SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
----x
In the Matter of

D-613

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq.

## An Attorney.

- April 8, 1987, returnable April 17, 1987 (Exhibit "A"), and my affirmation of April 17, 1987 (Exhibit "B").
- This Notice of Cross-Motion requests, <u>inter alia</u>, the vacatur of the four (4) non-summary criminal contempt convictions which were rendered against me, including the one already vacated in <u>Sassower v. Sheriff</u> (651 F. Supp. 128).
- b. All these convictions suffer the same constitutional infirmity as <u>Sassower v. Sheriff</u> (supra), and my cross-motion was unopposed, as revealed in my affirmation of April 17, 1987.
- 3a. This cross-motion also reveals that such non-summary criminal contempt proceedings are not instituted to vindicate the interests of society, but only for private law firms to "extort" and "blackmail".
- b. I simply refuse to even negotiate with those who engage in such corrupt practices even if I am incarcerated every other week and am disbarred.
- 4. The aforementioned is affirmed to be true under penalty of perjury.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that the rule to show cause be discharged.

Dated: April 20, 1987

GEORGE SASSOWER

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DENNIS F. VILELLA, et et.,

Notice of Cross-Motion

Petitioners,

-against-

File # 87Civ.1450 [GLG]

Hon. MARIE G. SANTAGATA, et el.,

Respondents.

SIRS:

TAKE NOTICE, that upon the annexed PLEASE affirmation of GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., dated April 8, 1987, and upon all the pleadings and proceedings had heretofore herein, the undersigned will cross-move this Court before Hon. GERARD L. GOETTEL, at the Courthouse thereof, 101 East Post Road, White Plains, New York, 10601, on the 17th day of April, 1987, at 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, or as soon thereafter as Counsel may be heard, for an Order to vacate (1) the Order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN, dated June 26, 1985; (2) the Order of Mr. Justice DAVID B. SAXE, dated June 26, 1985; (3) the Order of Judge EUGENE H. NICKERSON, dated June 7, 1985; (4) the Order of Mr. Justice MARTIN EVANS, dated November 15, 1985; (5) referring this matter to the appropriate criminal prosecuting and disciplinary authorities; (6) together with such other, further, and/or different relief as to this Court may seem just and proper in the premises.

Exhibit "A"

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that at the time that opposing papers are due, FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esgs. and KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C., file with this Court any and all agreements, evidence of payment of monies or other considerations, by or on behalf of HYMAN RAFFE to them, and/or their clients, in consideration in whole, or in part, for not enforcing the Order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN and/or the Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that opposing papers, if any, are to be served and filed in accordance with the Rules of this Court.

Dated:

White Plains, N.Y. April 8, 1987

Proceed

Yoursmetc.

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq. Attorney for petitioners.

51 Davis Avenue,

White Plains, N.Y. 10605

914-949-2169

To: Respondents.

Hon. Rudolph W. Giuliani

Hon. Andrew J. Maloney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DENNIS F. VILELLA, et el.,

\_\_\_\_\_

File # 87Civ.1450

[GLG]

-against-

Hon. MARIE G. SANTAGATA, et el.,

Respondents.

Petitioners,

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., an attorney, admitted to practice law in U.S. District Court of the Southern District of New York, does hereby affirm the following statement to be true under penalty of perjury:

This affirmation is made in support of a cross-motion to vacate (1) the Order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN, dated June 26, 1985; (2) the Order of Mr. Justice DAVID B. SAXE, dated June 26, 1985; (3) the Order of Judge EUGENE H. NICKERSON, dated June 7, 1985; (4) the Order of Mr. Justice MARTIN EVANS, dated November 15, 1985; (5) referring this matter to the appropriate criminal prosecuting and disciplinary authorities; (6) together with such other, further, and/or different relief as to this Court may seem just and proper in the premises.

- b. As part of this cross-motion, affirmant demands that FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esqs. ["FKM&F"] and KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C. ["K&R"] -- "the criminals with law degrees" -- file with this Court at such time as opposing papers are due, any and all agreements, evidence of payment of monies or other considerations, by or on behalf of HYMAN RAFFE ["Raffe"] to them, and/or their clients, in consideration in whole, or in part, for not enforcing the Order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN and/or the Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND.
- 2a. After a full presentation by all involved parties or their privies, Judge DAVID N. EDELSTEIN, determined that the Constitution of the United States, since Bloom v. Illinois (391 U.S. 194), does not permit a state conviction of any person for non-summary criminal contempt, without a trial, absent a plea of guilty (Sassower v. Sheriff of Westchester County, 651 F. Supp. 128 [SDNY]).
- b. <u>Sub silentio</u>, His Honor held that since such is the construction placed by the New York courts on <u>Bloom v. Illinois</u> (supra), the petitioner was also deprived of "equal protection of the laws".
- c. By force of that holding, binding on the parties until reversed, the three (3) state convictions, above designated, must be vacated and declared a nullity.

