- 1		
1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE STAIDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY	
2	STAL VING COMMITTEE ON BODICIME	
3		
4	Public Hearing on the Appellate Division First Department	
5	Departmental Disciplinary Committee, the Grievance Committees of the	
6	Various Judicial Districts, and the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct	
7	New York State Commission on budgetal conduct	
8	Hearing Room 6 Empire State Plaza	
9	Albany, NY	
10	June 8, 2009 10:35 a.m.	
11	10:55 a.m.	
12	PRESIDING:	
13	Senator John Sampson Chair	
14	Senate Standing Committee on Judiciary	
15	PRESENT:	
16	Senator John A. DeFrancisco (R)	
17	Senator Bill Perkins	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2.4		

1 LIST OF PARTICIPANTS 2 STATEMENT Martin R. Gold 3 Alan W. Friedberg First Department DDC 9-34 4 5 Christine C. Anderson, Esq. 34-48 Kevin McKeown 6 48-63 Hon. Thomas A. Klonick 7 Robert H. Tembeckjian Commission on Judicial Conduct 63 - 798 Justice Duane A. Hart 80-97 9 Pamela Carvel 98-109 10 11 Paul H. Altman 109-120 Luisa C. Esposito 120-128 12 William Galison 129-143 13 Eleanor Capogrosso, Esq. 143-158 14 15 Robert Ostertag NYS Bar Association 158-169 16 169-182 John A. Aretakis, Esq. 17 Michael Kelly 182-185 18 Kathryn Grace Jordan End Discrimination Now 185-191 19 192-203 20 James A. Montagnino, Esq. 21 Ruth M. Pollack, Esq. 204-216 217-219 22 Kevin Patrick Brady 23 Carl Lanzisera 219-225 Americans for Legal Reform 24

1 MR. OSTERTAG: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: The next person is 2 John Aretakis. 3 MR. ARETAKIS: Good afternoon, 4 5 Senator. My name is John Aretakis. I'd like to thank you, and I'd like to thank you 6 for your overwhelming patience in this hearing. And I thank you also, Mr. Spotts. 8 My focus is on the treatment and the 9 failure to follow procedure, the failure to 10 follow the law, and acting in excess of the 11 jurisdiction by the Third Department 12 Committee on Professional Standards, 13 otherwise known as COPS. In the First 14 15 Department we've heard it's called the Departmental Disciplinary Committee, the 16 In the Third Department, in Albany, DDC. 17 it's called COPS. 18 I was born and raised in Brooklyn, and 19 for well over the last decade my only 20 practice for the practice of law has been in 21 Manhattan, in New York City. And for the 22

past 20 years, 80 to 90 percent of my cases

have been in New York City. But starting in

23

24

the year 2002, when I became one of only a handful of lawyers handling a very, very controversial area of law involving representation of children who were abused by pedophiles -- that started in 2002. The Third Department Committee on Professional Standards has come down to New York City and investigated me over 50 times, 5-0. And on a multiple of occasions, the cases that they investigate in New York City involve New York City litigants, New York City judges, New York City decisions, and of course me, a New York City attorney.

2.4

Why is the Committee on Professional Standards up here in Albany going down the Thruway 150 miles and investigating me?
Their only answer: I graduated from Albany Law School in 1985. That supposedly gives them jurisdiction over me.

After law school, Senator Sampson, I
went on to get a master's in law at
Georgetown University Law Center. And
because I graduated from Albany Law 23 years
ago, Mr. Ochs, who's been sitting in the

back of this room all day, who I will not 1 attack, says that they have jurisdiction to 2 investigate me. Using vague and arbitrary 3 ethical statutes like conduct unbecoming of an attorney and actions that are prejudicial 5 to the administration of justice. 6 I am hopeful that a review of my case 7 in a nutshell will help this honorable 8 committee more appropriately see that this 9 system is rife with abuse and it needs to be 10 remedied. 11 I heard the first speaker, Mr. Gold. 12 13 And as I sat over there quietly, I almost fell out of my chair. He said "Using the 14 15 address listed on the Department of OCA, that determines which disciplinary committee 16 17 will investigate." I agree with that wholeheartedly. 18 CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: So where are you 19 listed? 20 MR. ARETAKIS: I'm listed in New York 21 City, where I've been for 15 or 20 years. 22 am only listed there. 23

