1	NEW YORK STATE SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY							
2	PUBLIC HEARING IN THE MATTER OF							
3	AN EXAMINAT	ION OF THE JUDICIAL DIS	CTDI.TNAPV DPOCECC					
4								
5			nate Hearing Room O Broadway					
6		191	th Floor					
7			w York, N.Y.					
8		Thi	ptember 24, 2009 ursday a.m.					
9		10	<i></i>					
10	BEFORE: Senator John Sampson Chair							
11	Judiciary Committee Senator Bill Perkins Chair Corporations, Authorities & Commissions							
12								
13								
14	Senator George D. Maziarz							
15	Senator Eric Adams							
16		Senator Ruben Diaz						
17	OTHER STAFF	MEMBERS:						
18		Shelly Mayer						
19		Majority Counsel						
20		Lisa Lashley Counsel						
21								
22								
23								
24								

1		INDEX OF	SPEAKERS		
2	RICHARD KUSE	7			
3	CATHERINE WILSON	21			
4	VICTOR KOVNER	90			
5	DOUGLAS HIGBEE	147			
6	JUDITH HERSKOWITZ	5 5 2			
7	ANDREA WILKINSON	67			
8	MARIA GKANIOS	98			
9	REGINA FELTON	126			
10	KATHRYN MALARKEY	155			
11	NORA RENZULI	158			
12	STEPHANIE KLEIN	165		•	
13	IKE ARUTI	180			
14	TERRENCE FINNAN	194			
15	GICELLA WEISSHAUS	198			
16	ELIOT BERNSTEIN	206			
17	SUSAN McCORMICK	234			
18	PATRICK HANDLEY	237			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1 and good morning, and I think the first 2 person Richard Kuse of New City, New City, are you here? 3 The process is you have ten minutes. MR. KUSE: Ten minutes like the last time, or a California ten minutes? SENATOR SAMPSON: No, it's going to be a New York City 10 minutes, not an Albany 8 ten minutes, New York City ten minutes. So the clock is running. 10 MR. KUSE: Thank you very much, 11 12 Senator Sampson, I appreciate your integrity 13 and Mr. Adams' integrity. I would like to start off by quoting 14 Mrs. Carvel who at the June 8th hearings who 15 16 said that the Surrogates Court System of the State of New York was a criminal enterprise, 17 or she said it was a criminal empire, either 18 one would be correct. 19 20 I believe that she had obviously lost \$100 million dollars or \$150 million when 21 somebody looted her estate. 22 I believe additionally hundreds of 23 millions of dollars, if not more, are being 24

drained from the economy of the State of New York and from the People of the State of New York and I detect an emphasis on taking the homes and property of black families in the State of New York on top of it.

I would like to also invoke Catherine
Wilson, the investigative reporter, super
accountant par excellence from the
Westchester Guardian, and I would like to
invoke the New York State Whistle Blower's
Law on what we can reveal would save the
State of New York probably hundreds of
millions of dollars in stolen assets, or
routed assets from the honest and legitimate
families of the State of New York.

presently at this time, at this moment, part of a group of forgers are living in a home paid for from money looted from my agent uncle's bank accounts before his death.

In addition, my aunt Genevive Corrigan, who is still alive at 99 years old, bless her little heart, had her trust fund looted which was contained within my uncle's Will,

_

1 a Will that was proven to be a forgery. 2 And an uncontested forgery at that. And she would like her trust fund returned 3 before she dies, she's 99 years old at this 5 moment. SENATOR SAMPSON: Who looted the trust fund? 7 MR. KUSE: 8 I don't want to say at 9 this point. It's apparent in my paperwork 10 that I have given to you. 11 SENATOR SAMPSON: Okay. 12 MR. KUSE: My uncle died in December 13 of 1999. When we finally got a copy of my 14 uncle's Will it was noted that my -- it was not the Will that my mother remembered. 15 My mother is the sister of Charles 16 17 Maxwell. When we looked at the Will, we saw that my uncle had made a glaring error to 18 the Will, he made his dead mother an 19 Executor to his will. 20 She died 30 years before, he paid for 21 22 the funeral, he was at the funeral, okay? He did not make a mistake in the Will. 23 24 Our family knew my uncle was a very

exacting man who would have never made such a glaring error, we could not understand why the Surrogates Court Judge insisted over our protest that he knew our uncle better than we did, and insisted that the glaring error was a common error of my uncle.

Really? I don't think so. We could not understand why the judge and the lawyers were in such a rush to fast track my uncle's Will through his court.

