

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 17, 2016 12:40 PM  
**To:** steckP@assembly.state.ny.us; brownmm@assembly.state.ny.us

**Subject:** **What was the outcome of your review of the March 23rd verified 2nd supplemental complaint in CJA's citizen-taxpayer action? --**

**Attachments:** [5-3-16-email-to-steck.pdf](#); [2-7-14-email-to-steck.pdf](#)

Dear Assemblyman Steck,

After leaving a voice mail message for you at your district office, I have just concluded a lengthy conversation with your assistant, Ms. Brown pertaining to our phone conversations on May 3, 2016 and my e-mail to you of that date. It is attached, as is my February 7, 2014 e-mail to you. I have no record of response from you to either of these. Did you respond?

What findings of fact and conclusions of law did you – as a lawyer-legislator and member of the Assembly Judiciary Committee – make with respect to the May 23<sup>rd</sup> verified 2<sup>nd</sup> supplemental complaint in CJA's citizen-taxpayer action concerning the budget, the judicial pay raises – and the Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation?

I will be testifying before the Commission tomorrow – including as to what became of the thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth causes of action in the lawsuit – now presented as the sixth, seventh, and eighth causes of action in a new citizen-taxpayer action, commenced on September 2, 2016: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/budget/citizen-taxpayer-action/2016/menu-2nd-citizen-taxpayer-action.htm>. Do you agree – or disagree – that, like the first, its posture is one of summary judgment for the plaintiffs?

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
914-421-1200