## GEORGE SASSOWER

16 LAKE STREET WHITE PLAINS, N.Y. 10603

914-949-2169

February 10, 1989

Department Disciplinary Committee First Judicial Department 41 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010

Certified Mail P 866 238 595

Re: Michael Colodner, Esq.

c/o Office of Court Administration

270 Broadway,

New York, New York 10007

## Gentlemen:

- 1. The Office of Court Administration is an administrative board, without any judicial functions, whose Chairman is Hon. Sol Wachtler, and the above its counsel.
- 2. When Hon. Sol Wachtler requests that the Office of Court Administration respond to a public request, it should not be dragooned by one transactionally involved in a criminal racketeering adventure, with a statement which was one and at the same time unresponsive, deceptive, and false.
- 3a. Puccini Clothes, Ltd. ["Puccini"] was involuntarily dissolved on June 4, 1980, its assets and affairs becoming custodia legis, at that point in time and every since.
- b. In <u>all</u> American jurisdictions, under the aforementioned circumstances, an accounting must be periodically filed, since the public is entitled to know how the judiciary and its appointees handles judicial trust assets.
- c. In New York, such accounting <u>must</u> be filed "at least once a year" (22 <u>NYCRR</u> §202.52[e]).
- d. However, in the more than eight (8) years, eight (8) months that have elapsed since Puccini was involuntarily dissolved, not a single accounting has been filed -- not one!
- e. How did Mr. Colodner respond to such inquiry by Chairman Sol Wachtler, whose constitutional responsibility is the <a href="mailto:entire">entire</a> state's judicial system, and the public inquirer?
  - f. Mr. Colodner simply ignored such question!

- 4a. As Mr. Colodner <u>actually</u> knows Puccini's judicial trust assets were made the subject of massive larceny and plundering, and he is one of those who is attempting to conceal same, even from the Chairman of the entire state's judicial system, Hon. Sol Wachtler, as well as the public.
- b. Indeed, a group which includes Mr. Colodner, have deliberately and unlawfully prevented all rightful remedies due Puccini, this helpless constitutional person, and those who have a legitimate interest in same, in order to advance this criminal adventure.
- 5a. By statute enacted more than twenty (20) years ago, all fees awarded to those performing services for judicial trusts <u>must</u> be reported, which reports must be made accessible to the public (<u>Judiciary Law</u> §35a).
- b. It was through such filings that Mr. Kevin McCoy and Mr. Jack Newfield of the New York News were able, on December 27, 1988, to publish their article on the subject, with its front page headline.
- c. Similarly, by such public accessible filings, The New York Times was able to publish its front page articles in 1977, leading to the resignation of a number of Supreme Court jurists, and some reforms on the subject.
- d. When the New York Times and New York News employ such filings as the material for front page articles and front page headlines, the media certainly believe such public accessible filings are important.
- 6a. After 1982, when the Office of Court Administration began keeping such records in a computerized manner for every court in the state, there was given to Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. and Rashba & Pokart, or expended on their behalf, the approximate sum of one million dollars (\$1,000,000), and not a single filing has been made -- not one!
- b. One million dollars (\$1,000,000) is <u>more</u> than the sum involved in the articles published by the New York Times, as reported in its front page article on July 26, 1977.
- c. One million dollars (\$1,000,000) is <u>more</u> than the sum involved in the recent article published by the New York News.

- d. Mr. Colodner knowing that approximately one million dollars (\$1,000,000) has been unlawfully diverted from this judicial trust, and having not reported same, in order to conceal such diversion, deceptively stated to Chairman Sol Wachtler and the public inquirer that certain implementing provisions were not applicable to appointments made prior to April 1, 1986.
- d. Mr. Colodner does not state, in an obvious attempt to deceive, that prior to April 1, 1986, the implementing provisions, to wit., 22 NYCRR §660.24, were more strict and absolutely prohibited any awards to Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. and Rashba & Pokart -- none whatsoever!
- 7a. Now, I will set forth some of the affirmative unethical acts that were taken by Mr. Colodner, prior to the aforementioned written response to Chairman Sol Wachtler, in order to consummate the massive larceny and unlawful diversion of judicial trust assets.
- b. Initially, I wish to state that the one million dollars (\$1,000,000) that was not reported, was <u>after</u> the massive larceny engineered by Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.
- c. Indeed, the approximately one million dollar (\$1,000,000) grab was all that was left after the massive larceny engineered by Kreindler & Relkin, P.C.
- d. In short, Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. plundered all that was left of Puccini's tangible assets without doing anything for this judicial trust, and then concealing same by having their corrupt judges not reporting same.
- e. The aforementioned false and deceptive letter to Hon. Sol Wachtler, from Mr. Colodner, was an obvious attempt to conceal Mr. Colodner's prior unethical, if not criminal, activities.
- f. The law, as statutes reflect, does not completely trust the judiciary and its cronies with judicial trust assets.
- g. Consequently, by statute, the Attorney General has been appointed the statutory fiduciary, with some powerful discretionary powers (e.g. <u>Bus. Corp. Law</u> §1214[a]) and some mandatory obligations (e.g. <u>Bus. Corp. Law</u> §1216[a]).

- h. Included in the mandatory obligations imposed upon the Attorney General, Hon. Robert Abrams, is the obligation to make application to settle a filed accounting and direct distribution if not voluntarily performed within eighteen (18) months (Bus. Corp. Law §1216[a]).
- i. However, in the more than one hundred four (104) months that have elapsed, not a single application has been made -- not one!
- 8a. Whether Robert Abrams corrupted Mr. Colodner, or Mr. Colodner corrupted Robert Abrams, or whether they were both corrupted by a third party, is not important at this point.
- b. The important fact is that Mr. Colodner, Robert Abrams, Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs., Rashba & Pokart, are all operating in criminal consort with Kreindler & Relkin, P.C., and others in the massive larceny and plundering of judicial trust assets.
- c. Thus, no accounting can be rendered, now or ever, without exposing such massive larceny.
- 9a. Now when I bring suit against the Office of Court Administration to compel it to perform its administrative obligations, financial or otherwise, with respect to Puccini, it is not Mr. Colodner or one of his staff that defends, and there is no question as to their competency.
- b. Instead Mr. Colodner dragoons the very same attorney from Mr. Abrams Office who is supposed to be Puccini's statutory fiduciary in order to oppose the grant to Puccini.
- c. Thus to comply with the depraved demands of the criminal entourage, in which Mr. Colodner is an essential part, Robert Abrams, Esq. and his assistant, Senior Attorney David S. Cook, betray their statutory trust.
- 10a. A non-monetary example, absolutely contrary to law and the rules of the Court of Office Administration, has been the attempt to compel the public filing of papers in the Puccini matter by Referee Donald Diamond, who holds his sessions in non-public facilities.
- b. Mr. Abrams and Mr. Cook, on behalf of the Office of Court Administration, opposes same, simply because they have been dragooned to represent the court administration.

- c. Mr. Colodner's conduct is unethical, reprehensible, indeed criminal.
- d. Mr. Colodner's conduct is destructive of the supposed integrity to the judicial system, and an abuse of his membership at the bar.
- 11. Kindly acknowledge the receipt of this letter, on the duplicate copy of the first page that is enclosed, and returning same in the self-addressed stamped envelope that is also enclosed.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE SASSOWERK