

GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW
51 DAVIS AVENUE
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10605

914-949-2169

* 87-8503

March 22, 1987

Chief Judge, Wilfred Feinberg
Court of the Appeals: Second Circuit,
40 Foley Square,
New York, New York, 10007

Honorable Sir:

1a. I shall be filing some motions in Your Honor's Court, requesting that they be considered in some other circuit, alternatively, en banc consideration in the first instance.

b. Each motion shall question the integrity of the judicial system, state and federal, nisi prius and appellate, including Your Honor's Court.

c. Unquestionably, insofar as there is corruption or usurpation of power, the federal and state systems, are operating in tandem in the matters presented.

2a. My problems, and my concomitant obligations, started immediately after November 7, 1983, when my suspicions of the larceny of judicial trust assets, perjury, and corruption, proved to be correct, as the "hard evidence" began to surface.

b. Then and there surfacing revealed that a corrupt and weak judiciary, state and federal, totally abandoned its administrative obligations to assure itself that certain helpless XIV Amendment "persons" received constitutional representation.

c. Stealing, plundering, perjury, extortion, corruption became the "coins of the realm" in the litigation involving PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD. ["Puccini"].

d. My and my client's basic constitutional and civilized rights were also to be jettisoned by the judiciary, as corruption was to become the "supreme law of the land" in this area.

3a. In a little more than one (1) year, I have been convicted five (5) times of non-summary criminal contempt, state and federal, each time without a trial or hearing.

Impossible that this should happen once, my colleagues and friends told and are telling me.

One such conviction was recently vacated after I served almost my full term (Sassower v. Sheriff, 651 F. Supp. 128).

Rather than affording me a hearing, wherein the "criminals with law degrees" cannot prove anything I did constituted non-summary criminal contempt, they have appealed to Your Honor's Court requesting a reversal.

b. Three (3) times in one year, I was incarcerated under the aforementioned triales convictions.

c. Judicial Orders were issued out of the New York County Courthouse directing the Sheriff of Westchester County "to break-into" my home, "seize all word processing equipment and soft ware", and to "inventory" my possessions.

Uncertain as to the reaction of the Sheriff to the first of such mentally depraved orders, I fled from my home in the middle of the night, with my word processing equipment and files, and went underground for several weeks.

d. My bank assets have been seized under a "phantom" judgment, and even my right to jest, has been confiscated.

When I stated that because of the seizure of my bank assets under a phantom judgment, I must keep my monies in my "non-interest bearing mattress", I was met with an application directing the Sheriff to "break-into" my home and "tear apart" my "not-interest bearing mattress".

e. All my actions, related and unrelated to the subject litigation, have been stayed or "fixed" depriving me of my right to earn a livelihood, and more important have severely prejudiced the rights of my non-involved clients.

f. These triales criminal convictions are not wrongs against society, but simply a vehicle to extort and blackmail.

Thus, Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN ["Klein"], a veteran, but corrupt, state jurist, convicted HYMAN RAFFE ["Raffe"], SAM POLUR, Esq. ["Polur"], and myself, each without a trial, and sentenced each one of us to be incarcerated for thirty (30) days.

Raffe, gave these "self-styled, self-appointed criminal prosecutors" a few hundred thousand dollars, by check, and other considerations as well, including a release in favor of Klein and the other corrupt jurists, and never was incarcerated.

Polur served his full term, but when he left the scene, the disciplinary proceedings against him, based on this criminal conviction, unofficially terminated.

I served my full term, and the state courts have disbarred me, after thirty-seven (37) years of continuous practice, essentially based on the aforementioned triales criminal convictions, including one by Your Honor's Court, and for otherwise being honest, both in action and speech.

g. Your Honor, when jurists of good repute, such as Hon. MARTIN B. STECHER and Hon. WILLIAM C. CONNER, deny and hurl draconian costs against me and my client for requesting that judicial proceedings, including contempt, in the "non-public courtroom of DONALD DIAMOND", be nullified and prohibited, and other basic constitutional relief afforded, the entire judicial system is not worth a plug nickel.

h. My adversaries, now contend that the non-public courtroom permits appearance therein by parties, but not spectators, as if that exception makes it constitutionally acceptable.

