1	NEW YORK STATE SENATE											
2	STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY											
3	PUBLIC HEARING IN THE MATTER OF											
4	AN EXAMINATION OF THE JUDICIAL DISCIPLINARY PROCESS											
5				Senate Hearing Room								
6				250 Broadway 19th Floor								
7				New York, N.Y.								
8			•	September 24, 2009 Thursday 10 a.m.								
9				10 a.m.								
10	BEFORE:	Senator John Chair	Sampso	n ·								
11		Judiciary Cor	mmittee									
12		Senator Bill Chair	Perkin	s								
13			, Autho	rities & Commissions								
14		Senator Georg	ge D. M	aziarz								
15		Senator Eric	Adams									
16		Senator Ruber	n Diaz									
17												
18	OTHER STAFF											
19		Shelly Mayer Majority Cour	nsel									
20		Lisa Lashley										
21		Counsel										
22												
23												
24												

1		INDEX	OF	SPEAKERS			
2	RICHARD KUSE		7				
3	CATHERINE WILSON	2	1				
4	VICTOR KOVNER	9	0				
5	DOUGLAS HIGBEE	1	47				
6	JUDITH HERSKOWITZ	5	2				
7	ANDREA WILKINSON	6	7				
8	MARIA GKANIOS	9	8				
9	REGINA FELTON	1	26				
L 0	KATHRYN MALARKEY	1	5 5				
L1	NORA RENZULI	1	58				
L 2	STEPHANIE KLEIN	1	65			•	
L 3	IKE ARUTI	1	8 0				
L <b>4</b>	TERRENCE FINNAN	1	94				
L 5	GICELLA WEISSHAUS	. 1	98				
L 6	ELIOT BERNSTEIN	2	0 6				
L7	SUSAN McCORMICK	2	3 4				
L 8	PATRICK HANDLEY	2	3 7				
۱9							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							

will back this all up with some papers to 1 2 you later. Thank you, thank you. 3 SENATOR SAMPSON: Thank you very 4 5 much, Mrs. Malarkey. The next witness is Nora Renzuli. 6 Ms. Renzuli. 7 MS. RENZULI: Thank you. Senator 8 Sampson and Senator Maziarz, my name is Nora 9 Renzuli, I'm an attorney, I was admitted to 10 the practice of law in New York State and in 11 New Jersey in 1987. 12 I have been practicing law in the State 13 of New York and have been employed by the 14 Office of Court Administration since 1990. 15 SENATOR SAMPSON: Are you still 16 employed with them? 17 Yes, I am. MS. RENZULI: I have 18 presented my material to the committee, and 19 it does lay out my case and my position that 20 a judge in Family Court without legitimate 21 authority or jurisdiction took my children 22 and handed them over to my former husband 23 who is living out of state in Pennsylvania 24

who was represented by a Brooklyn and Queens clubhouse attorney who managed to wrap the judge around his little finger.

My children were sent out of state before I was allowed to put on a word of my case.

That was in 1999. The children had been with me since their father left in 1990, I had been the custodial parent for nine years, I had finally gotten a child support order and it was affirmed on appeal in 1998.

My former husband then went to the Family Court and subverted the whole due process and dual tier system for Family Court decision making by pulling the rug out from under a fully litigated divorce and custody, visitation, child support decision making by Supreme Court orders.

The focus I would like the committee to look at most is something that hasn't really been touched on here, and that is parent child relationships, that's why I'm here, that's front and center of everything I have

done ever since my children were born. 1

> And to have lost them nine years ago to a deadbeat dad who was able to hoodwink the whole system and get a judge to act without subject matter jurisdiction and take them away before I was heard, one word, despite being represented by a former Family Court judge, retired, who obviously had lost his clout, Family Court Judge's name is Terrence Miguel Rath in Staten Island Family Court.

I brought a Writ of Prohibition, the Family Court judge was represented by Attorney General Elliott Spitzer.

Elion Gonzales was protected by Attorney General Janet Reno.

David Goldman's son who is in Brazil now is being protected by the efforts of Senator -- by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton.

Does anyone in this room think that former Attorney General Elliott Spitzer lifted a finger to help protect my children?

He did not. Even though his own investigator in the public integrity unit

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

told me there was, "obvious misconduct and malfeasance."

There was a publicly paid law guardian who consorted with the attorney for my former husband who created the scam that I had never been given actual custody and therefore I did not need to be heard before the children were moved.

In these kinds of cases often

possession does end up being 9/10 of the

law, especially when kids are sent to

another jurisdiction in another state, or

they are abducted and sent to another

country.

I have been trying since that happened to get some kind of remedy, and I have done everything conceivable, possible, legal, to make that happen.

On September 11, 2007 the Court of
Appeals of New York State dismissed my Writ
of Prohibition by saying it had mooted out
because the children were now over 18.

That is no solution to these kinds of problems.

The state of New York is suffering from avoidance disorder and if it were a person it would be diagnosed with access to avoidance personality disorder.

2.3

We need to deal with these problems, we need to name them, claim them and nip them in the bud before children are irreparably damaged.

The Attorney General of the State of

New York has a role to play, when there is

no jurisdiction and a judge doesn't have the

power, he loses immunity.

The Attorney General's Office needs to assess these cases, vet them and when they are asked to represent some kind of dishonesty, dirty dealings, they should say to OCA no way, I'm not going to represent this dirty judge, this dishonest judge, this dishonest process, but no, they go right in and do it and it goes all the way to the Court of Appeals, wasting our taxpayer's dollars and the credibility and the legitimacy of the office of Attorney General, the chief law enforcement officer

of the state.

What is that AG doing representing these kind of judges?

Unbelievable, and I finally get to the Court of Appeals and then they wash their hands, as if it only had to do with the ages of the children.

It had to do with a complete perversion of justice.

Two senators on your committee from

Staten Island have tried to help me, they
have reached out to the District Attorney of
Richmond County, they asked that an
investigation each be conducted into the law
guardian's behavior.

The D.A. of Richmond County wouldn't even investigate, and that was after a call from the Democratic leader of Richmond County, after letters from Senator Lanza, Senator Savino and Assemblyman Titone, didn't make a hill of beans difference with this D.A.

I think that OCA needs to get a handle on these kinds of problems early on and deal

with them before all the damage is done. 1 It's not just damage to me as a 2 litigant, as a citizen, as an attorney, as 3 an officer of the court, but it's damage to 4 our whole process. 5 SENATOR SAMPSON: Okay. 6 MS. RENZULI: I really appreciate what you're doing, Senator, and I hope that 8 the orders, taking custody from me, 9 illegitimately giving it to my ex, taking 10 child support out of my pay for the last 11 nine years, over \$100,000, out of my court 12 salary, they will devour their own, this 13 court system is out of control. 14 I want those orders voided. Thank you. 15 Thank you very SENATOR SAMPSON: 16 much. 1.7 Next witness is Stephanie Klein, 18 Stephanie. 19 If we can just take a five minute 20 break, is that all right? 21 (Discussion off the record.) 22 We will start right SENATOR SAMPSON: 23 now, I had to make sure no more coups were 24