

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2019 3:51 PM
To: 'nyscompensation@gmail.com'
Subject: POSTING: Protecting the Commission from FRAUD -- CJA's Second Supplemental Submission in Specific Rebuttal to Chief Administrative Judge Marks' Nov. 22, 2019 Supplemental Submission
Attachments: 12-11-19-email-to-commission-with-attachments.pdf

TO: Commission on Legislative, Judicial & Executive Compensation

As promised by my below e-mail, sent earlier today, annexed hereto is a pdf of the e-mail, combined with its attachments, to facilitate your posting it on your webpage for submissions:
<http://www.nyscommissiononcompensation.org/Submissions-judicial.shtml>.

That being said, I would prefer if you would post the attachments separately from the e-mail – and you have these separate attachments from the below e-mail.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 11, 2019 9:23 AM
To: 'nyscompensation@gmail.com' <nyscompensation@gmail.com>
Cc: 'lmarks@nycourts.gov' <lmarks@nycourts.gov>; 'jshukin@nycourts.gov' <jshukin@nycourts.gov>; 'skerby@nycourts.gov' <skerby@nycourts.gov>
Subject: Protecting the Commission from FRAUD -- CJA's Second Supplemental Submission in Specific Rebuttal to Chief Administrative Judge Marks' Nov. 22, 2019 Supplemental Submission

TO: Commission on Legislative, Judicial & Executive Compensation

Following up my below December 9, 2019 e-mail to you, identifying that “the reason Chief Administrative Judge Marks is able to propose that the Judiciary will self-fund COLAs from its own budget is because the Judiciary budget is a larcenous SLUSH-FUND, born of constitutional violations, statutory-violations, and fraud”, please deem that December 9th e-mail and this to be my second supplemental submission, in specific response to Chief Administrative Judge Marks’ November 22, 2019 supplemental submission. There, he makes the extraordinary statement:

“...since inception of the Salary Commission system in 2011, the Judiciary has consistently absorbed the costs of *all* judicial pay adjustments recommended by a Commission without asking for any additional funding to pay those costs. We did this even during the years for which prior Commissions were making salary recommendations when, because those recommendations were geared to help State judges catch up after a 13-year pay freeze, the cost of the increase, and therefore the impact of the Judiciary budget, was far greater. Those adjustments were much larger than even the