

11/16/86

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION : SECOND JUDICIAL DEPT.

-----x
In the Matter of GEORGE SASSOWER, an
attorney and counselor-at-law,

GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE FOR THE SECOND AND
ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICTS,

Petitioner,

GEORGE SASSOWER,

Respondent.

-----x
GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., an attorney,
admitted to practice law in the courts of the State of
New York, does hereby affirm the following statement to
be true under penalty of perjury:

1a. This affirmation is made with respect to
respondent's motion dated November 1, 1986, returnable November
14, 1986, which was submitted without opposition.

b. Four (4) days after the return date of said
motion, without even attempting to proffer an excuse, the
petitioner's attorney, ROBERT H. STRAUS, Esq., submitted an
opposing affirmation, which refused to comply with affirmant's
United States v. Agurs (427 U.S. 97) demand.

2a. Presiding Justice MILTON MOLLEN, petitioner's counsel, and the proposed intervenor, totally ignored all of affirmant's letter demands for such accounting.

b. They all totally ignored affirmant's motion wherein such demand was again made.

c. Now, four (4) days after the return date, the only response received was that of Mr. Straus, who still refuses to comply with affirmant's demand.

3. In United States v. Agurs (supra at 106), the Court stated:

"When the prosecutor receives a specific and relevant request, the failure to make any response is seldom, if ever, excusable"

4a. As a matter of statutory mandate, such final accounting and distribution is due within one (1) year, and the Attorney General must, as a "duty" make application for such accounting after eighteen (18) months (Business Corporation Law §1216).

b. It took six one one-half (6 1/2) years to obtain such accounting, and affirmant contends that it confirms his assertions that larceny, perjury, and corruption that took place with respect to judicial trust assets.

c. Such conclusion is irresistibly compelling by reason of the studied attempt to suppress the open disclosure of such accounting by both the Feltman firm and Mr. Straus.


5a. Since the relief requested herein will be augmented by requests of federal intervention, the intervention of the media, and criminal prosecuting authorities, no further comment is necessary.

b. Of course the Referee did not rely on such accounting, as asserted by Mr. Straus, instead he relied on the perjurious assertions made by Mr. Straus that there was no evidence of larceny of judicial trust assets, perjury, or corruption.

c. The petition should be dismissed, unless such accounting is filed forthwith.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed, that the petition be granted in all respects, with costs.

Dated: November 26, 1986




GEORGE SASSOWER

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., an attorney, admitted to practice law in the courts of the State of New York, does hereby affirm the following statement to be true under penalty of perjury:

On November 27, 1986, I served the within Affirmation by depositing a copy of same in a Post Office in the State of New York addressed Robert H. Straus, Esq. and Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. at their at their last known addresses.

Dated: November 27, 1986

A large, stylized handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of several loops and a long horizontal stroke, positioned above the printed name.

GEORGE SASSOWER