

## Center for Judicial Accountability

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 13, 2015 4:31 PM  
**To:** john\_eunice@perdue.senate.gov; david\_rybicki@judiciary-rep.senate.gov;  
ryan\_newman@cruz.senate.gov; james\_holland@vitter.senate.gov  
**Subject:** Reiterated Request for Submission of Written Questions to AG Nominee Lynch based  
on CJA's Dec. 17, 2014 & Jan. 6, 2015 Letters of Citizen Opposition  
**Attachments:** 2-13-15-ltr-sjc.pdf

Following up my below February 5, 2015 e-mail, to which I received no response, attached is the Center for Judicial Accountability's letter of today's date. Please transmit it to Senators Perdue, Cruz, and Vitter.

The letter is also posted on CJA's website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org) Here's the direct link:  
<http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/menu-exec-business-meeting.htm>.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
914-421-1200  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability [mailto:elena@judgewatch.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 05, 2015 6:08 PM  
**To:** john\_eunice@perdue.senate.gov  
**Cc:** david\_rybicki@judiciary-rep.senate.gov; ryan\_newman@cruz.senate.gov; [james\\_holland@vitter.senate.gov](mailto:james_holland@vitter.senate.gov)

**Subject: Taking the Lead in Collaboratively Verifying CJA's Dispositive Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of AG Nominee Loretta Lynch -- & President Obama's Duty to have Withdrawn the Nomination, Etc.**

Dear Mr. Eunice,

Following your return call to me on Tuesday evening and the e-mail I thereafter sent you, I have informed all members of the Senate Judiciary Committee of the two-fold request I discussed with you.

Earlier today, I received a return call from Senator Cruz' chief counsel, Ryan Newman, who will be reviewing CJA's December 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015 opposition letters and the substantiating evidence, accessible from the webpage of our website that I showed him, <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-lynch-ag.htm>.

I have also received an e-mail from Senator Vitter's counsel, James Holland, thanking me and stating "I'll take a serious look at this and see if we can add any of this material to our QFR submission."

May I propose that counsel for all three Senators take the lead in collaboratively working together to verify the DISPOSITIVE significance of CJA's citizen opposition – and the duty of President Obama to have NOT resubmitted Ms. Lynch's unworthy nomination to the Senate and to have referred the high-level New York State public corruption that she and other U.S. Attorneys have been "sitting on" to the Justice Department's Public Integrity Section of its Criminal Division, as our January 5, 2015 letter to him expressly requested.

Meantime, kindly furnish Senator Perdue with my below e-mail pertaining to the two-fold request we discussed together on Tuesday.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
914-421-1200

---

Dear Senator Perdue,

At the January 28-29th confirmation hearings, Chairman Grassley announced that you would have seven days within which to submit written questions for U.S. Attorney Lynch to answer. This gives you the opportunity to ask, in writing, that Ms. Lynch respond to the Center for Judicial Accountability's two letters to the Senate Judiciary Committee opposing her confirmation – letters I had sent to U.S. Attorney Lynch with an invitation for her response – and to which she had not responded. These opposition letters, dated December 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015, and the dispositive EVIDENTIARY PROOF substantiating them, are posted on the Center for Judicial Accountability's website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), accessible *via* the homepage hyperlink "CJA's Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of U.S. Attorney Loretta Lynch as U.S. Attorney General". The direct link is here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-lynch-ag.htm>.

Additionally, I request that you ensure that CJA's opposition letters are included in the record of the Senate Judiciary Committee's proceedings on the confirmation – as likewise all other opposition letters the Committee has received. This, because at the January 29th confirmation hearing, when Ranking Member Leahy held up a pile of supportive letters, requesting "consent" that they be "put in the record" – to which Chairman Grassley responded "without objection" – it did not appear that opposition letters were necessarily among them.

I am available to answer questions, including under oath.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
914-421-1200

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability [<mailto:elena@judgewatch.org>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 03, 2015 9:06 PM

**To:** [john\\_eunice@perdue.senate.gov](mailto:john_eunice@perdue.senate.gov)

**Cc:** [david\\_rybicki@judiciary-rep.senate.gov](mailto:david_rybicki@judiciary-rep.senate.gov)

**Subject: Once Again -- Dispositive Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of US Attorney Loretta Lynch as Attorney General -- & Request to Testify**

Dear Mr. Eunice,

Thank you for returning my call – and the generous amount of time you gave me to summarize in support of my request that Senator Perdue, who has the opportunity to submit written questions to U.S. Attorney Lynch, ask her to respond to CJA's letters of citizen opposition to her confirmation as Attorney General, sent to her on December 19, 2014 and January 6, 2015 and, additionally, that he ensure that these – and all other letters of opposition received by the Senate Judiciary Committee – are made part of the record.