We could also not understand why the judge kept allowing the opposing law firm to resist and break years of the judge's own court orders to provide an estate accounting. To this day we don't have an estate accounting.

Mrs. Catherine Wilson; a forensic accountant of superior grade, who worked for the Rockefeller family, said you couldn't make heads or tails of what they gave us.

During this time, during the time of a deposition the opposing lawyers gleefully pronounced that they had created my uncle's Will, with the glaring error in the Will and

the rush to push it through the court, the 1 refusal to comply with court orders by the 2 attorneys to account for the estate assets, 3 a national forgery expert was hired by myself. 5 To our shock the Will turned out to be a stone cold forgery. 7 Now it becomes apparent the reason 8 behind the glaring name mix up in the Will; Will listing a long dead relative as an 10 executive. 11 I don't know, do you think a dead 12 relative in your family could manage your 1.3 estate? 14 I don't think anybody could believe 15 that. 16 But they managed to believe that in 17 Nassau County. 18 The forgery also revealed the motive or 19 the breaking of court orders to account for 20 the estate assets including my uncle's 21 expensive two story home in Woodside Queens. 22 Sold via a forged will. 23

24

An uncontested forged will. Because my

1 uncle's home was located in Queens, we took 2 the forgery report to the Queens D.A. in charge of professional conduct. 3 That D.A. did a Grand Jury investigation, the investigation included the law firm that created the Will and others court officers. The D.A. told us she believed the origin of the forgery and the crime started 10 in Nassau County. 11 The Oueens -- that D.A. told us whoever 12 did an investigation of the Charles Maxwell 13 forgery estate death would open up a Pandora's box of forged Wills, forged deeds, 14 forged accountings and mostly forged 15 16 accountings in New York State. 17 My lawyer and I thought the D.A. Queens was telling us about the Nassau 18 19 County. She was not. I have to reiterate 20 what Mrs. Carvel said. 21 The Surrogates Courts in the City of New York are a criminal enterprise. 22 She was right. The Queens 23 24 investigation file was passed to Nassau,

where it disappeared. Three years after my uncle's death and in clear violation of New York estate law we could not get an accounting of the missing assets which were looted from my uncle's bank accounts before he died.

An August morning in 2003 the opposing lawyers are required to finally produce the estate accounting at 10:00 in the morning.

For two hours the opposing lawyers failed to show with the accounting.

In those two hours waiting for the lawyers and the accounting, the Nassau court called me four times telling me to take \$40,000 and a gag order to sweep this growing mess out of this court.

I believe that \$40,000 was an admission of guilt, and they wanted me to take a gag order.

We came to this court not to be bribed into silence but to find those who forged the Will and where all my uncle's bank accounts went and vanished.

And a particular item which Mrs.

Catherine Wilson and I will be indicating to you might produce hundreds of millions of dollars in missing funds from the State of New York, and that is vanishing returnable security deposits due back to estates but through mishandled accountings, and I am being generous here with that word, those returnable security deposits appear to be vanishing, amongst other things.

At 12:00 noon court was cancelled because the opposing lawyers don't show and now we are out in the hall.

And the outside of the hall is a court of no record, suddenly and miraculously the opposing attorneys show up with an accounting that Mrs. Catherine Wilson says you couldn't make heads or tails of.

We are forced to accept it, the court tells us that we have to take the accounting because the court officer just got a call that the judge insisted we take the accounting.

Well, I just walked out with him, how in the world was that possible, the guy was

into the hallway, he made no phone calls and received no phone calls. How did he know?

It was a set up. The accounting was non-accounting. And at this point I would like to read a little statement about the law. When one conveys a false impression by disclosure of some facts and concealment of others, such as the concealment in effect is false representation that what is disclosed is the whole truth.

We had an accounting that didn't account for anything, okay, false representation.

I would also like to read that this is from Black's Law, an intentional perversion of the truth for the purpose of inducing another into reliance upon it with some valuable thing belonging to him or to surrender some legal right, that's fraud.

We were presented a fraudulent, uncontested fraudulent Will and a fake accounting deliberately concealing material evidence.

1 Out in the hall in Nassau County --SENATOR SAMPSON: Try to wrap it in 2 3 two minutes. MR. KUSE: We had to do an appeal, we submitted an appeal of the judge's decision 5 against us, after telling us that promised 6 7 us we would have a trial and an accounting. 8 And we were not allowed that promise, I 9 had to do an appeal. 10 We submitted the appeal in 2004 and we were told my phone calls, my paperwork from 11 12 my attorney, all through 2004, that the Appellate Court had not made a decision. 13 We called all through 2005 and we were 14 told by the Appellate Court that no decision 15 had been reached. 16 17 We called into 2005, mind you this is over and over and I have letters to prove 18 it, that there was no decision reached on my 19 uncle's case. 20 In the spring of 2006 I called the 21 22 Appellate Court again and I am told that a decision was reached in 2004. 23

Basically, gentlemen, somebody is

lying, and I have the paperwork to prove that we are not.

