, inter alia, denied the previous motion for a stay.
6. Notice of Motion dated February 7, 1983 for an order renewing and rearguing the order and decision of the Appellate Division of February, 1983.
7. Notice of Motion dated April 11, 1983 for an order directing Goldstein and Relkin to appear on oral argument and answer questions, etc.
- e. Action Entitled "Hyman Raffe v. Eugene Dann, Robert Sorrentino and Lee Feltman as Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd." (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 10400/83)

1. Notice of Cross-Motion dated June 11, 1983 for an order vacating the motion brought on by order to show cause.
2. Notice of Motion dated May 17, 1984 for summary judgment.
- f. Action Entitled "Hyman Raffe and George Sassower v. Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C. and Feltman, Karesh & Major, Esqs." (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 15604/82)

1. Notice of Motion dated July 22, 1982 for an order, inter alia, setting the matter down for inquest.
2. Notice of Motion dated February 4, 1983 for an order striking the answer of Feltman, Karesh & Major.
3. Notice of Cross-Motion dated March 8, 1983 for an order setting the matter down for inquest.
4. Notice of Motion dated October 12, 1983 for an order, inter alia, extending appellants' time to perfect appeal.

- g. Action Entitled "Jerome H. Barr and Citibank v. Hyman Raffe, Eugene Dann, Robert Sorrentino and Puccini Clothes, Ltd." (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 21208/79)
1. Notice of Motion dated July 2, 1982 for an order staying all proceedings pending compliance with provisions of order of June 4, 1980.
 2. Notice of Motion dated December 15, 1983 for an order vacating judgment of September 3, 1980 and awarding Raffe restitution.
 3. Notice of Motion dated January 14, 1984 for an order disqualifying Arutt, Nachamie, disqualifying Feltman, Karesh & Major, and directing defendants to submit to disclosure proceedings.
 4. Notice of Motion dated April 11, 1984 for an order for leave to reargue the motion resulting in the order dated March 12, 1984 and renewal.
 5. Notice of Motion dated March 25, 1985 for an order vacating the judgment in favor of plaintiffs against Hyman Raffe dated August 19, 1980 and vacating the order dated March 26, 1984.
 6. Notice of Motion dated April 27, 1985 for an order vacating the judgment in favor of plaintiffs against Hyman Raffe dated August 19, 1980 and restitution.
- h. In re Application of George Sassower, Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and all others similarly situated, and Elena Ruth Sassower, petitioners v. Referee Donald Diamond, Honorable Xavier C. Riccobono, Honorable Ira Gammerman, the Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, Honorable Robert Abrams and David S. Cook, respondents for a writ in prohibition and a bill in equity (Sup. Ct., N.Y. Co. Index No. 3045/85)
1. Notice of Motion dated February 13, 1985 for an order accelerating the return date of the Notice of Petition from March 6, 1985 to February 21, 1985.
 2. Notice of Motion dated April 11, 1985 for an order restraining Referee Donald Diamond from engaging in any judicial functions and litigation related to Puccini Clothes.

3. Notice of Motion dated May 3, 1985 for an order to temporarily restrain Referee Donald Diamond from further proceedings in the Puccini litigation and in particular from directing or making any order for the entry of judgment against Hyman Raffe and George Sassower until resolution of the motions presently sub judice before Honorable Martin B. Stecher.

- i. Hyman Raffe and George Sassower, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., plaintiffs, v. Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Feltman, Karesh & Major, Esqs.; Nachamie, Kirschner, Levine, Spizz & Goldberg, P.C.; Citibank, N.A. and Jerome H. Barr, individually and as executors of the Estate of Milton Kaufman (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co. Index No. 4436/85)

1. Notice of Motion dated February 26, 1985 for an order vacating, dismissing and/or denying the relief requested in the Notice of Motion dated February 20, 1985 and otherwise vacating, dismissing and/or denying the relief requested therein.

2. Notice of Cross-Motion dated March 21, 1985 for an order vacating, dismissing and/or denying the relief requested in the Notice of Motion of Feltman, Karesh & Major dated February 27, 1985.

- j. Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. v. Jerome H. Barr and Citibank, N.A. as executors of the Last Will and Testament of Milton Kaufman, and Lee Feltman (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co. Index No. 643/85)

1. Notice of Motion dated May 6, 1985 for an order granting default judgment in favor of plaintiffs against the defendant.

- k. George Sassower, plaintiff against Donald F. Schneider and Feltman, Karesh & Major and "John Doe," person intended to be one purportedly gave defendant "instructions" (sup. Ct. N.Y. Co. Index No. 25452/84)

1. Notice of Motion dated October 19, 1984 for an order for pre-trial disclosure to identify "John Doe."

1. Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., petitioner v. Honorable Xavier C. Riccobono, Honorable Michael J. Dontzin, and Honorable Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr., individually and on behalf of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, as Trustees of Puccini Clothes, Ltd.; Honorable Robert Abrams; Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.; Arutt, Nachamie, Benjamin, Lipkin & Kirschner, P.C.; Lee Feltman and Feltman, Karesh & Major, respondents (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co. Index No. 22106/84)

1. Notice of Motion dated October 27, 1984 for an order disqualifying Senior Assistant General David S. Cook from representing the judicial respondents.
- m. Hyman Raffe, individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., plaintiff v. Lee Feltman, Feltman, Karesh & Major and Honorable Xavier C. Riccobono, as Trustee; Honorable Michael J. Dontzin, as Trustee; and Honorable Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr., as Trustee; individually and as etc.; and Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, defendants (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co. Index No. 23485/84)

1. Notice of Motion dated October 30, 1984 for an order severing the action against defendants Honorable Xavier C. Riccobono, Honorable Michael J. Dontzin and Honorable Thomas V. Sinclair, Jr. and/or setting the matter down for an assessment of damages.
- n. Hyman Raffe and George Sassower, Esq., individually and on behalf of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., and Sam Polur, Esq., petitioners v. The Justices of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Judicial Department and the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, respondents (Appellate Division, Third Department)

1. Notice of Motion dated July 30, 1985 for an order directing the respondents "not to give recognition to anything purportedly judicially said or done by Referee Donald Diamond in the litigation related to Puccini Clothes, Ltd."