From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Thursday, December 29, 2022 10:26 AM

**To:** 'skohlmann@jenner.com'; 'hlevy@foleyhoag.com';

'psherwin@proskauer.com'; 'TSlome@cullenllp.com'; 'dkewalramani@mosessinger.com'; 'KSchwartz@wlrk.com'; 'mnatal@mayerbrown.com'; 'MCOLON-BOSOLET@SIDLEY.COM';

'Brian.Farkas@afslaw.com'; 'jhamid@debevoise.com'; 'jjain@lpgmlaw.com';

'wrussell@stblaw.com'; 'mshulman@sarahlawrence.edu'

**Cc:** 'bparker@nycbar.org'; 'gwolff@nycbar.org'; 'Lauren Axelrod';

'edmurray3@gmail.com'; 'edavis@cgsh.com'; 'Maria Cilenti'; 'Elizabeth

Kocienda'; 'Eric Friedman'

Subject: Does the NYC Bar Association dispute that the record of CJA v. JCOPE, et al.

is a perfect "paper trail" of public corruption, obliterating constitutional state governance -- &, if not, what actions will it take, consistent with its

responsibilities?

**Attachments:** 6-12-22-ltr-to-independent-review-committee.pdf

## TO: The Officers Comprising the Leadership & Governance of the New York City Bar Association

This follows my repeated requests for your intervention, *amicus curiae* support, scholarship, and other assistance in CJA's public interest lawsuit to VOID the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" – "a regressive statute enacted, unconstitutionally, *via* the state budget, to 'protect' complained-against public officers from accountability by stripping complainants and the public of rights enforceable by mandamus". For your convenience, below linked are my four e-mails to you – all unresponded-to – three attaching my above June 12<sup>th</sup> e-mail to the "independent review committee" of the deans of New York's 15 ABA-accredited law schools established by the "ethics commission reform act of 2022":

- my June 14<sup>th</sup> e-mail to you entitled "EMERGENCY ACTION REQUIRED: Request to NYC Bar Association Officers & Board of Directors: Lawsuit to VOID the 'ethics commission reform act of 2022' and for TRO -- CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. (Albany Co. #904235-22)"
- my June 16<sup>th</sup> e-mail to you entitled "NOTICE OF CANCELLATION of tomorrow's oral argument on TRO, hopefully to be rescheduled to Wed. June 22nd -- CJA, et al v. JCOPE, et al (Albany Co. #904235-22)";
- my June 3<sup>rd</sup> e-mail to you entitled "TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE --TRO/Preliminary Injunction: 'ethics commission reform act of 2022' --CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, at al. (Albany Co. #904235-22)"; and
- my September 25<sup>th</sup> e-mail to you entitled "WANTED: your scholarship, expertise, & independent expert opinion as to the state of the record in CJA v. JCOPE, et al -- starting with the 6th cause of action to VOID the 'ethics commission reform act of 2022'".

The purpose of this e-mail is to alert to what has occurred since my September 25<sup>th</sup> e-mail advised you of "the happy news that <u>CJA has made a motion for summary judgment</u> on all ten causes of action of the <u>June 6, 2022 verified petition/complaint</u>", furnishing you with the <u>NYSCEF link</u> from which you could determine, readily, not only CJA's entitlement to summary judgment on each cause of action, but to sanctions and other appropriate action against Attorney General James, a respondent representing her fellow respondents, who, having no legitimate defense, corrupted the judicial process with litigation fraud.

On November 23<sup>rd</sup> – to avoid the adjudications mandated by <a href="the-record">the record</a> – Ulster County Supreme Court Justice Gandin "threw" the case by a fraudulent "Decision, Order and Judgment" (#111) that obliterated ALL standards. CJA responded on December 16<sup>th</sup> by a reargument/vacatur motion (#119, #120) and by a notice of appeal (#122), each resting on my "legal autopsy"/analysis of the "Decision, Order and Judgment" (#121), demonstrating it to be "so totally devoid of evidentiary support as to render [it] unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause" of the United States Constitution and New York State Constitution, and [] a criminal act, violating a succession of provisions of New York's Penal Law".

Just as my four prior e-mails to you sought your discharge of professional, ethical, and civic responsibilities with respect to the monumental *CJA v. JCOPE*, *et al.* lawsuit, this e-mail does the same. The assistant attorney general in charge of the case requested my consent to a two-week adjournment of the return date – to which <u>le-mailed back</u> that I had "no objection, so long as [he] furnish[es] the reargument/vacatur motion to [his] superiors – starting at the top with respondent Attorney General James – so that appropriate, if way belated, steps are taken consistent with professional and ethical responsibilities". He thereafter filed a December 23<sup>rd</sup> letter to Justice Gandin for an agreed-to adjournment of the motion to January 20, 2023 (#124), which I anticipate will be granted.

Consequently, you have ample time to examine the December 16<sup>th</sup> reargument/vacatur motion so as to furnish Justice Gandin with an *amicus curiae* brief or other presentation as to what must happen, going forward – and to take other appropriate actions.

As I do not have e-mail addresses for everyone, I ask, as I have previously, that President Kohlmann and Vice Presidents Levy and Sherwin ensure that this e-mail is forwarded to all, including, if they deem appropriate, Vice President Lippman, to whom I am not myself sending this e-mail, as his disqualification for interest is exponentially greater than that of other recipients, also profoundly interested and disqualified.

I am available to answer questions and would welcome the opportunity to do so, as to this e-mail – and as to a forthcoming companion e-mail addressed to "Watchdog Groups", of which the City Bar has included itself.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org