| SUP | REME | COURT | OF TH | E STATE | OF N | NEW YOR | (|
|-----|--------|----------|-------|---------|------|-----------|----------|
| APF | PELLA | TE DIVIS | ION | : FIRST | DEP | ARTMEN' | |
| | | | | | | | x |
| In | the | Matter | of | NORM/ | AN | LEONARI |) |
| CO | USINS, | an Atto | rney | & Coun | selo | r at Law: | |

Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department,

Petitioner,

NOTICE OF APPEAL

- against -

NORMAN LEONARD COUSINS (OCA Atty. Reg. No. 1437425),

| Respondent. |
|-------------|
| x |

SIRS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Judiciary Law § 90 (8) and/or CPLR 5601(b) (1) and (2), respondent herein and hereby appeals to the Court of Appeals of the State of New York from two Orders of the Appellate Division, First Department dated and filed in the Office of the Clerk of the Appellate Division, First Department on October 19, 2010 (at least one of which is final) which disbarred respondent from the practice of law effective November 18, 2010. Said Orders were served on respondent's counsel by the Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department via Certified Mail: Return Receipt Requested and received at the office of respondent's counsel on October 25, 2010.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that respondent appeals from each and every portion of said Orders as well as from the whole thereof.

Dated: New York, New York November 23, 2010

Yours, etc.,

/s/ VICTOR M. SERBY
VICTOR M. SERBY
Attorney for Respondent
255 Hewlett Neck Road
Woodmere, NY 11598-1452
(516) 374-2455

To: DEPARTMENTAL DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE

Attorney for Petitioner

Supreme Court, Appellate Division

First Judicial Department

61 Broadway

New York, New York 10006

(212) 401-0800

SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Department of Law The Capitol Albany, New York 12224

NEW YORK STATE COURT OF APPEALS

Pursuant to section 500.9 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals

| 1. CAPTION OF CASE (as the | e parties should be denominated in the Court of Appeals): |
|--|--|
| STATE OF NEW YORK | COURT OF APPEALS |
| Departmental Disciplinary Co Judicial Department, | ommittee for the First Judicial |
| | Petitioner, |
| -against- NORMAN LEONARD COUS (OCA Atty. Reg. No. 143742 | |
| | Respondent. |
| Name of court or tribunal what Appellate Division, First | nere case originated, including county, if applicable: |
| 3. Civil index number, crimina court or tribunal of original i | l indictment number or other number assigned to the matter in the instance: M-6017 |
| 4. Docket number assigned to tappellate court: M-2 | the matter at the Appellate Division or other intermediate 88 |
| Leave to appeal gra Division CPLR 5601(a): dis CPLR 5601(b)(1): CPLR 5601(b)(2): CPLR 5601(c): Ap stipulation for judg CPLR 5601(d): fro | anted by the Court of Appeals or a Judge of the Court of Appeals anted by the Appellate Division or a Justice of the Appellate sents on the law at the Appellate Division constitutional ground (Appellate Division order) constitutional ground (judgment of court of original instance) pellate Division order granting a new trial or hearing, upon |

| 6. How this appear w | vas taken to the C | Court of Appeals (choose one) (see CPLR 3313[1]). |
|--|--|--|
| NOTICE OF | APPEAL | Date filed: Nov. 24, 2010 Clerk's office where filed: First Dept. |
| ORDER GRA | ANTING LEAVE | E TO APPEAL (civil case): Court that issued order: Date of order: |
| CERTIFICAT | ΓE GRANTING I | LEAVE TO APPEAL (criminal case): Justice or Judge who issued order: Court: Date of order: |
| Was appellan appealed fron If yes, papers | t served by its add and notice of its date on which approximately: Rec'c | peal in civil case (CPLR 5513, 5514): diversary with a copy of the order, judgment or determination ts entry? yes no appellant was served (if known, or discernable from the d Oct. 25, 2010 ch appellant was served: personal delivery regular mail overnight courier other (describe CM:RRR) |
| Court | in this case? | ving information: served the motion for leave to appeal made at |

| - | - | T 0 | |
|----|--------|--------|--------|
| v | Dowter | Intom | otion. |
| 0 | Fally | Inform | allon. |
| 0. | 1 0110 | **** | |

Instructions: Fill in the name of each party to the action or proceeding, one name per line. Indicate the status of the party in the court of original instance and the party's status in this Court, if any. Examples of a party's original status include: plaintiff, defendant, petitioner, respondent, claimant, third-party plaintiff, third-party defendant, intervenor. Examples of a party's Court of Appeals status include: appellant, respondent, appellant-respondent, respondent-appellant, intervenor-appellant.

| No. | Party Name | Original Status | Court of Appeals Status |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Departmental Disciplinary Committee | Petitioner | Respondent |
| 2 | Norman Leonard Cousins | Respondent | Appelant-Respondent |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| _7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |

| 9. | Attornasi | information | 1 |
|----|-----------|---------------|----|
| フ. | Auomey | IIIIOIIIIauoi | ı. |
| | | | |

