



LITIGATION

(BUSINESS
AND THE LAW)

Litigation is the backbone of the Washington Legal Foundation's (WLF) public interest programs. WLF litigates across the country, before state and federal courts and agencies.

Our litigation team takes a multi-faceted approach to the practice of public interest law to promote and protect economic rights and liberties:

-
- We litigate original actions and file briefs in federal and state courts on behalf of individuals, property owners, businesses, industrial groups, citizen and consumer groups, and federal and state legislators.
-
- We file citizen petitions and position papers-seeking to reduce over-regulation-with government agencies.
-
- We testify before Congressional committees and government agencies on the public interest aspects of proposed legal and policy changes in the law and its administration.
-
- We conduct investigations to uncover government abuse and to promote accountability.
-
- * We file reform proposals with state Supreme Courts and Bar Associations to promote ethical conduct among lawyers, and lodge disciplinary complaints against federal and state judges engaged in misconduct.

WLF is noted for its aggressive, professional litigation skills, which enable it to prosecute high-profile, landmark cases while representing a diverse public interest base.

Our seasoned attorneys have broad expertise in a number of fields, including:

-
- commercial free speech,

-
- product liability,
-
- punitive damages,
-
- food and drug law,
-
- environmental and property rights law,
-
- administrative law,
-
- and constitutional law.

This in-house litigation capability is enhanced by the resources of dozens of law firms, which provide invaluable *pro bono* services and multiply our effectiveness in the courts and agencies.

WLF brings a much-needed rational voice to the public debate and decision-making process on economic rights issues-in the courts, regulatory agencies and the media.

[WLF's Mission and Goals](#) / [What's New](#) / [Litigation](#) / [Civic Communications Program](#) / [Legal Studies](#) / [How to Support WLF](#) / [Comment/ About the Site](#)



home

**LEGAL STUDIES
PUBLICATIONS**

(~~SUBJECT INDEX~~) (~~PUBLICATION
SAMPLES~~)

Judiciary and Courts

(~~ORDER NOW~~) *Click to order any of the following publications.*

Activist State High Court

Has No Faith In Contracts

*By Peter J. Pizzi, a partner
with the Roseland, New
Jersey law firm Connell, Foley
& Geiser LLP.*

Legal Opinion Letter,

October 24, 1997, 2 pages

Erosion Of Judge's

Compensation Threaten's

Judicial Independance

*By Honorable Charles B.
Renfrew, a partner in the San
Francisco law firm of
LeBeouf, Lamb, Greene, &
MacRae L.L.P.*

Legal Backgrounder,

December 18, 1996, 4 pages

Lifting The Veil: TV

Cameras In Federal Courts

*By Jeffrey H. Ballabon, Vice
President for Government
Relations and Public Affairs
for Court TV, and Jonathan
Sherman, an attorney with the
New York firm Cahill Gordon
& Reindel.*

Legal Backgrounder,

November 1, 1996, 4 pages

Improving The Judicial

Discipline And Removal

Process

*By Robert W. Kastenmeier, a
former Member of Congress
and Chairman of the National
Commission on Discipline and*

New Business Court Improves

State's Legal Environment

*By John LW Garrou, a Member in
the Winston-Salem office of
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice,
PLLC.*

Legal Opinion Letter, March 7,

1997, 2 pages

Supreme Court Ducks

Opportunity To Address Lawyer

Financing Of Judicial Elections

*By Thomas G. Hungar, an
associate in the Washington, D.C.
office of the law firm of Gibson,
Dunn & Crutcher.*

Legal Opinion Letter, October 21,

1994, 2 pages

Maintaining User Involvement

In Civil Justice Reform

*By Jeffrey J. Peck, Managing
Director, Office of Government
Affairs for Arthur Andersen &
Co.'s Washington, D.C. office and
former Staff Director and General*

Removal.

