At a Term of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Second Judicial Department, held in Kings County on October 11, 1985.

(NOT TO BE PUBLISHED)

HON. MILTON MOLLEN, Presiding Justice,

HON. LEON D. LAZER, GUY J. MANGANO, HON.

DAVID T. GIBBONS, HON.

HON. SYBIL HART KOOPER.

Associate Justices

In the Matter of George Sassower, an attorney and counselor at law.

Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts,

Petitioner;

George Sassower,

Respondent.

Order on Motions.

In the above entitled disciplinary proceeding, the above named Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts, petitioner, having moved, by an order to show cause, dated July 11, 1985, to suspend the above named respondent George Sassower, an attorney and counselor at law, upon his conviction of a serious crime (Judiciary Law, §90, subd. 4 [f]); and the said respondent having cross moved, by a notice of cross motion, dated July 23, 1985, to dismiss the petition to suspend respondent on the ground that the Orders of Criminal Contempt are a sham and legally null and void and for other relief;

Now, upon the said order to show cause, the affirmation of Robert H. Straus, the papers annexed thereto and the supplemental affirmation of Robert H. Straus and the exhibits annexed thereto in support of petitioner's motion; the respondent's notice of cross motion, the affidavit of George Sassower and the papers annexed thereto in support of the said cross motion; the affirmation of Robert H. Straus in opposition thereto; the affidavit of George Sassower in reply thereto and the supplemental affidavit of George Sassower; and Robert H. Straus, Esq., having appeared of counsel for the petitioner and George Sassower, Esq., respondent, having appeared pro se, due deliberation having been had thereon; and upon this court's decision slip heretofore filed and made a part hereof, it is

ORDERED that the petitioner's motion and the respondent's cross motion are hereby denied, and it is further

ORDERED that the petitioner is hereby authorized to add this charge (conviction of a serious crime) to the other charges of professional misconduct contained in a petition to discipline the respondent, which this court authorized in its order dated June 3, 1985.

Enter:

IRVING N. SELKIN

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF NEW YORK

APPELLATE DIVISION, SECOND DEPOLERK of the Appellate Division.

I, IRVING N. SELKIN, Clerk of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court,

Second Judicial Department, do hereby certify that I have compared this copy with the original filed in my office on OCT 1 1 1985 this copy is a correct transcription of said original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the

seal of this Court on

OCT 1 1 1985 Ling M. Selfin

nl

(NOT TO BE PUBLISHED)

In the Matter of George Sassower, an attorney and counselor at haw.

Grievance Committee for the Second and Eleventh Judicial Districts, petitioner;

George Sassower, respondent.

No. 5545

Motion by petitioner to suspend the respondent George Sassower, an attorney, upon his conviction of a serious crime (Judiciary Law, §90, subd. 4 [f]).

No. 6171

Cross motion by respondent to dismiss the petition to suspend respondent on the ground that the Orders of Criminal Contempt are a sham and legally null and void and for other relief.

Motion and cross motion, denied.

The petitioner is authorized to add this charge to the other charges of professional misconduct contained in a petition to discipline the respondent, which this court authorized in its order dated June 3, 1985.

MOLIEN, P.J., LAZER, MANGANO, GIBBONS & KOOPER, JJ., concur.