

GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW
16 LAKE STREET
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10603

914-949-2169

October 24, 1988

Commission on Judicial Conduct
801 Second Avenue,
17th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Re: Associate Justice ISAAC RUBIN

Gentlemen:

1a. Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. ["FKM&F"] and Kreindler & Relkin, P.C. ["K&R"] -- "the criminals with law degrees" -- openly boast that they, together with CITIBANK, N.A. ["Citibank"], control the judiciary, state and federal, nisi prius and appellate.

b. That judicial control, in their criminal adventure, extends itself to Associate Justice Isaac Rubin, a member of the Commission.

c. Whatever my personal costs, I am determined that "the criminals with law degrees" file a true account for the judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd., as mandated by law, and the hijacking of the machinery of justice be terminated.

2a. The charges here presented are serious, the evidence substantial, and my obligation, professional and personal, crystal clear.

b. The charges against Associate Justice Isaac Rubin in this letter complaint are (1) "fixing", with the knowledge that His Honor was advancing a criminal adventure thereby, and (2) violation of Canon 3B3 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

c. There would be little doubt as to the outcome of a disciplinary proceeding against an Isaac Rubin, as an attorney who aided, abetted, and/or facilitated the larceny of trust assets, which is the "in-office" charge here leveled against Mr. Justice Rubin.

October 24, 1988

3. In early 1986, Mr. Justice Isaac Rubin knew and/or had no reason to doubt the following facts:

a. During the preceding year, I had been charged with contempt about twenty (20) times, and three (3) of those times, without benefit of trial, I was convicted and incarcerated, although they constituted constitutional impossible events (Bloom v. Illinois, 391 U.S. 194).

b. Except for the aforementioned trialess scenarios, as soon as I was vindicated of contempt, giving rise to constitutional and/or former jeopardy, another proceeding was instituted by "the criminals with law degrees", based on the same charges.

c. Shortly before my presentment to Mr. Justice Rubin of an order to Show Cause, there was three (3), substantially similar, non-summary criminal contempt proceedings, simultaneously pending, before three (3) different New York County jurists.

d. Immediately upon a resounding vindication by the first of the aforementioned three (3) non-summary criminal contempt proceedings, four (4) new proceedings were instituted based upon the same allegations and assertions -- or seven (7) extant proceedings were simultaneously pending.

e. Additionally, based on a "phantom" judgment, issued by Referee Donald Diamond, of New York County, from his non-public courtroom, from which I am excluded, my bank assets were seized, and the Sheriff of Westchester County directed to "break into" my apartment, "seize all word processing equipment and soft ware", and "inventory" my possessions.

f. It was clear to Mr. Justice Rubin that the First Department was not a constitutional or appropriate judicial forum, and indeed simply "unfit for human litigation".

4a. The fact that Mr. Justice Isaac Rubin would not even sign an Order to Show Cause, with an early return date, in order to obtain a writ of prohibition, made His Honor inaction suspect.

b. In any event, at that point in time, as well as thereafter, there was sufficient evidence mandating His Honor's obligation, pursuant to Canon 3B3 of the Code of Judicial Conduct, a request that I thereafter made.

c. If members of your Committee give no obedience to the Code of Judicial Conduct, who should?

5a. When in 1986, every member of the nisi prius judiciary failed and/or refused to convict me and/or impose punishment, Administrator Xavier C. Riccobono manipulated the "non-waivable random selection process" so as to cause the assignment of Mr. Justice Ira Gammerman to the Puccini dissolution proceeding.

b. Thereupon, Mr. Justice Ira Gammerman, without any notice of motion, without any order to show cause, without any accusing document or affidavit, without any trial, without taking any testimony, without any anything, found me in non-summary contempt and imposed criminal sanctions.

c. A portion of the punishment imposed in this sham, "no due process", Gammerman proceeding, was the dragooning of all non-federal cases wherein I was involved to himself.

d. Mr. Justice Ira Gammerman even dragooned to himself, under such sham contempt order, all actions and proceedings wherein he was personally an active and viable defendant and/or respondent.

e. Mr. Justice Ira Gammerman also dragooned to himself actions and proceeding wherein he was a Dennis v. Sparks (449 U.S. 24) essential witness.

f. Mr. Justice Gammerman, by such absurd order was to be simultaneously the judge, a defendant, a respondent, and/or essential witness!

6a. No jurist, including the jurists in Westchester County, not bereft of all his senses, would obey such absurd order.

b. Nevertheless, all jurists in Westchester County, before whom my actions and proceedings were pending, by essentially "carbon copy orders", gave such sham Gammerman order obedience, notwithstanding its patent infirmities.

c. The underlying papers recited in such Gammerman Order are non-existent -- are contrived and phantom -- as both the judicial computer and court file reveal.

d. In any event you cannot have a finding of guilt in a non-summary criminal contempt proceeding, without a trial, absent a plea of guilty.

October 24, 1988

e. The aforementioned facts, and much more, have been asserted in a petition presently before Hon. Gerard E. Delaney, and neither the Office of Court Administration nor the Attorney General have denied same.

f. Indeed, an unopposed proposed judgment pends, sub judice, before His Honor based upon the aforementioned asserted and undenied facts.

7a. Requests that such sham 1986 Gammerman Order be given obedience in Westchester County came, ex parte, from Administrative Judge Xavier C. Riccobono to former Administrative Judge Joseph P. Gagliardi, and from Presiding Justice Francis T. Murphy to Associate Justice Isaac Rubin, via Presiding Justice Milton Mollen.

b. From former Administrative Judge Joseph P. Gagliardi and Mr. Justice Isaac Rubin went the "1986 marching orders" to the various Westchester County jurists.

c. Consequently, pursuant to such "1986 marching orders" all my cases were sent to Mr. Justice Gammerman in New York County.

d. Since Mr. Justice Gammerman cannot try a case wherein he is also a defendant and/or witness, he simply stayed them.

8. If Mr. Justice Rubin, or any other jurist believes that the "robe" is an emolument of office to "fix" cases, then I believe they are neither entitled to their "robe" nor "judicial office".

October 24, 1988

9a. As far as I am concerned, this is America, and in America, as President Ronald Reagan stated in Moscow State University, every "person" is entitled to a fair trial before an impartial jurist before he is convicted.

b. An impartial jurist, is an independent jurist, free to make up his own mind, without being given "marching orders" from administrators or appellate jurists (Balogh v. H.R.B. Caterers, 88 A.D.2d 136, 452 N.Y.S.2d 220 [2d Dept.]).

c. To say more would be supererogatory.

Most Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Hon. David S. Ritter
Hon. Gerard E. Delaney
Hon. Albert M. Rosenblatt
Hon. Robert Abrams
Hon. Marilyn J. Slaatten
Att: Brian J. Powers, Esq.