Instructions: For each party listed above, fill in the name of the one law firm and responsible attorney who will act as counsel of record, if the party is represented. Where a litigant is self-represented, fill in that party's data in section 10 below.

| r Party No. 1 above: | |
|---|--|
| w Firm Name: Departmental Disciplinary Committee for the First Judicial Department | |
| sponsible Attorney: Madv J. Edelstein | |
| eet Address: 61 Broadway | |
| ty: New York State: NY Zip: 10006 | |
| lephone No: 212-401-0800 Ext. Fax: (212) 401-0810 | |
| appearing Pro Hac Vice, has attorney satisfied requirements of section 500.4 of the Rules of the Court of | |
| opeals?yesno | |
| | |
| r Party No. <u>2</u> above: | |
| w Firm Name: Victor M. Serby | |
| sponsible Attorney: Victor M. Serby | |
| eet Address: 255 Hewlett Neck Road | |
| ty: Woodmere State: NY Zip: 11598-1452 | |
| lephone No: (516) 374-2455 Ext. Fax: (267) 841-0009 | |
| appearing Pro Hac Vice, has attorney satisfied requirements of section 500.4 of the Rules of the Court of | |
| ppeals?yesno | |
| | |
| r Party No above: | |
| w Firm Name: | |
| sponsible Attorney: | |
| reet Address: | |
| ty:State:Zip: | |
| lephone No: Ext. Fax: | |
| appearing Pro Hac Vice, has attorney satisfied requirements of section 500.4 of the Rules of the Court of | |
| angels? yes no | |

| For Party No above: |
|--|
| Law Firm Name: |
| Responsible Attorney: |
| Street Address: |
| City: State: Zip: |
| Telephone No: Ext. Fax: |
| If appearing Pro Hac Vice, has attorney satisfied requirements of section 500.4 of the Rules of the Court of |
| Appeals?yesno |
| |
| For Party No above: |
| Law Firm Name: |
| Responsible Attorney: |
| Street Address: |
| City State: Zip: |
| Street Address: City: State: Zip: Telephone No: Ext. Fax: |
| If appearing Pro Hac Vice, has attorney satisfied requirements of section 500.4 of the Rules of the Court of |
| Appeals?yesno |
| hppeals:yesno |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| (Use additional sheets if necessary) |
| |
| |
| |
| 10. Self-Represented Litigant information: |
| |
| For Party No above: |
| Party's Name: |
| Street Address: |
| City:State:Zip: |
| Telephone No.:ExtFax: |
| |
| For Party Noabove: |
| Party's Name: |
| Street Address: |
| City: State: Zip: |
| Telephone No.: Ext. Fax: |
| - |
| 11. Related motions and applications: |
| |
| Does any party to the appeal have any motions or applications related to this appeal |
| pending in the Court of Appeals? yes v no |
| If yes, specify: |
| |
| a. the party who filed the motion or application: |
| b. the return date of the motion: |
| c. the relief sought: |
| c. the tener sought. |
| |
| Does any party to the appeal have any motions or applications in this case currently |
| pending in the court from which the appeal is taken? vesno |
| |
| If yes, specify: |
| a. the party who filed the motion or application: Respondent - Appellant |
| b. the return date of the motion: December 7, 2010 |
| o. the retain date of the motion. December 7, 2010 |

