From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 10:00 AM

To: 'cburke@nysenate.gov'

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; usher@nysenate.gov
Subject: Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

Attachments: transcription-3-24-13-senate-floor-proceedings.doc; transcription-2-26-09-senate-

floor-proceedings.doc

To: Carolyn Burke, Legislative Director to Senator Liz Krueger

Attached, <u>as discussed</u>, are the two transcriptions: (1) pertinent portions of the Senate floor proceedings on the budget yesterday; & (2) an excerpt from the February 26, 2009 hearing of the Senate Temporary Committee on Rules & Administration Reform, in which Valesky, refers to the legislative hearings on the budget & Senator Krueger, there present on the dais, & says that the most important thing the Legislature does is the budget...

Senator Krueger must use these on the floor of the Senate today -- & challenge Senator DeFrancisco with respect to his brazen falsification of the audibility of her reading of Legislative Law 54 and its interpretation.

Other Senators should be speaking up –and, if necessary, should walk out of the Senate chamber – in defense of the public's rights. The majority is breaking the law...

In any event, she & other Senators should be demanding that Senator DeFrancisco provide orally such information about the bills as is required to be in the non-existent reports – beginning with cumulative total appropriations of each bill, which, certainly, the public cannot figure out – and, I'm sure a great many Senators.

Thank you.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 10:43 AM

To: mallison@nysenate.gov; latimer@nysenate.gov

Cc: cburke@nysenate.gov; 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; usher@nysenate.gov

Subject: FW: Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

Attachments: transcription-3-24-13-senate-floor-proceedings.doc; transcription-2-26-09-senate-

floor-proceedings.doc; Legislative-Law 54.pdf

As discussed minutes ago with Catherine, I believe --

The below is self-explanatory. Additionally attached is Legislative Law 54 – so that Senator Latimer – who is my Senator — can rise up, with Senator Krueger, to protest its flagrant misrepresentation by Senator DeFrancisco on the floor of the Senate yesterday – so detrimental to the public's rights.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) [mailto:elena@judgewatch.org]

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 10:00 AM

To: 'cburke@nysenate.gov'

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; <u>usher@nysenate.gov</u>
Subject: Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

To: Carolyn Burke, Legislative Director to Senator Liz Krueger

Attached, <u>as discussed</u>, are the two transcriptions: (1) pertinent portions of the Senate floor proceedings on the budget yesterday; & (2) an excerpt from the February 26, 2009 hearing of the Senate Temporary Committee on Rules & Administration Reform, in which Valesky, refers to the legislative hearings on the budget & Senator Krueger, there present on the dais, & says that the most important thing the Legislature does is the budget...

Senator Krueger must use these on the floor of the Senate today -- & challenge Senator DeFrancisco with respect to his brazen falsification of the audibility of her reading of Legislative Law 54 and its interpretation.

Other Senators should be speaking up –and, if necessary, should walk out of the Senate chamber – in defense of the public's rights. The majority is breaking the law...

In any event, she & other Senators should be demanding that Senator DeFrancisco provide orally such information about the bills as is required to be in the non-existent reports – beginning with cumulative total appropriations of each bill, which, certainly, the public cannot figure out – and, I'm sure a great many Senators.

Thank you.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 11:05 AM

To: 'parker@senate.state.ny.us'; 'berkley@nysenate.gov'

Subject: Bravo to Sen. Parker -- Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

Attachments: transcription-3-24-13-senate-floor-proceedings.doc; transcription-2-26-09-senate-

floor-proceedings.doc; Legislative-Law 54.pdf; 2009-temporary-senate-committee-on-

rules-admin-reform.pdf

TO: Richard Berkley, Chief of Staff to Senator Parker

Following up my conversation, moments ago, with the Senator's assistant, Tanya Yarde, below is the e-mail I sent to Senator Krueger an hour ago.

Also annexed, Legislative Law 54 – which Senator DeFrancisco brazenly misrepresented on the floor of the Senate yesterday, as likewise the audibility of Senator Krueger's reading of the words "where practicable". That phase is in section 2(c) not 2(b) of Legislative Law 54, thereby reinforcing that such language pertains to the specificity of certain the content of the report, NOT to the requirement that the report be on the members' desks.

Also annexed, pertinent pages of the reports of the 2009 Temporary Senate Committee on Rules & Administration Reform of which Senator Parker was a member.

