CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF WHITE PLAINS STATE OF NEW YORK: COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER 16 LAKE STREET OWNERS, INC., -- CONSOLIDATED DECISIONS Petitioner, INDEX NO. 434/88 CASE NO. 1 -against-JOHN McFADDEN, GEORGE SASSOWER and ELENA SASSOWER, Respondents. JOHN McFADDEN, Petitioner, INDEX NO. 504/88 -against-CASE NO. 2 DORIS L. SASSOWER and ELENA SASSOWER, Respondents. 16 LAKE STREET OWNERS, INC., Petitioner, -against-INDEX NO. 500/88 CASE NO. 3 JOHN McFADDEN, GEORGE SASSOWER and ELENA SASSOWER, Respondents.

## AS TO INDEX NO 434/88, CASE NO. 1

1. On 12/22/88 we rendered a decision herein. The second sentence of paragraph B.2. thereof is deleted in its

Schib+ 7-C

- B. Respondent Elena Sassower moves for the following relief and our decisions are as follows:
  - To stay all proceedings in this court until the Federal action is concluded: Granted to the limited extent that we will defer Case Nos. 2 and 3 in City Court against her as a respondent until such time as both, the appeal, if any, of Judge Goettel's decision dated 9/30/88 (which denied a preliminary injunction to the respondents suing there as plaintiffs) has been decided and (1) Judge Goettel notifies us he has scheduled a Rule 16 FRCP conference for a date certain or (2) he requests us to stay our proceedings in deference to the Federal litigation, wherein very heavy money damages are sought as a result of alleged discrimination and issues concerning the Federal Fair Housing Act at 42 USC 3602 and the Federal Civil Rights Act at 42 USC 1983 are involved as well as sanctions under Rule 11 FRCP. (Upon notification from him we shall immediately defer any further proceedings in City Court.) See Note A in the Addendum.



- 2. To disqualify the City Court of White Plains from hearing this matter: Denied as to Judge Reap and, although it will ultimately be their own decision, denied for now as to Judge Kellman and Judge Friedman. This makes it unnecessary for us to analyze the allegations that were made by respondents involving why Judge Holden should be recused.
- 3. To dismiss for lack of jurisdiction: Denied.

  This is a holdover proceeding wherein it is alleged that
  an occupancy agreement expired and an ensuing month-to-month
  tenancy was terminated. The petitioner has the burden of