

(NOT TO BE PUBLISHED)

HON. WILLIAM C. THOMPSON, Justice Presiding,
HON. LAWRENCE J. BRACKEN,
HON. RICHARD A. BROWN,
HON. JAMES F. NIEHOFF,
HON. CHARLES B. LAWRENCE.

} Associate Justices

In the Matter of George Sassower, an
attorney.

Grievance Committee for the Second
and Eleventh Judicial Districts,

Petitioner;

George Sassower,

Respondent.

Order on Motion
(Motion No. 9357)

In the above entitled disciplinary proceeding, the above named
George Sassower, respondent, having moved, by a notice of motion
dated December 6, 1986, for an order of this court to recuse it-
self, nunc pro tunc, from this proceeding;

Now, upon the said notice of motion and the affirmation of
George Sassower in support of the said motion; the affirmation of
Robert H. Straus in opposition thereto; and upon all the papers
filed herein; and the motion having been submitted by the respon-
dent pro se and submitted by Robert H. Straus, Esq., of counsel
for the petitioner; due deliberation having been had thereon; and
upon this court's decision slip heretofore filed and made a part
hereof, it is

ORDERED that the said motion is hereby denied in all respects.

Enter:

MARTIN H. BROWNSTEIN

Clerk of the Appellate Division.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION, SECOND DEPT.

I, MARTIN H. BROWNSTEIN, Clerk of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court,
Second Judicial Department, do hereby certify that I have compared this copy with
the original filed in my office on FEB 23 1987 and that
this copy is a correct transcription of said original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of
this Court on FEB 23 1987



Clerk

(NOT TO BE PUBLISHED)

In the Matter of George Sassower, an
Attorney and Counselor at Law.

Grievance Committee for the Second and
Eleventh Judicial Districts, petitioner;

George Sassower, respondent.

No. 9026

Motion by respondent, pro se to dismiss Charges One, Two, Two-A and Three, by reason of the Report of U.S. Magistrate NINA GERSHON, dated November 24, 1986.

Motion granted to the extent of dismissing Charge Two~~A~~ and in all other respects, motion denied.

No. 8128

Motion by respondent pro se to dismiss the petition on ground that this court and the petitioner and intervenor have failed to produce or to demand the production of the accounting of Lee Feltman, Esq., as demanded by respondent.

No. 9357

Motion by respondent pro se for an order of this court to recuse itself, nunc pro tunc, from this proceeding.

No. 379

Motion by respondent pro se (1) to dismiss the proceeding, or in the alternative, (2) to reopen the hearings on ground of newly discovered evidence heretofore concealed, and (3) to transfer the proceeding to another department.

No. 379-A

Motion by respondent, pro se to dismiss the proceeding and/or restrain any further proceeding against the respondent by this court.

Four motions (8128, 9357, 379, 379-A) denied in all respects.

THOMPSON, J.P., BRACKEN, BROWN, NIEHOFF and LAWRENCE, JJ., concur.