

Center for Judicial Accountability

From: Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewidth.org>
Sent: Friday, November 28, 2014 8:58 PM
To: 'daniel.epstein@causeofaction.org'
Cc: 'media@causeofaction.org'
Subject: Securing Accountability -- & Senate rejection of the Unworthy Nomination of US Attorney Loretta Lynch to be US Attorney General
Attachments: 10-2-14-letter-from-court.pdf

Dear Mr. Epstein,

Following up your important item in [Roll Call](#) two days ago – prompting my phone call to you earlier today – I would greatly appreciate if you would send me Congressman Issa’s December 7, 2011 letter to US Attorney Lynch to which she “failed to take action”.

As discussed – and as featured on the webpage I showed you pertaining to the Center for Judicial Accountability’s citizen opposition to US Attorney Lynch’s confirmation to be US Attorney General, <http://www.judgewidth.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-to-lynch.htm> – I have direct, first-hand experience with US Attorney Lynch, having filed with her two fully-documented complaints of high-level systemic NYS governmental corruption, to which she also “failed to take action”. The first, in 1999-2000, resulted in my filing a formal complaint, in 2001, with the US Justice Department’s Office of Professional Responsibility against her and then US Attorney for the Southern District of NY Mary Jo White – which the Justice Department’s Office of Professional Responsibility covered-up.

The second, in 2013, was covered up by the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption – protecting her and US Attorney for the Southern District of NY Preet Bharara, as likewise US Attorney for the Northern District of NY Richard Hartunian. This is chronicled by my April 23, 2014 order to show cause to intervene in the Legislature’s declaratory judgment action against the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption – as to which there will be oral argument on December 3, 2014 in Manhattan.

Below is the press release that I sent to [Pro Publica](#) earlier today about the December 3, 2014 oral argument and its reach in establishing US Attorney Lynch’s unfitness to be US Attorney General. After you review the referred-to evidence, I would greatly appreciate your comments and suggestions. Until then, please forward the press release to journalists looking for an explosive, fully-documented story.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewidth.org
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability [<mailto:elena@judgewidth.org>]
Sent: Friday, November 28, 2014 12:29 PM
To: 'suggestions@propublica.org'; 'info@propublica.org'
Cc: 'justin.elliott@propublica.org'; 'joaquin.sapien@propublica.org'; 'a.c.thompson@propublica.org'; 'jeff.gerth@propublica.org'; 'ginger.thompson@propublica.org'; 'lois.beckett@propublica.org'
Subject: Request for Pro Publica Coverage: Dec. 3rd Oral Argument in NYS Legislature's Declaratory Judgment Action vs Commission to Investigate Public Corruption -- 60 Centre St. , Manhattan, Room 222

Request for Pro Publica Coverage:
December 3rd Oral Argument in the NYS Legislature's Declaratory Judgment Action vs the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption

On Wednesday, December 3rd, at 3 p.m., Supreme Court Justice Alice Schlesinger will be holding oral argument in the declaratory judgment action brought by New York's Legislature against the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption, in her courtroom, Room 222, Supreme Court, 60 Centre Street, Manhattan. If she is true to the law and her oath of office, her decision will clean up New York's corrupt government, once and for all.

Has Pro Publica examined the record of the case?

I did – and concluded that the reason Governor Cuomo shut down the Commission was because – based on the record – he was going to lose – and especially once the Legislature struck out his funding appropriation for the Commission in the budget for fiscal year 2014-2015. Indeed, I concluded that the Legislature was entitled to summary judgment on the critical constitutional, separation of powers issues, but that a question existed as to whether the Senate and Assembly were actually parties and, further, that Temporary Senate Presidents Skelos and Klein and Assembly Speaker Silver had no standing to assert the separation of powers causes of action for the Senate and Assembly based on the true facts about the genesis of the Commission, concealed by their complaint. That is why, on April 23, 2014, I brought an order to show cause to intervene as a plaintiff, on behalf of the People of the State of New York & the public interest – including for purposes of challenging that the declaratory action was mooted by the Governor's shuttering of the Commission.

Initially, Justice Schlesinger dismissed the declaratory judgment action as moot, denying intervention for that reason. However, on June 17, 2014, I made a motion for reargument/renewal and vacatur for fraud. It is this dynamite motion that Justice Schlesinger had the courage to schedule for oral argument. Attached is her letter.

The record of the case is accessible from the Center for Judicial Accountability's website, www.judgewatch.org, by the prominent hyperlink on our homepage entitled: "THE PEOPLE FIGHT BACK: December 3rd Oral Argument – for a Judicial Declaration that the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption was Unconstitutional — & Itself Corrupt".

Over and beyond reporting on the December 3rd oral argument, the state of the record in this far-reaching case warrants an investigative expose by Pro Publica. This includes as to the absence of ANY Senate and Assembly resolutions authorizing the declaratory judgment action and the absence of any Senate and Assembly contracts with ANY of the law firms for this or other litigations, and no approvals by the Comptroller – the consequence of which is that the plaintiffs are not properly plaintiffs and that the untold hundreds of thousands of taxpayer dollars paid to the law firms are illegal, if not unconstitutional, expenditures. These are the explosive threshold issues, detailed and documented by my September 26, 2014 reply affidavit (¶¶2-3, 7-33) and my September 26, 2014 reply memorandum of law (pp. 1-2, 4-8), both in further support of my June 17, 2014 reargument/vacatur for fraud motion, directly accessible here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/commission-to-investigate-public-corruption/holding-to-account/6-17-14-reargument.htm>. Surely, Pro Publica can easily turn to constitutional scholars and legal experts to assist with the record analysis.

Please forward this e-mail to top editors and reporters at Pro Publica. This is a MAJOR STORY, whose ramifications reach to U.S. Attorneys Preet Bharara and Loretta Lynch – and, as to the latter, establish her unfitness for the office of U.S. Attorney General, to which I have already alerted the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee. The Commission invited both these U.S. Attorneys to be its honored opening witnesses at its September 17, 2013 public hearing in Manhattan – and then and thereafter covered up the documentary and testimonial evidence before it of their collusion in systemic corruption of NYS government, involving the highest public officers of all three government branches. This is particularized by my April 23, 2014 affidavit in support of my order to show cause to intervene (at ¶¶62, 65, 73-75) and by my April 23, 2014 proposed verified complaint (at ¶¶24-25, 29, 59, 66, 71, 93, 99, 123, 124). Here's the direct link to the webpage for those documents – on which, additionally, is a link to the September 17, 2013 public hearing:

<http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/commission-to-investigate-public-corruption/holding-to-account/4-23-14-osc-with-notice-to-produce.htm>.

I am available to be interviewed and to answer your questions, anytime – and I am reachable by e-mail (elena@judgewatch.org), phone (914-421-1200); cell & text (646-220-7987).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
914-421-1200
www.judgewatch.org