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON:

24

Have you ever been

1 listed in the Third Department? 2. MR. ARETAKIS: Excuse me? 3 CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: Have you ever been listed in the Third Department? 4 5 MR. ARETAKIS: I graduated from 6 Albany Law in '85, and I briefly worked in Albany in 1987 for less than one year. And then in 1988, I moved my entire practice to 8 Manhattan, where I've been. 9 10 CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: On your registration, do you register your Manhattan 11 address? 12 13 MR. ARETAKIS: Only my Manhattan address. I pay taxes in Manhattan, I vote 14 in Manhattan, I've done a month of grand 15 16 jury service a few years ago in Manhattan. I did civil jury service in Manhattan. 17 CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: I'd like to cut to 18 the chase. Then what is your basis for 19 them -- what is your basis for the Third 2.0 Department having jurisdiction --21 MR. ARETAKIS: They don't have any 22 23 basis. They've broken the law. They've violated their own brochure that they hand 24

out at the Court of Appeals. They say, We will investigate lawyers who have an office for the practice of law in the jurisdiction of the Third Department in Albany.

And I think Mr. Gold and Mr. Friedberg might be excellent witnesses on my behalf, because they were talking about lawyers who are outside of their jurisdiction who they will not investigate.

I will also tell you this, Your Honor.

Of those 50 complaints -- and I need to say this very, very carefully, because we lawyers know that the ones we owe our ethical duties to are our clients. None of those 50 complaints are from clients. None. It's overwhelming.

Mr. Ochs wakes up and reads the newspaper at various parts of the state, and he likes to track my career and he likes to follow me because I've been engaged in a very controversial area, and he'll start an investigation against me. He's started over 20 sua sponte investigations and then, sometimes because I am involved in removing

1 pedophiles from their job, these pedophiles file complaints against me, and Mr. Ochs 3 takes it upon himself to investigate them.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

One time I was on a nationally syndicated radio show criticizing an employer for employing a pedophile, and a woman who I'd never even heard of filed a complaint against me, and I was forced to defend myself from the Third Department for about a year.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: So out of those 50 complaints, what happened to those complaints?

MR. ARETAKIS: Well, 49 of them, the first 49 were dismissed, as they should have been.

On December 11 of '08, six months ago, Mr. Ochs merged some decisions on New York City cases from 2005, 2006, and 2007 and asked the Appellate Division up here in Albany to suspend me. And I was suspended for one year. And as God is my witness --

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: Wait, wait. Hold You were suspended for one year. on.

MR. ARETAKIS: Yes. By the Third

Department up here in Albany.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: And why were you suspended?

MR. ARETAKIS: They suspended me for conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice -- I don't know what that means -- they suspended me for conduct unbecoming of an attorney -- I don't know what that means -- and they suspended me primarily for making what they termed rather aggressive motions for recusals of various judges.

I have been forced to be very critical of some judges because the work I've been employed to do on behalf of 250 victims is -- I sue the Catholic Church because they employ some bad priests. I've been very critical, I've been very public with my work. It's been a very controversial area of law. And some judges have sanctioned me for filing a frivolous lawsuit because a client might have been molested 30 years ago.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: How many times have, I guess, judges admonished you for filing a frivolous lawsuit?

MR. ARETAKIS: Four times. They merged the four decisions; two cases were exclusively New York City cases, and two cases were from elsewhere.

However, Ethical Consideration 7.4 says a lawyer may file a frivolous lawsuit if you believe the law should be modified, changed or extended, or the law is wrong. I happen to believe that if in 1975 a priest abused a 10-year-old altar boy that they should be able to sue right now. I believe there are laws that are pending right now before various committees that may modify the law.

And I'm not here to speak on that issue at this time, I'm just saying that because I have taken some controversial stances and my matters have been extraordinarily made public all over the entire country, I've been the subject of front-page articles in the New York Times, the New York Post, in Vanity Fair, in the Village Voice, all kinds

of publications. Mr. Ochs wakes up and he sees a complaint made by the church about my aggressive tactics, and he files a sua sponte complaint.

And he sits back there, and I cherish the thought that he can come up here and answer some of your questions or privately find some answers to these questions.

