GEORGE SASSOWER

ATTORNEY AT LAW 51 DAVIS AVENUE WHITE PLAINS, N.Y. 10605

914-949-2169

May 4, 1987

Chief Justice William H. Rehnquist United States Supreme Court U.S. Supreme Court Building, Washington, D.C., 20543

Re: George Sassower #D-613

Honorable Sir:

1. I most respectfully request that Your Honor's Court discharge the rule to show cause (U.S., 107 S.Ct. 1365, 94 L.Ed.2d 682), until such time as a single member of the Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department is willing to swear under oath or affirm to Your Honor's Court that such Court gave good-faith obedience to the Constitution of the United States in disbarring me (Grievance Committee v. G. Sassower (A.D.2d, 512 N.Y.S.2d 203).

2a. Undoubtedly each and every learned member of that Court actually knows that the three (3) trial-less convictions for non-summary criminal contempt, were "not entitled to respect" (Ex parte Terry, 128 U.S. 289, 307; United States v. Lumumba, 741 F2d. 12, 15-16 [2d Cir.]; Sassower v. Sheriff, 651 F. Supp. 128). Nevertheless, in the disciplinary proceedings, I was not permitted to controvert the validity of such convictions.

Each and every attempt by my adversaries to obtain a conviction by due process, simply failed, and consequently, these trial-less convictions were staged as a predicate for disbarment.

b. Undoubtedly also, in such guasi-criminal disciplinary proceedings (Matter of Ruffalo, 390 U.S. 544, 551), wherein I was denied all subpoena power, each and every member of that Court actually knows that such prohibition constituted a manifest violation of my VI and XIV Amendment rights.

c. In view of the aforementioned, I need not delve into the many other federal constitutional deprivations.

Hon. Wm. H. Rehnquist

3. I strongly assert that the implied request by the Appellate Division, to Your Honor's Court to give recognition to such Disbarment Order, without disclosing its many constitutional decisive infirmities, is an affirmative act of deceit.

-2-

4a. Today, begins the eighty-fourth (84th) month since PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD., was involuntarily dissolved, its assets and affairs becoming <u>custodia legis</u> under color of law, and despite multiple statutory mandates, there is still no filed accounting.

b. No true accounting can be filed without revealing the massive larceny of its judicial trust assets, and other criminal conduct, with judicial and official involvement.

c. Must the good repute of Your Honor's Court be made vulnerable, when the media and public learns that Your Honor's Court disbarred me for simply exposing judicial and official misconduct?

d. In every respect, the Appellate Division, wilfully practiced "lynch law ... intent on on [my professional] death" (Holmes, J., dissenting in Frank v. Mangum, 237 U.S. 309, 350), in a sordid scenario to conceal criminal conduct.

Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER

Presiding Justice Milton Mollen CC: Associate Justice Guy J. Mangano Associate Justice William C. Thompson Associate Justice Lawrence J. Bracken Associate Justice Richard A. Brown Associate Justice Moses M. Weinstein Associate Justice James F. Niehoff Associate Justice Isaac Rubin Associate Justice Charles B. Lawrence Associate Justice Geraldine T. Eiber Associate Justice Joseph J. Kunzeman Associate Justice Sybil Hart Kooper Associate Justice Arthur D. Spatt Associate Justice Stanley Harwood Circuit Judge James L. Oakes (87-8028) Circuit Judge Jon O. Newman (87-8028) Circuit Judge Lawrence W. Pierce (87-8028) District Judge Vincent L. Broderick (m-2-238) District Judge I. Leo Glasser (87 Misc. 0107) U.S. Magistrate James C. Francis IV (M-2-238) Hon. Edwin Meese, III Hon. William H. Webster George G. Gallantz, Esq.