Please see that the Senator gets these immediately so that he can follow up, appropriately on the floor of the Senate today.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) 914-455-4373

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) [mailto:elena@judgewatch.org]

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 10:00 AM

To: 'cburke@nysenate.gov'

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; <u>usher@nysenate.gov</u> **Subject:** Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

To: Carolyn Burke, Legislative Director to Senator Liz Krueger

Attached, <u>as discussed</u>, are the two transcriptions: (1) pertinent portions of the Senate floor proceedings on the budget yesterday; & (2) an excerpt from the February 26, 2009 hearing of the Senate Temporary Committee on Rules & Administration Reform, in which Valesky, refers to the legislative hearings on the budget & Senator Krueger, there present on the dais, & says that the most important thing the Legislature does is the budget...

Senator Krueger must use these on the floor of the Senate today -- & challenge Senator DeFrancisco with respect to his brazen falsification of the audibility of her reading of Legislative Law 54 and its interpretation.

Other Senators should be speaking up –and, if necessary, should walk out of the Senate chamber – in defense of the public's rights. The majority is breaking the law...

In any event, she & other Senators should be demanding that Senator DeFrancisco provide orally such information about the bills as is required to be in the non-existent reports – beginning with cumulative total appropriations of each bill, which, certainly, the public cannot figure out – and, I'm sure a great many Senators.

Thank you.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 11:58 AM

To: hoylman@nysenate.gov

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; cburke@nysenate.gov; usher@nysenate.gov;

'parker@senate.state.ny.us'; berkley@nysenate.gov; latimer@nysenate.gov;

mallison@nysenate.gov

Subject: Bravo, Sen. Hoylman, on your remarks today, on the floor of the Senate, on

transparency & increased committee action

Attachments: transcription-3-24-13-senate-floor-proceedings.doc; transcription-2-26-09-senate-

floor-proceedings.doc; Legislative-Law 54.pdf; 2009-temporary-senate-committee-on-

rules-admin-reform.pdf

Please now stand up - on the Senate floor -- for Legislative Law 54 and "process" with respect to the budget.

Annexed is Legislative Law 54 – which Senator DeFrancisco brazenly <u>misrepresented</u> on the floor of the Senate yesterday, as likewise the audibility of Senator Krueger's reading of the words "where practicable". That phase is in section 2(c) not 2(b) of Legislative Law 54, thereby reinforcing that such language pertains to the specificity of certain the content of the report, NOT to the requirement that the report be on the members' desks.

My self-explanatory e-mail sent to Senator Krueger earlier this morning is below. The attachments are herein supplied, as, likewise, pertinent pages of the Minority & Majority Reports of the 2009 Temporary Senate Committee on Rules & Administration Reform. That Committee was co-chaired by Senators Valesky & Bonacic – and its other members included Senators Stewart-Cousins, Klein, Parker, Serrano, Squadron and Griffo, ALL of whom should be standing up for Legislative Law 54 and "process" with respect to the budget.

Our website, www.judgewatch.org, has a special page pertaining to the Senate's Temporary Committee on Rules & Administration Reform – with as much of its workproduct as we could find. It includes the video of the February 26, 2009 hearing at which Senator Valesky identifies the budget as the most important thing the Legislature does. Here's the direct link http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/judicial-compensation/rules-reform-resource-page-senate.htm

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) 914-455-4373

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) [mailto:elena@judgewatch.org]

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 10:00 AM

To: 'cburke@nysenate.gov'

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; <u>usher@nysenate.gov</u> **Subject:** Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

To: Carolyn Burke, Legislative Director to Senator Liz Krueger

Attached, <u>as discussed</u>, are the two transcriptions: (1) pertinent portions of the Senate floor proceedings on the budget yesterday; & (2) an excerpt from the February 26, 2009 hearing of the Senate Temporary Committee on Rules &

Administration Reform, in which Valesky, refers to the legislative hearings on the budget & Senator Krueger, there present on the dais, & says that the most important thing the Legislature does is the budget...

Senator Krueger must use these on the floor of the Senate today -- & challenge Senator DeFrancisco with respect to his brazen falsification of the audibility of her reading of Legislative Law 54 and its interpretation.

Other Senators should be speaking up –and, if necessary, should walk out of the Senate chamber – in defense of the public's rights. The majority is breaking the law...

In any event, she & other Senators should be demanding that Senator DeFrancisco provide orally such information about the bills as is required to be in the non-existent reports – beginning with cumulative total appropriations of each bill, which, certainly, the public cannot figure out – and, I'm sure a great many Senators.

