

# NEW YORK POST

America's oldest continuously published daily newspaper

letters@nypost.com

## An Appeal to Fairness: Revisit the Court of Appeals

•Your editorial "Reclaiming the Court of Appeals" (Dec. 18) asserts that Albert Rosenblatt will be judged by how well he upholds the democratic process "from those who would seek to short-circuit" it.

On that score, it is not too early to judge him. He permitted the state Senate to make a mockery of the democratic process and the public's rights when it confirmed him last Thursday.

The Senate Judiciary Committee's hearing on Justice Rosenblatt's confirmation to our state's highest court was by invitation only.

The Committee denied invitations to citizens wishing to testify in opposition and prevented them from even attending the hearing by withholding information of its date, which was never publicly announced.

Even reporters at the Capitol did not know when the confirmation hearing would be held until last Thursday, the very day of the hearing.

The result was worthy of the former Soviet Union: a rubber-

stamp confirmation "hearing," with no opposition testimony — followed by unanimous Senate approval.

In the 20 years since elections to the Court of Appeals were scrapped in favor of what was purported to be "merit selection," we do not believe the Senate Judiciary Committee ever — until last Thursday — conducted a confirmation hearing to the Court of Appeals without notice to the public and opportunity for it to be heard in opposition.

That it did so in confirming Justice Rosenblatt reflects its conscious knowledge — and that of Justice Rosenblatt — that his confirmation would not survive publicly presented opposition testimony. It certainly would not have survived the testimony of our non-partisan citizens' organization.

This is why we will be calling upon our new state attorney general as the "People's lawyer," to launch an official investigation. **Elena Ruth Sassower**  
Center for Judicial Accountability  
White Plains

.....