## **EXHIBIT B**

ļ	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA PENSACOLA DIVISION		
3			
4	ALBERTA DAVISON	:	
5	Vs.	:	PCA 89-30351 (WDO)
6	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF	•	
7	PENSACOLA JUNIOR COLLEGE	:	
8	CONFERENCE		
9	IN MACON, GEORGIA		JUNE 3, 1993
10	HON. WILBUR D. OWENS, JR.,	U. S. I	District Judge, Presiding
11			
12	APPEARANCES:		
13			
14	For the Plaintiff:		MR. SIMON TACHE
15			Attorney at Law Ocean Law Complex
16			1700-06 Race Street Philadelphia, PA 19103
17			MS. ALICE CANO
18			Attorney at Law P. O. Box 1419
19	Dow the Defendant.		Santa Rosa Bch., FL 32459
20	For the Defendant:		MR. D. LLOYD MONROE, IV Attorney at Law
21			P. O. \Box 1739 Tallahassee, FL 32302
22			
23			
24			
25	FRANCES B. ROQUEMORE, UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER P. O. Box 400 Macon, Georgia 31202 (912)743-6013		

anything to do with this case. And that's why on your cotton plant, I noted that. It may be offensive to somebody to have a cotton plant left at their door, but unless you can show who left it and what it's got to do with the case, it just doesn't matter.

MR. TACHE: Well, the only reason why I drew the connection is the fact that these events are happening after the EEO filing. If this had transpired before any of the filing, okay, we'll say, 'Yeah, who knows, maybe a student who doesn't like her.' But the fact that these events are happening after she has exercised certain rights or taken certain conduct, that's why I think --

THE COURT: Well, to start with, what's offensive about somebody leaving a cotton plant at anybody's door?

MR. TACHE: Your Honor, to you and I, it may not be but to somebody who was gone on a confound or who was told that hadn't -- someone said he could go have his -- having a cotton plant in the front door is a sign that you are an negro who is supposed to be harvesting cotton --

THE COURT: A sign of what?

MR. TACHE: A sign that you are a slave who should be harvesting cotton and not being a professor at a college of this type and it could be offensive to him.

THE COURT: Where does that suggestion come from, that it's offensive? I grew up in the South in a

little-bitty town. I just -- I've tried cases involving race and everything else for twenty to thirty years now and I've never heard anybody suggest that this type of conduct would tell anything to anybody.

MR. TACHE: Well, Your Honor, the individuals have various perceptions. What you're saying is true. I probably would not have even given it a thought because I didn't -- I would not have even known what it meant but some people, and, in fact, I've asked the question, why is this significant and some of the people who have been in those areas have told me that historically this is the implication. So, if this client is part of that family and answers to that family, it could have a significant impact on her, which to you and I it may not. So, I cannot --

THE COURT: In other words, what you're saying is is that she perceived it that way.

MR. TACHE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But the evidence doesn't show that people in general perceive it that way.

MR. TACHE: Well, Your Honor -- no, Your Honor, no, that's not -- I'm not here arguing a case for what other people in general will do; I'm just looking at what the effect, the perception, what -- how my client perceived the situation.

THE COURT: All right. Let's get back to the

it's -- I think somehow it's important because the defense is saying that, first, not to renew her contract when the year is up, I do not see her any difference, Your Honor, but the point I'm trying to make, Your Honor, is that we would like this Court to look in limine because it's our contention that the determination or the decision not to renew her contract per se is void because it violates Florida law. And I believe that if the decision to terminate her contract is void, then that is going to give this Court -- to take a hard look at what consequential damages have flown as a result -- or a followup as the result of that improper breach of the contract.

THE COURT: Well, I haven't researched Florida law.

If --

MR. MONROE: Judge, I'm sorry to interrupt, but if you'll indulge me.

THE COURT: That's all right.

MR. MONROE: I don't believe that there is a pendant state law claim in this case that alleges any breach of contract. It was an annual contract, so I'm having trouble conceptually with a breach of contract when it was an annual contract which was not renewed but there's no -- I, like the Court, am trying to get this case something that I can put in my briefcase and I can bring to Court and I can

```
1
      hour, an hour and fifteen minutes for lunch, and we run until
      5, 6 at night. So, you can listen to a lot of evidence
 2
      without a jury present. On a jury trial, normally in this
 4
      Court, we would try this case in two or three days. We just
      don't mess around. We're going to get with it. I've got
 5
      four or five hundred other cases we've got to get to.
 6
                MR. MONROE: From your own district.
 7
                THE COURT: That's right. We can't get to any of
 8
      them, if we don't get this one done.
 9
10
                What else can we discuss that might be helpful?
11
                MR. TACHE: I think there was a question about, and
12
      I think it's 17, where the plaintiff objected to the
13
      testimony of Tommy Rodriguez who was secretary to the
14
      plaintiff and there's some preliminary injunction before the
15
      Court because of the nature of her relationship with the
16
      plaintiff, that she not be allowed to testify. I'm not sure --
17
                THE COURT: What's the objection?
18
                MR. TACHE:
                            17(c), Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT:
                            Page 17?
20
                MR. TACHE:
                            Yes, Your Honor, it would be Page 17
21
      and the Item (c).
22
                MR. MONROE: Page 16, I believe, Mr. Tache.
23
                THE COURT:
                            What's the basis for your objection?
24
                MR. TACHE:
                            There was a preliminary injunction
25
      filed by the plaintiff --
```