The last time, I entered the "non-public courtroom of Referee DONALD DIAMOND", I requested permission to make a motion which would enable Puccini, a judicial trust, to increase its assets by a minimum of \$300,000, within forty-five (45) days, without risk or cost.

Referee DONALD DIAMOND, imposed costs upon me for more than \$197,000 for making such request. My client, who in a few line affidavit, consented to same, had costs imposed upon him of more than \$200,000!

i. Consequently, because of such barbarian in terrorem tactics, for which the federal, as well as the state, courts, offer no relief, except to hurl further costs upon me and my client, I had to resort to the automatic stay provisions in the Bankruptcy Code.

4. With the same candor that often augurs trouble, and it will be recited in my papers before Your Honor's Court, let me set forth the following.

a. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal the, "tip of the iceberg" Order of Judge DAVID N. EDELSTEIN, who I understand is very conservative in sustaining §2254 writs.

b. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, where the trialess criminal contempt proceedings took place in a "non-public courtroom".

c. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, where the criminal contempt proceedings were commenced less than one (1) month after both my client and myself were vindicated of criminal contempt after a two (2) year massive submission by my adversaries to Mr. Justice MARTIN EVANS, and where the assertions, contentions, and evidence was substantially the same.

d. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, where on the same day such contempt Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND was confirmed, Mr. Justice LESTER EVENS resoundingly vindicated me of the same charges, based on the same allegations, and the same evidence.

e. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, where in the one year period between the commencement of the criminal contempt proceedings and its confirmation, there were more than fifteen (15) such proceedings, which resulted in verdicts other than guilty.

f. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, where such proceeding was referred to Referee DONALD DIAMOND after the intervention by Administrator XAVIER C. RICCOBONO ["Corruption Incarnate"], with Hon. MARTIN EVANS to make such reference.

g. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, where such Report was confirmed by Hon. MARTIN EVANS after "Corruption Incarnate" again intervened, but Mr. Justice MARTIN EVANS, while he confirmed same, did so without imposing any penalty.

h. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when a substantial similar Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND was abandoned without confirmation, when my client paid hundred of thousands of dollars, signed releases which ran in favor of inter alia, "Corruption Incarnate", Referee DONALD DIAMOND, and Mr. Justice IRA GAMMERMANN, the "trio of judicial fixers", as they were described in judicially filed federal papers, years ago, and otherwise relinquished very substantial rights.

i. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when within two (2) business days after the resounding vindication by Hon. LESTER EVANS and the effective vindication by Hon. MARTIN EVANS, in the Office of Staff Counsel of this Court, I was served with four (4) more contempt proceedings, based upon the same charges, the same allegations, and the same evidence.

j. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when after these new four (4) proceedings "went down the drain" with results other than guilty, Mr. Justice IRA GAMMERMANN, another personal selectee of "Corruption Incarnate", without any motion papers, without any supporting papers, without any opposing papers, without any accusation, without any trial or hearing, without any pretended compliance with Judiciary Law §756, without any pretended compliance with "due process", without any anything, simply found me guilty of criminal contempt, and imposed punishment.

k. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when a reversal would constitute "double punishment" under the same charges.

l. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when I asserted that I was entitled to Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83) material, and that I was being prosecuted by "self-styled, self-appointed, public prosecutors", who made no attempt to comply with Berger v. U.S. (295 U.S. 78) standards.

m. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when there was no compliance with my United States v. Agurs (427 U.S. 97) demand.

n. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, when during the state proceedings, I offered to accept a period of incarceration of six (6) months, not thirty (30) days, if convicted of only one (1) count of criminal contempt, not sixty-three (63), if afforded a fundamentally fair trial, held according to law.

o. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, by these "self-styled, self-appointed, public prosecutors", when no Court nor Judge had invested them with such prosecutorial power (Polo Fashions v. Stock Buyers, 760 F.2d 698 [6th Cir.], amicus invited, U.S. , 106 S.Ct. 565, 88 L.Ed2d 550; Brotherhood v. U.S., 411 F.2d 312 [5th Cir.]; U.S. ex rel. Vuitton v. Klayminc, 780 F2d 179 [2d Cir.]).

p. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, and chance being asked about the massive larceny of judicial trust assets, the blatant perjury, the extortion, and the corruption involved.

q. No rational attorney, unless he had a "fix" in Your Honor's Court, would appeal such Order, and chance being asked about a "final accounting" which was supposed to be rendered after one (1) year (Bus. Corp. Law §1216(a)), must be filed with the County Clerk, "at least once a year" (22 NYCRR §§202.52, 202.53), when it is now almost seven (7) years without any "accounting" having been filed.

5a. Your Honor, a reversal by Your Honor's Court of the Order of Judge DAVID N. EDELSTEIN would be truly welcomed, for it would prove beyond a peradventure of doubt that Your Honor's Court would usurp its lawful power and authority in order to advance this criminal adventure by the purported "friends" of the judiciary.

b. Your Honor, a reversal by Your Honor's Court of the Order of Judge DAVID N. EDELSTEIN, would reaffirm what I have known since the day after September 13, 1985, to wit., that Your Honor and two (2) of Your Honor's colleagues, found compelling need to fabricate facts, and even under fabricated facts, the Court clearly usurped non-existent power and authority, to aid, abet, and facilitate the larceny of judicial trust assets, and other criminal activity.

c. By virtue of the Act of March 2, 1831, as every federal jurist knows every Circuit and District Court jurist was clearly divested of any claim of jurisdictional power and authority to convict anyone of non-summary criminal contempt without a trial, absent a plea of guilty.

Luke Edwards Lawless, Esq., was to be "the last victim" of the judicial abuse of this contempt power by any federal court created by congress, vowed Congressman James Buchanan (Nye v. U.S., 313 U.S. 33, 46), thereafter the 15th President of the United States, during the impeachment proceedings of District Judge James Hawkins Peck.

d. On further reflection I recognized I had proved my point, and it was needless to have other federal jurists betray their oath of office by continuing this matter.

e. Consequently, I intend to prevent this usurpation of judicial power and authority, for base and criminal ends from metastacizing any further by mailing this and similar publications to the appropriate members of congress, other government officials in Washington and elsewhere, the media, the bar associations, the public prosecutors, public interests groups, and every federal judge in Your Honor's circuit.

f. Any further usurpation of power and authority by Your Honor, Your Honor's Court, the District Courts in Your Honor's circuit, will be in public view, and of a public nature.

6a. Until Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs., Kreindler & Relkin, P.C., and Citibank, N.A. are compelled to account for Puccini's trust assets, their power to corrupt the judiciary will continue.

b. Until every judge understands that no attorney, nor trustee, has the right or power to act contrary to their client or trust (Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668), and affirmatively prevents such deceit, the courthouses can never be anything better than cesspools.

c. Until every judge recognizes the right of all helpless constitutional persons to the aid of zealous counsel, and that judicial trusts are not "judicial fortune cookies" to satisfy the insatiable appetites of their "friends", the courts will always be in disrepute.

7a. I hold in high honor the fact that I did not succumb despite five (5) convictions, three (3) incarcerations, a disbarment, and all the other barbaric in terrorem efforts in an attempt to compel me to submit to a code of silence concerning judicial corruption, with clear criminal overtones.

b. I vowed on the Alter of God, during the night, as I fled with my word processor and other belongings, that I would never submit to the forces of tyranny and barbarism.

Chief Judge, Wilfred Feinberg


-8-

March 22, 1987

c. With the possible exception of my miniscule contribution during World War II, I respectfully thank Your Honor, Your Honor's Court, and some of the other jurists mentioned herein, and still others, for giving me an opportunity to prove myself, to myself, in what I consider to be one of my finest hours.

d. Some of the judiciary, who have attempted to place a badge of infamy upon me, have by their actions been hoisted by their own petards.

Respectfully,



GEORGE SASSOWER