After that point I had to go to OCA.

I don't even want to tell you what happened there, but I was followed by an Asian person on several occasions, because of a critical piece of information that Mrs. Catherine Wilson and I believe will reveal hundreds of millions of dollars being looted from the accounts of the State of New York and the decent families of the State of New York, and that is returnable security deposits.

I was followed on several occasions and only the office of court --

SENATOR SAMPSON: What do you mean by returnable security deposits?

MR. KUSE: When you send a person into a nursing home -- thank you for that question -- when you send a person, an elderly person into a nursing home in the State of New York you have to come up with about \$30,000 returnable security deposit.

If that person dies or if they move to

1 another state, the average death rate in a 2 nursing home is about 100 people a year, now 3 if that \$30,000 does not come back, that's about \$3 million if there is fake 4 5 accountings that are brought into court. 6 So now you have 30 times 100, that's 7 about \$3 million, now in Rockland County we 8 have a number of nursing homes, let's just 9 say it's 10, now you are looking at \$30 million, now multiply that by the number of 10 nursing homes in the State of New York, and 11 12 if fraudulent accountings are being brought 1.3 in the courts of the State of New York, they 14 are being turned into laundries for 15 criminals. 16 Understand? I think you do. This is a 17 serious crime. SENATOR SAMPSON: The returnable 18 security deposit is what? 19 MR. KUSE: Should be coming back to 20 the estate. 21 22 SENATOR SAMPSON: It is given to the nursing home? 23 Well, that is supposed to 24 MR. KUSE:

be provided in an accounting when the case goes to a Surrogates Court.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SENATOR SAMPSON: Who pays the \$30,000, the individual?

The person that put the MR. KUSE: elderly person in, a lot of times it private pays, and these are sometimes the victims here, but somebody is looking for people that don't have any relatives around that may own -- well, look at Mr. Garfield Gillens, a black artist from Brooklyn, he's still trying to get his place back and all his paintings were robbed, I could list you a number of black families, Mrs. Acosta, Mrs. Murdock I think her name was, the three women from Queens whose family -- who were living in their homes, black widows and their homes were sold out from underneath them by the Clerk of the Court, who was a CPA.

This is Jonathan Demick's brother, it was in the Post.

I'm not making it up. I think I have extended my time, but I think you got my

1 point. Definitely, Mr. 2 SENATOR SAMPSON: Kuse, you have extended your time, but do 3 any of my colleagues have any questions? 4 We have been joined by my good 5 colleague Reverend Diaz from the Bronx. 6 Pleasure to meet you. MR. KUSE: 7 SENATOR DIAZ: Thank you. Let me ask 8 you a question, those \$30 million you said, 9 why do you think that the Attorney General 10 doesn't look into that yet? 11 MR. KUSE: We have brought it to his 12 attention. Why the only person who appears 13 to be doing anything is Mr. Sampson here 14 and Mr. Price, I guess from Harlem, or 15 Perkins from Harlem and Mr. Paterson. 16 SENATOR DIAZ: You are saying the 17 Attorney General knows all this? 18 He told me to stop writing MR. KUSE: 19 him letters. That ain't going to happen on 20 21 my watch. Mr. Kuse, if you SENATOR SAMPSON: 22 can provide me with some more information, 23 I'm very interested in this returnable 24

security deposit. 1 SENATOR DIAZ: Me, too. 2 MR. KUSE: We would like to invoke 3 the Whistle Blower's Law because we know 4 there is a good chance that hundreds of 5 millions of dollars, if not billions of 6 7 dollars --SENATOR SAMPSON: Who is that you are 8 9 pointing to? MR. KUSE: That's Ms. Catherine 10 Wilson. 11 SENATOR SAMPSON: Why don't you have 12 that seat. I just want to ask you some 13 questions about this returnable security 14 15 deposit. 16 MR. KUSE: This woman is brilliant. 17 SENATOR SAMPSON: We are very interested in that. 18 MS. WILSON: Senators, thank you for 19 your time. My background is several things, 20 I used to be an auditor, I did not work for 21 the Rockefeller's, I actually was a global 22 auditor for Reader's Digest conducting 23 operational audits and reported directly to 24