WHITE PLAINS CITY COURT

-----x

In the Matter of:

JOHN MCFADDEN,

Petitioner,

Docket

Number

SP1502/07,

F-19751-07/08B - against -

ELENA SASSOWER,

Respondent.

In the Matter of:

JOHN MCFADDEN,

Petitioner,

Docket Number

F-19751-07/08B - against -

SP651/89 and

SP1474/08

DORIS SASSOWER AND ELENA SASSOWER,

Respondents.

-----x

November 16,

2007

BEFORE:

JO ANN FRIIA

Support Magistrate

APPEARANCES:

ELENA SASSOWER

Respondent

LEONARD SCLAFANI

Counsel for Petitioner

TRANSCRIBER: Annette M. Duncan

| | | | | | 3 |
|----------|-----------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|---|
| 1 | WITNESSES DIRECT | CROSS | RE-DIRECT | RE-CROSS | |
| 2 | No Witnesses Interviewed | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | C | | | 0 |
| 5 | EXHIBITS | | | | |
| 6 | DESCRIPTION | | I.D. | IN. EV. | |
| 7 | No Documents Submitted/Rece | ived | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | , | | | à |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

1 [CD one, counter 10:12:04]

JUDGE FRIIA: McFadden against Elena Sassower.

This being a motion it will be marked submitted

for decision to me today and a written decision

will follow. I really don't know if there is any

basis for reply here. It is a pretty straight

MR. SCLAFANI: There are several problems,

Judge. One is that there is a trial date

scheduled for Tuesday and the motion is to stay

the trial among other things.

forward motion. I looked at it earlier today.

JUDGE FRIIA: Then we will make a decision.

This will go to Mr. O'Shea. I will try to have a decision done by Monday. We will see - - we are very busy here.

MR. SCLAFANI: Right and the other thing is that it is a motion to be argued so it needs to go to Judge Hansberry - -

JUDGE FRIIA: Well, we are not sure about that because the motion seeks to have Judge Hansberry disqualified so I have asked my law - - isn't that at least part of the application right so I have Mr. O'Shea, who is our court attorney looking into the propriety of that. Ordinarily this would go

back to Judge Hansberry but given the nature of it he feels that maybe I should review it as the senior Judge. We haven't made a decision on that. He and I will speak when we are finished with this morning's calendar again.

MR. SCLAFANI: Another problem is there is there is a cross motion for the argument and that has to go to Judge Hansberry and that doesn't seek disqualification.

JUDGE FRIIA: Okay. So what do you want me to do today?

MR. SCLAFANI: And the last thing is that in the decision that it is for which the argument is sort respondent there was a suggestion that a prior pending case that involves the same parties and the same subject matter but not the same issues - -

JUDGE FRIIA: Right I remember okay.

MR. SCLAFANI: Was that the order suggested that that case would be consolidated. The problem is there are other parties in that case and they weren't given notice.

JUDGE FRIIA: Right.

MR. SCLAFANI: And I have suggested - - I

suggested in my admission motion papers and I am now suggesting in re-argument that would should have happened in that case the Court there was a motion pending for summary judgment it was submitted in full. All it needed was a decision.

JUDGE FRIIA: That is in the other case.

MR. SCLAFANI: In the other case - - all it really needed was a decision and the only issue pursuant to an order that was issued by the Court in that case was whether or not the respondent would be successful in a federal case if she has now lost so that case was totally right for a summary judgment to have been granted which would of course moot out the instant proceedings which seeks the same relief although on different grounds. Rather than consolidate those two cases what should happen is that motion which has now been pending for eight or nine years should just be decided.

JUDGE FRIIA: But that is not on for the Court today.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}.$ SCLAFANI: No, it is because it is part of my cross motion.

JUDGE FRIIA: Well, except that we have to

address the threshold question which is whether or not Judge Hansberry is the proper Judge to hear it.

MR. SCLAFANI: Right.

JUDGE FRIIA: And who the Judge is who is going to hear it on Tuesday and secondly whether or not the matter is in fact trial ready based on what you have indicated.

MR. SCLAFANI: And that is - -

JUDGE FRIIA: As I understand it. My understanding these issues are there are a lot of issues here I agree but you know when you got a lot of paperwork and you could see that there is about a stack of about a foot in front of me - -

MR. SCLAFANI: Right.

you got to address the matters in order. I don't believe that Judge Hansberry is scheduled for next Tuesday with next Tuesday being a holiday week we are sort of all sharing the calendar referring to the four Judges here one taking each day it may very well be that I am the Judge who is going to be hearing this case on Tuesday but I think the threshold question is whether or not Judge

Hansberry should properly recuse himself in any event, if it is rendered moot by virtue of the fact that there is another Judge assigned for the trial date on Tuesday which for the record is November 20th and next whether or not this case is in fact trial ready.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SCLAFANI: And with great reluctance because I am the petitioner most anxious to proceed expeditiously I nevertheless compel to suggest that because there are so many - - the motions that were made earlier involve numerous defenses that require numerous witnesses some of whom are lawyers and people that were involved in prior proceedings and establishing the coop and not to know whether or not I am going to trial on those issues is not only a burden for the litigant but it is also going to be impossible to get those witnesses you know to have them on that kind of a schedule so I reluctantly suggest that perhaps the Court might adjourn for the briefest of time in this case to give it a sufficient amount of time to read through each papers and put this case back in a track that makes it more manageable.