They have a rule that says you need seven members of the committee to vote for a punishment, that's a quorum. And they acted and suspended me and punished me and admonished me with four members. And one of the four members was an attorney that I had a pending aggressively hostile, adversarial case with. It's a clear conflict of interest.

But what you have is you have the

Appellate Division that employs the

Committee on Professional Standards, and

they rubber-stamp all their decisions. And

I've looked at hundreds -- I don't want to

say thousands. All the decisions regarding

disciplinary matters are five-nothing. So

the attorney who's been disciplined has no right to automatically to the Court of Appeals. You have nothing to hang your hat on.

1.8

I also would like to say this. As far as procedural due process, they violated their rules in a plethora of ways. However, not once on any of these 50 complaints have I been allowed to give testimony. Matter of fact, they have started six new --

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: But you won -- out of the 50, you won 49.

MR. ARETAKIS: Well, that's right.

But I've asked to be allowed my opportunity

to give testimony, especially when they were

disbarring me, when they were suspending me.

Because I filed a lawsuit against them two months before they suspended me because I was so positive that I knew the lay of the land, they were going to suspend me. It was only a matter of course. I've been complaining to them and to the chief judges for a number of years that they pursue me willy-nilly, aggressively for no other

reason other than they do not like the political position I've taken acverse to the Catholic Church.

And I may say this publicly, I love the Catholic Church. However, there are some bad people that have gotten into the Catholic Church --

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: No, no, no -
MR. ARETAKIS: -- and it's not a

problem or a vendetta I have.

10.

15.

However, being Greek Orthodox and being from Brooklyn and Manhattan, I think they've taken upon themselves to say you don't come to Albany like that, Mr. Aretakis, and act like that: The law is determined in our courtroom, with our standards.

And because a judge sanctions me or admonishes me, then Mr. Ochs thinks he has unfettered authority to punish me. And I've spend hundreds of thousands of dollars of my own time and my own attorneys in helping defend myself from all of these frivolous ethical complaints that have come against me. These committees are prosecutors --

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: So, Mr. Aretakis, so to sum it up, what's your recommendations?

MR. ARETAKIS: Well, I would love nothing more than either this committee take it upon themselves or hand it off to the State Commission on Investigations or to the Inspector General's Office to take this matter, my matter and investigate it. If they do investigate it, you'll find it's rotten from the core.

However, I would also ask in the meantime, since they have taken away my ability to earn any type of living for my family, that everything that Mr. Ochs up here in the Third Department has pending be transferred to the First Department. If I committed such egregious actions so as to be an unethical lawyer who's not trustworthy, what's wrong with these fine attorneys from the First Department investigating me?

The reason is they've gotten a few dozen complaints against me as well, and what they've done is they wrinkle them up

and they throw them in the wastebasket,

because these are not clients of mine. So

they've sent me one letter in 20 years -- in

seven years that I've been engaged in clergy

abuse saying "Please respond to this

complaint." And that was dismissed as well.

so there's no problems that I have -and I also would like to just finish with
this. It's my understanding that 99.9
percent of all attorneys are suspended or
disbarred for stealing money, commingling
funds, neglecting a case, getting arrested,
or being charged in another jurisdiction
with a crime of moral turpitude and
therefore being given comity and being
suspended in this jurisdiction.

My crime is without precedent, making accusations and allegations in court papers against various judges and having frivolous lawsuits -- if you look at this, there's an awful record, and I've again only touched the tip of the iceberg.

I appreciate the time you've given me. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SAMPSON: Mr. Aretakis,
thank you very much, and we will definitely
look into it.

MR. ARETAKIS: Thank you.

(Recording ends and resumes during

(Recording ends and resumes during testimony of Michael Kelly.)

MR. KELLY: -- judge assigned to my case. And for the last three years, because I am trying to uncover forgeries outside of Rockland County that I believe are coming out of the Surrogate Court using deceased people's names, I am being targeted by the judges and district attorney's office in Rockland County.

The gentleman, Gary Casella, says that my complaint of my former defense attorney being promoted to the district attorney's office in the middle of my case now being a district attorney, a senior district attorney in the Rockland County District Attorney's Office -- for four months after he swore the oath of office, he acted as my defense attorney on my criminal matter in the same court he is sworn to be a