

United States Court of Appeals
Eleventh Circuit
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Miguel J. Cortez
Clerk

In Replying Give Number
Of Case And Names Of Parties

July 22, 1994

Mr. George Sassower
16 Lake Street
White Plains, NY 10603

RE: Misc. No. 94-1137, In the Matter of: GEORGE SASSOWER

Dear Mr. Sassower:

Enclosed is an order of Chief Judge Gerald Bard Tjoflat which has been received and filed in this office and which is effective as of the date filed. This order determines the complaint of judicial misconduct earlier filed by you pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §372(c) and Addendum III of the Rules of the Judicial Council of the Eleventh Circuit. I also invite your attention to Rules 4, 5, 6 and 16 of Addendum III.

Sincerely,



Thomas K. Kahn
Chief Deputy Clerk

TKK/emw

Enclosure

c: Hon. Norman C. Roettger, Jr.
Hon. Donald L. Graham
Circuit Clerk Secured File

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
APR 22 1994
MIGUEL J. CORTEZ
CLERK

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
Miscellaneous Docket No. 94-1117

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
GEORGE SASSOWER


IN RE: The complaint of George Sassower against
United States District Judge Donald L. Graham
of the Southern District of Florida under
the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980,
28 U.S.C. § 372(c).

ORDER

The complainant George Sassower is attempting to use the complaint procedure of 28 U.S.C. § 372(c) as an alternative means of obtaining judicial review of District Judge Donald L. Graham's dismissal (on March 23, 1994) of his law suit against Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland et al., in the Southern District of Florida, case no. 93-2268-CIV-GRAHAM. Mr. Sassower has appealed the dismissal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit on April 13, 1994; the appeal is now pending as case no. 94-4417.

Mr. Sassower's complaint does allege that Judge Graham, in handling the law suit, engaged in conduct sanctionable under Section 372(c), but the allegations contains no facts. In truth, this complaint constitutes nothing more than an abuse of the Section 372(c) process; it is a rank attempt to coerce the result

the complainant seeks and thus far has been denied. The complaint is, accordingly, DISMISSED.



Chief Judge
of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Dated this 20th day of July, 1994.