

Subject: RE: Status of my Letter to the Editor

Date: 5/18/2004, 1:55 PM

From: Kristina Fischer <kfischer@amlaw.com>

To: 'Elena Ruth Sassower' <judgewatchers@aol.com>

Ms. Sassowerr,

Thank you for your letter. It will be in the Law Journal tomorrow, Wednesday, May 19.

Kris Fischer

Kris Fischer
Editor-in-Chief
New York Law Journal
(212) 545-6102
kfischer@amlaw.com

> -----

> From: Elena Ruth Sassower
> Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 11:36 AM
> To: kfischer@amlaw.com
> Cc: jgroner@legaltimes.com; tschoenberg@legaltimes.com
> Subject: Status of my Letter to the Editor

> <<File: 5-17-04-ltr-editor.doc>><<File: ATT223160.htm>>

> TO: Kris Fischer, Editor-in-Chief
> New York Law Journal

> FROM: Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator
> Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

> RE: The Status of my Letter to the Editor, "The Documented Facts"

>
> As I have not yet heard back from you with regard to my Letter to the
> Editor, "The Documented Facts", sent to you yesterday, I take the
> opportunity to bring to your attention that Legal Times has "hard copies"
> of virtually all the "Paper Trail" documents posted on the homepage of
> CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org . This includes the March 26, 2003
> written statement AND the two motions therein particularized as in and of
> themselves sufficient for verifying Judge Wesley's on-the-bench corruption
> as a New York Court of Appeals judge in my public interest lawsuit against
> the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct and in Bob Schulz' public interest
> lawsuit against the NYS Legislature, et al. These were hand-delivered by
> me on March 22, 2004, when I met and spoke with Jonathan Groner. Indeed,
> at that time, I not only provided such "Paper Trail" documents as would
> enable Legal Times to expose the bogus and malicious nature of my May 22,
> 2003 arrest for "disruption of Congress" -- and the corruption of federal
> judicial selection/confirmation it chronicled -- but a copy of all the
> motion papers in the case up to that March 22nd date. I then supplemented
> this on April 6th, with a copy of my April 6th petition for a writ of
> mandamus/prohibition and motion for stay. I gave these to Tom Schoenberg,
> in hand, at the outset of his interview of me so that he could better
> understand the important "first impression" issues involved in the case --
> and that, as demonstrated by the copy of the case file I had delivered two
> weeks earlier, I was being railroaded to trial by a new-to-the-bench
> "merit selected" judge -- for whom fundamental legal standards and
> principles -- including my legitimate discovery rights -- meant NOTHING.

RE: Status of my Letter to the Editor

>
> To facilitate your review, I would have no objection to Legal Times
> forwarding these important primary source materials, as well as the other
> materials I thereafter faxed to Tom or gave him in hand.
>
> Please advise.
>
> Thank you.
>
>
>
>