Below is the explanatory e-mail, sent to you last week, for Senator Perdue, which apparently you had not seen.

Please feel free to contact me after you have reviewed it. I am available to answer questions and to give a statement under oath. Needless to say, it would be my privilege to speak directly to Senator Perdue so that he can take the lead in championing a confirmation process that is meaningful – and not, as it presently is, a charade.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
914-421-1200  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability [<mailto:elena@judgewatch.org>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 27, 2015 4:17 PM

**To:** 'john\_eunice@perdue.senate.gov'

**Subject: Dispositive Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of US Attorney Loretta Lynch as Attorney General -- & Request to Testify**

Dear Senator Perdue,

This follows up my phone call to your office yesterday afternoon, leaving a message for your counsel, John Eunice, in which I summarized the situation and the substantiating EVIDENCE, posted on the website of our non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA).

Since November 10, 2014 – the first business day after President Obama announced his nomination of US Attorney Lynch as Attorney General – I have repeatedly requested to testify in opposition at the Senate Judiciary Committee's confirmation hearing.

The Committee's two-day hearing begins tomorrow – and I have received NO response to my requests to testify. This, notwithstanding my December 17, 2014 letter to the Committee, reiterating those requests, is the ONLY opposition letter requesting to testify that the Committee has posted on its webpage for the confirmation:

<http://www.judiciary.senate.gov/nominations/executive/pn2136-113>.

Not posted by the Committee is my January 6, 2015 letter to it, highlighting that I had received NO response to the December 17, 2014 letter, enclosing my January 5, 2015 letter to President Obama, and expressly requesting that the Committee address my assertion therein:

“the Senate Judiciary Committee's own vetting is a fiction and its confirmation hearings essentially rigged to ensure confirmation, which it does by excluding opposition testimony from members of the public have dispositive evidence of nominee unfitness, such as corruption and ethics breaches.

At bar, NO Senator can vote for U.S. Attorney Lynch's confirmation based on the evidence here presented.” (capitalization in the original).

All these letters – and the mountain of EVIDENCE substantiating them – are posted on CJA's website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), accessible *via* the prominent homepage link: “CJA's Citizen Opposition to Senate Confirmation of U.S. Attorney Loretta Lynch as U.S. Attorney General”. Here's the direct link: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/lynch/2014-opposition-lynch-ag.htm>.

I believe that you – and your fellow rank-and-file Senate Judiciary Committee members – may be completely unaware of these letters and that Senators Grassley and Leahy, in their positions as Chair and Ranking Member, withheld them from you. Certainly, from the letters, you can speedily determine that under their “leadership”, neither Republican nor Democratic committee staff did any APPROPRIATE VETTING of Ms. Lynch’s fitness. At minimum, APPROPRIATE VETTING required that Committee counsel and investigators interview me – which they never did – and that they make findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the EVIDENCE I had furnished – which they plainly did not do, nor furnish same to Committee members. Had they done so, the Committee’s 18 rank-and-file Republican and Democratic members would have recognized, unanimously, that NO HEARING WAS NECESSARY, as the nomination had to be summarily rejected, absent its withdrawal by the President or withdrawal by Ms. Lynch.

**On behalf of your constituents – and the People of the United States of America – to whom you owe a sacred duty to scrutinize Ms. Lynch’s fitness to be this nation’s highest law enforcement officer, I request that you take immediate corrective steps. If, based upon the December 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015 letters, tomorrow’s confirmation hearing is not cancelled so that you and your fellow rank-and-file Senate Judiciary Committee members have sufficient opportunity to personally review them and the DISPOSITIVE EVIDENCE on which they rest, I request to be “invited” to testify in opposition, as I have repeatedly requested.**

**In any event, I respectfully request to know what criteria – if any – Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Leahy used in determining who they would “invite” to testify in opposition – and who they have already “invited” as opposition witnesses. Inasmuch as my December 17, 2014 letter is the ONLY opposition letter requesting to testify that the Committee has posted, it would appear that such opposition witnesses, if any, did not make written request. Is that correct? And, if so, were they solicited to testify?**

**It goes without saying that if the confirmation hearing proceeds tomorrow, Ms. Lynch must be interrogated about the December 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015 letters, which I sent her, expressly inviting her response. She has not responded – and the reason, obvious from the letters and the DISPOSITIVE EVIDENCE substantiating them, is that she cannot do so without admitting to her corruption and unfitness.**

I am available to answer questions.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
Tel: 914-421-1200  
Cell: 646-220-7987  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)