| Are there any other pending motions or ongoing proceedings in this case? If yes, please describe briefly the nature and the status of such motions or proceedings: No. | | c. the relief sought: Reargument Or Leave to Appeal |
|---|---------------|--|
| nonbinding designation, for preliminary issue identification purposes only): 1) Is collateral estoppel an acceptable substitute for due process of law? 2) Can the DDC avoid complying with the Rules & Procedures of the Departmental Disciplinary Committee (Part 605 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department) in a vacuum (meaning, in the absence of any pending proceeding against an attorney)? 3) Did the DDC's conduct in this matter violate the First Department's holding in In re Antoine, 46 A.D.3d 60 (1st Dept. 2007)? 4) In New Jersey and most states, the standard of proof in an attorney disciplinary proceeding is no less than "clear & convincing evidence". Appellant will urge the Court of Appeals to adopt the "clear & convincing evidence" standard as the minimally accepted standard of proof in attorney disciplinary proceedings throughout NYS (except in non-disbarrable proceedings involving simple negligence or neglect of client matters). 5) The DDC's total noncompliance with Judiciary Law § 90 (8) is a violation of respondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. (use additional sheet, if necessary) Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | | , |
| 2) Can the DDC avoid complying with the Rules & Procedures of the Departmental Disciplinary Committee (Part 605 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department) in a vacuum (meaning, in the absence of any pending proceeding against an attorney)? 3) Did the DDC's conduct in this matter violate the First Department's holding in In re Antoine, 46 A.D.3d 60 (1st Dept. 2007)? 4) In New Jersey and most states, the standard of proof in an attorney disciplinary proceeding is no less than "clear & convincing evidence". Appellant will urge the Court of Appeals to adopt the "clear & convincing evidence" standard as the minimally accepted standard of proof in attorney disciplinary proceedings throughout NYS (except in non-disbarrable proceedings involving simple negligence or neglect of client matters). 5) The DDC's total noncompliance with Judiciary Law § 90 (8) is a violation of respondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. (use additional sheet, if necessary) Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | | |
| Departmental Disciplinary Committee (Part 605 of the Rules of the Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department) in a vacuum (meaning, in the absence of any pending proceeding against an attorney)? 3) Did the DDC's conduct in this matter violate the First Department's holding in In re Antoine, 46 A.D.3d 60 (1st Dept. 2007)? 4) In New Jersey and most states, the standard of proof in an attorney disciplinary proceeding is no less than "clear & convincing evidence". Appellant will urge the Court of Appeals to adopt the "clear & convincing evidence" standard as the minimally accepted standard of proof in attorney disciplinary proceedings throughout NYS (except in non-disbarrable proceedings involving simple negligence or neglect of client matters). 5) The DDC's total noncompliance with Judiciary Law § 90 (8) is a violation of respondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. (use additional sheet, if necessary) Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | 1) | Is collateral estoppel an acceptable substitute for due process of law? |
| In re Antoine, 46 A.D.3d 60 (1st Dept. 2007)? 4) In New Jersey and most states, the standard of proof in an attorney disciplinary proceeding is no less than "clear & convincing evidence". Appellant will urge the Court of Appeals to adopt the "clear & convincing evidence" standard as the minimally accepted standard of proof in attorney disciplinary proceedings throughout NYS (except in non-disbarrable proceedings involving simple negligence or neglect of client matters). 5) The DDC's total noncompliance with Judiciary Law § 90 (8) is a violation of respondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. (use additional sheet, if necessary) Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | D C | epartmental Disciplinary Committee (Part 605 of the Rules of the Supreme ourt, Appellate Division, First Department) in a vacuum (meaning, in the |
| disciplinary proceeding is no less than "clear & convincing evidence". Appellant will urge the Court of Appeals to adopt the "clear & convincing evidence" standard as the minimally accepted standard of proof in attorney disciplinary proceedings throughout NYS (except in non-disbarrable proceedings involving simple negligence or neglect of client matters). 5) The DDC's total noncompliance with Judiciary Law § 90 (8) is a violation of respondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. (use additional sheet, if necessary) Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | | |
| respondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. (use additional sheet, if necessary) Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | di w st | isciplinary proceeding is no less than "clear & convincing evidence". Appellant ill urge the Court of Appeals to adopt the "clear & convincing evidence" and as the minimally accepted standard of proof in attorney disciplinary roceedings throughout NYS (except in non-disbarrable proceedings involving |
| Does appellant request that this appeal be considered for resolution pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? | 5) re | The DDC's total noncompliance with Judiciary Law § 90 (8) is a violation of espondent's rights to due process of law under the US & NYS Constitutions. |
| 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)?yesno If yes, set forth a concise statement why appellant believes that consideration pursuant | (| use additional sheet, if necessary) |
| | 5 | 500.11 of the Rules of the Court of Appeals (Alternative Procedure for Selected Appeals)? |
| | | |
| | - | |
| | - | |

| 14. | Notice to the Attorney General. |
|--------|--|
| | Is any party to the appeal asserting that a statute is unconstitutional?v_yesno |
| | If yes, has appellant met the requirement of notice to the Attorney General in section 500.9(b) of the Rules of the Court of Appeals?no |
| 15. | ITEMS REQUIRED TO BE ATTACHED TO EACH COPY OF THIS STATEMENT: |
| | A. A copy of the filed notice of appeal, a copy of the order granting leave to appeal (civil case), or a copy of the certificate granting leave to appeal (noncapital criminal case), whichever is applicable; |
| | B. The order, judgment or determination appealed from to this Court; |
| | C. Any order, judgment or determination which is the subject of the order appealed from, or which is otherwise brought up for review; |
| | D. All decisions or opinions relating to the orders set forth in subsections B and C above; and |
| | E. If required, a copy of the notice sent to the Attorney General pursuant to section 500.9(b) of the Rules of the Court of Appeals. |
| | F. If required, a disclosure statement pursuant to section 500.1(f) of the Rules of the Court of Appeals. |
| Date: | Dec. 1, 2010 Submitted by: Victor M. Serbv |
| | (Name of law firm) /s/ Victor M. Serby |
| | (Signature of responsible attorney) |
| | <u>Victor M. Serbv</u> (Typed name of responsible attorney) |
| | |
| | Attorneys for appellant Norman Leonard Cousins (Name of party) |
| | (Name of party) |
| | -or- |
| Date:_ | Submitted by, pro se, pro se, |
| | (Typed/printed name of self-represented appellant) |