Legal Backgrounder,
February 19, 1993, 4 pages

**Actions Of Federal Judge
G. Ross Anderson Raise
Questions Of Bias**

By David Andrew Price,
former President for
Litigation Affairs at WLF.
Counsel's Advisory, May 6,
1994, 1 page

**Judicial Taxation: Are The
Courts Exceeding Their
Remedial Powers?**

By Richard A. Samp, Chief
Counsel of WLF.
Legal Backgrounder, October
27, 1989, 4 pages

**Putting The Fortune 500 In
Jail: A New Era Of Judicial
Activism**

By Dr. Wayne Gable, former
President of Citizens for a
Sound Economy.
Legal Backgrounder, May 26,
1989, 3 pages

**Significant Business
Decisions Of The Supreme
Court, 1986-87 Term**

By William E. Kovacic, a
professor and *Dr. Henry N.*
Butler, a former professor, at
George Mason University
School of Law, and *Dr.*
William A. Fischel, a
professor at Dartmouth
College. Foreword by *Daniel*
J. Popeo.

**A Proposal For The
Establishment Of An
Administrative Court
System**

By Rodolphe J.S. de Siefe, a

Counsel of the Senate Judiciary
Committee, where he worked
extensively on the Civil Justice
Reform Act.

Legal Backgrounder, January 8,
1993, 4 pages

**What Persuades Courts To
Enforce Choice Of Law
Provisions In Franchise
Agreements?**

By Lewis G. Rudnick, Senior
Partner, with the Chicago law firm
Rudnick & Wolfe.

Legal Backgrounder, April 2,
1993, 4 pages

**Decisions Of Judge Sarokin:
Profile Of A Judicial Activist**

By Daniel Shuchman, a research
analyst with an international
investment banking firm in New
York.

Legal Backgrounder, August 4,
1989, 6 pages

The Problem Of Judicial Activism

By Former U.S. Senator Gordon
Humphrey (R-NH)

Legal Backgrounder, April 28,
1989, 4 pages

**Judge Bork And The
Confirmation Game**

By Dr. William Eaton, a former
law professor at the University of
California-Berkeley and San Jose
State University, and a practicing
attorney in San Francisco with
ARBITRATION.

Working Paper, September 1987,
41 pages

**No Matter What You Think
About "-----," The Constitution
Should Not Be Compromised**

By The Honorable Pete du Pont,
former Governor of Delaware,

professor at Northern Illinois University College of Law.
Working Paper, July 1987, 52 pages

The Importance Of A Jurisprudence Of Original Intent

By Herman Belz, a professor of history at the University of Maryland.

Legal Backgrounder, February 27, 1987, 2 pages

Courts Under Siege

By Dr. William Eaton
Working Paper, October 1986, 25 pages

Judicial Activism In Zoning Regulations And The Mt. Laurel Doctrine: Once Is More Than Enough

By Claudia Tenney, a former J.M. Fellow in Law and Public Policy for WLF.

Legal Backgrounder, June 17, 1986, 2 pages

former candidate for the 1988 Republican Presidential nomination, and a partner in the Wilmington law firm of Richards, Layton & Finger.

Legal Backgrounder, June 26, 1987, 8 pages

How Judges Should Interpret The Constitution

By James E. Bond, a professor at University of Puget Sound School of Law and a member of WLF's Legal Policy Advisory Board.

Legal Backgrounder, December 12, 1986, 2 pages

Whose Constitution? An Inquiry Into The Limits Of

Constitutional Interpretation
By The Honorable J. Clifford Wallace, a Senior Judge on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

Working Paper, September 1986, 17 pages

The President's Power To Appoint Federal Judges: A Popular Check On Court Usurpations

By Bruce E. Fein, a partner with Blaustein & Fein.

Working Paper, August 1985, 19 pages

([* ORDER NOW *](#)) ([* TOP OF PAGE *](#)) ([* PREVIOUS SUBJECT *](#)) ([* NEXT SUBJECT *](#)) ([* BACK TO INDEX *](#))

[WLF's Mission and Goals](#) / [What's New](#) / [Litigation](#) / [Civic Communications Program](#) / [Legal Studies](#) / [How to Support WLF](#) / [Comment/ About the Site](#)