- By the Act of March 2, 1831, Congress clearly declared that no jurist in any court that it created had the jurisdictional power to convict anyone for non-summary criminal without a trial, absent a plea of guilty (Ex parte Robinson, 19 Wall [86 U.S.] 505).
- b. Luke Edwards Lawless, Esq., was to be "the last victim" vowed Congressman James Buchanan, thereafter the 15th President of the United States (Nye v. United States, 313 U.S. 33, 46).
- c. It is a limitation of power known to every federal judge!
- 4a. Today, affirmant received a telephone call from IRA POSTEL, Esq., a voice that I recognized as his.
- b. As part of the conversation, Mr. Postel confirmed that HYMAN RAFFE ["Raffe"] had paid substantial monies to FKM&F and K&R so that he would not be incarcerated under the Order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN, the <u>same</u> Order under which your affirmant and SAM POLUR, Esq. ["Polur"] were incarcerated, and so that the Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND, which recommended that Mr. Raffe be incarcerated and fined, not be moved for confirmation.
  - c. In Mr. Postel's words, "they (FKM&F and K&R) refused to settle with him (Mr. Raffe) unless he paid them such monies"!

- d. I was also advised that Referee DONALD DIAMOND took an active part in this transaction, which included releases by Mr. Raffe running to, inter alia, Referee DONALD DIAMOND, Mr. Justice IRA GAMMERMAN, and Administrator XAVIER C. RICCOBONO.
- 5a. In addition to the relief requested herein, affirmant's intention is to his oath of office (Disciplinary Rule 1-103), and expects that His Honor, whether it be Hon. GERARD L. GOETTEL, or any other, will likewise give obedience to 3B3 of the Code of Judicial Ethics.
- b. To assure that the U.S. Attorney and the New York Assistant Attorney General, also act, others, including the media, will also be given a copy of this affirmation.
- c. As a matter of law, no person can act as a public prosecutor, self-styled or official, or jurist, when he accepts cash or other valuable consideration, for prosecutorial or judicial favors or permits same.
- these "thieves with law degrees" cannot account for the judicial trust assets, without exposing the massive larceny, perjury, extortion, and corruption, and your affirmant intends to employ every forum available to insure that they no longer dictate their criminal desires, directly or indirectly, to the judiciary, state or federal, or any member thereof.

b. This is  $\underline{my}$  country, and in  $\underline{my}$  country, every official will do his duty properly, correctly, and be beyond reproach.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that this cross-motion be granted in all respects, with costs.

Dated: April 8, 1987

-5-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

------

DENNIS F. VILELLA, et el.,

Petitioners,

File # 87Civ.1450 [GLG]

-against-Hon. MARIE G. SANTAGATA; et el.,

Respondents.

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., an attorney, admitted to practice law in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, does hereby affirm the following statement to be true under penalty of perjury:

- 1a. This affirmation is made with respect to your affirmant's motion, dated April 8, 1987, wherein affirmant requested, inter alia, that this matter be referred to the appropriate criminal prosecuting and disciplinary authorities.
- b. Affirmant should have specifically included in such request, the United States Treasury Department and the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance (Goodman v. State, 31 N.Y.2d 381, 340 N.Y.S.2d 393).
- The law is clear that all fines and penalties in criminal contempt proceedings go to the sovereign (Gompers v. Bucks Stove, 221 U.S. 418, 447; 17 C.J.S. §92, at p. 268).
- b. Indeed the Order of Judge EUGENE H. NICKERSON, dated June 7, 1985, specifically provides that the monies should be paid "to the court".

- None of these aforementioned monies have been paid to the Court, to the United States, or State of New York, but instead have found themselves in the pockets of KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C. and FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esgs.
- b. These criminal convictions are employed as a means of "blackmail" and "extortion", and the proceeds of such extortion belongs to the government -- 100%!
  - 4a. As part of such motion, affirmant requested that:

"FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esgs. and KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C., file with this Court any and all agreements, evidence of payment of monies or other considerations, by or on behalf of HYMAN RAFFE to them, and/or their clients, in consideration in whole, or in part, for not enforcing the Order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN and/or the Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND".

- engaged in criminal corruption, have ignored such demand, indicative that they, as they boast, control the courts and the prosecutors offices, state and federal, <u>nisi prius</u> and appellate.
- c. Such corrupt control of the "machinery of justice" must and will terminate!

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that affirmant's motion be granted in all respects, with costs.

Dated: April 17, 1987

GEORGE SASSOWER