Thank you.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 12:12 PM

To: hoylman@nysenate.gov

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; cburke@nysenate.gov; usher@nysenate.gov;

'parker@senate.state.ny.us'; berkley@nysenate.gov; latimer@nysenate.gov;

mallison@nysenate.gov

Subject: Attachment -- Bravo, Sen. Hoylman, on your remarks today, on the floor of the Senate,

on transparency & increased committee action

Attachments: transcription-3-24-13-senate-floor-proceedings.doc; transcription-2-26-09-senate-

floor-proceedings.doc; Legislative-Law 54.pdf; 2009-temporary-senate-committee-on-rules-admin-reform.pdf; 2009-temporary-senate-committee-on-rules-admin-reform.pdf

Attached are the inadvertently omitted pertinent pages from the 2009 Minority & Majority Reports of the Temporary Commission on Rules & Administration Reform pertaining to the budget.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) [mailto:elena@judgewatch.org]

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 11:58 AM

To: hoylman@nysenate.gov

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; cburke@nysenate.gov; usher@nysenate.gov; parker@senate.state.ny.us';

berkley@nysenate.gov; latimer@nysenate.gov; mallison@nysenate.gov

Subject: Bravo, Sen. Hoylman, on your remarks today, on the floor of the Senate, on transparency & increased

committee action

Please now stand up - on the Senate floor -- for Legislative Law 54 and "process" with respect to the budget.

Annexed is Legislative Law 54 – which Senator DeFrancisco brazenly <u>misrepresented</u> on the floor of the Senate yesterday, as likewise the audibility of Senator Krueger's reading of the words "where practicable". That phase is in section 2(c) not 2(b) of Legislative Law 54, thereby reinforcing that such language pertains to the specificity of certain the content of the report, NOT to the requirement that the report be on the members' desks.

My self-explanatory e-mail sent to Senator Krueger earlier this morning is below. The attachments are herein supplied, as, likewise, pertinent pages of the Minority & Majority Reports of the 2009 Temporary Senate Committee on Rules & Administration Reform. That Committee was co-chaired by Senators Valesky & Bonacic – and its other members included Senators Stewart-Cousins, Klein, Parker, Serrano, Squadron and Griffo, ALL of whom should be standing up for Legislative Law 54 and "process" with respect to the budget.

Our website, www.judgewatch.org, has a special page pertaining to the Senate's Temporary Committee on Rules & Administration Reform — with as much of its workproduct as we could find. It includes the video of the February 26, 2009 hearing at which Senator Valesky identifies the budget as the most important thing the Legislature does. Here's the direct link http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/judicial-compensation/rules-reform-resource-page-senate.htm

Thank you.

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 3:27 PM

To: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; cburke@nysenate.gov; usher@nysenate.gov;

'parker@senate.state.ny.us'; berkley@nysenate.gov; latimer@nysenate.gov;

mallison@nysenate.gov; hoylman@nysenate.gov

Subject: March 24th transcript: Standing up for Legislative Law 54 & "process" with respect to

the budget

Attachments: transcription-3-24-13-senate-floor-proceedings.doc; Legislative-Law 54.pdf

<u>Following up my e-mails to you this morning on standing up – on the Senate floor – for Legislative Law 54 and "process" with respect to the budget:</u>

Attached is a corrected & more complete transcription of yesterday's Senate floor proceedings. Also attached is Legislative Law 54, which could not be clearer.

As I previously stated, Senator DeFrancisco brazenly <u>misrepresented</u> Legislative Law 54, as likewise the audibility of Senator Krueger's reading of the words "where practicable". That phase is in section 2(c) not 2(b) of Legislative Law 54, thereby reinforcing that such language pertains to the specificity of certain content of the report, NOT to the requirement that the report be on the members' desks.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) 914-455-4373

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) [mailto:elena@judgewatch.org]

Sent: Monday, March 25, 2013 10:00 AM

To: 'cburke@nysenate.gov'

Cc: 'lkrueger@senate.state.ny.us'; <u>usher@nysenate.gov</u> **Subject:** Standing Up for Legislative Law 54 & "Process"

To: Carolyn Burke, Legislative Director to Senator Liz Krueger

Attached, <u>as discussed</u>, are the two transcriptions: (1) pertinent portions of the Senate floor proceedings on the budget yesterday; & (2) an excerpt from the February 26, 2009 hearing of the Senate Temporary Committee on Rules & Administration Reform, in which Valesky, refers to the legislative hearings on the budget & Senator Krueger, there present on the dais, & says that the most important thing the Legislature does is the budget...

Senator Krueger must use these on the floor of the Senate today -- & challenge Senator DeFrancisco with respect to his brazen falsification of the audibility of her reading of Legislative Law 54 and its interpretation.

Other Senators should be speaking up –and, if necessary, should walk out of the Senate chamber – in defense of the public's rights. The majority is breaking the law...

In any event, she & other Senators should be demanding that Senator DeFrancisco provide orally such information about the bills as is required to be in the non-existent reports – beginning with cumulative total appropriations of each bill, which, certainly, the public cannot figure out – and, I'm sure a great many Senators.

Thank you.