JUDGE FRIIA: I am going to - - I will speak

in a few minutes I just want to get to the issues here raised by Mr. Sclafani who decided to speak first here so am I to understand that while we are addressing the issues raised in the motion - - the paperwork. In any event, you would like this case put over from Tuesday to a date and at this point counselor, it would have to be after the first of the new year. I cannot give a date in December. What we have scheduled in December are all cases that came on the calendar within the last month or so that are of much more of a necessity than these some of which include criminal matters so I cannot give you a date in December but I certainly can give you a date in January so that is where we are and so are you asking for that or are you asking for - - in any event, the rescheduling of the trial for a date first available in January.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SCLAFANI: No, Judge if the Court can't then I assume that the Court will be able to review those papers and have a decision.

JUDGE FRIIA: Well, I don't know if I am going to be able to do it. This is a little crazy. I am giving it my best effort today. Also, we were not on for oral argument today. I will be honest

with you I am letting you be heard. I don't know that you are going to get a written decision by the close of business on Monday so I think your suggestion of adjourning the case from Tuesday to a date first up in January is going to make the best sense.

MR. SCLAFANI: That wasn't my suggestion though, Judge. I can't afford that type of adjournment.

closed by mandate of the judicial district from that Friday afternoon - - I am checking again

December 21st until January 2nd alright so the courts are closed except for emergency applications, arraignments and 18080 hearings under the criminal procedure law so already you are losing what turns out to be eleven days of court dates and I am telling you that leaves you just that - - that leaves us just the - - with the equivalent of maybe nineteen working days all of which have matters previously scheduled so I don't know what to say. I will also tell you that if you start the trial on Tuesday it doesn't sound like you are going to be able to finish it on

Tuesday so it will go over to a date in January if what you say is true you got a lot of witnesses there is no way we are going to be able to do this in one day so I will make best efforts with our court attorney to have something done in writing. Remember not only does it have to be researched and thoughtfully written but it has to be typed and served by the clerk. You are asking a lot of us in what ends up being two business days.

MR. SCLAFANI: That may be true, Judge but with all due respect this was an order to show cause I didn't set this date. The Court suggested this particular date I was to understand specifically so that there wouldn't be an adjournment of the 20th trial date and so that this matter could proceed in you know there may be other matters that are on between now and the 1st of January but I suggest that several of those matters probably most of those matters were matters that came onto to be heard before or after this case was filed back in July.

JUDGE FRIIA: Is that in your paperwork?

MR. SCLAFANI: I do - -

JUDGE FRIIA: What you are telling me now is

that in your paperwork?

MR. SCLAFANI: I didn't address the issue of an adjournment. The court specifically said that they would make this motion returnable on short notice quite short notice we --

JUDGE FRIIA: Look I don't know what you are asking?

MR. SCLAFANI: I was suggesting - -

JUDGE FRIIA: I think I have addressed all of the issues that you have raised.

MR. SCLAFANI: I was suggesting that it might make sense to put it over for a week or two to give everybody time but the Court is saying that is not an option. It is either two months - - two and a half months or - -

JUDGE FRIIA: That is not what I am saying,
Mr. Sclafani. Obviously, you don't hear me okay.
Obviously, you don't hear me okay. What I am
telling you is if Tuesday is not an appropriate
date and it sounds - - everything you are telling
me you are sounding like it is not going to make
any sense to try this on Tuesday. I am telling
you we don't even have a month to reschedule this
case. This case there is no reason in this case

to give it priority over the other matters that 1 2 3 4 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are already scheduled some of which are criminal trials with defendants in custody so listen to me now you are not° going to be greatly prejudice particularly since what you are telling me that is the case does start on Tuesday - - I am repeating myself it is likely to go over to January in any event and right now we have got to address the issue of which Judge is going to hear it or try it okay. This is what we are going to do - - do you need time to put in any additional paperwork?

MR. SCLAFANI: No.

JUDGE FRIIA: Mr. Sclafani, do you need time to put in any additional paperwork because when I am finished in the Court today I am going to mark it submitted for decision. Ms. Rodriguez is going to hand deliver this with a wheelbarrow to Mr. O'Shea and he is going to work on it and give it his best effort and I am going to sit with him as he needs my help and we are going to try to get something written and typed by the close of business on Monday giving our best effect you know you got a fifty fifty shot that that is going to happen okay. Do you want any time to reply? Is

| | | | 1 |
|----|---|---|---|
| 1 | | there any additional paperwork that you want to | |
| 2 | | submit? There is the main motion and cross motion | |
| 3 | | anything else? Ms. Sassower, you have heard the | |
| 4 | O | comments of counsel. Is there anything else that | |
| 5 | | you want to say? | |
| 6 | | MS. SASSOWER: I sure have much to say, Your | |
| 7 | | Honor. Thank you for permitting me to be heard. | |
| 8 | | JUDGE FRIIA: Let's not get crazy here. | |
| 9 | | MS. SASSOWER: Absolutely not. | |
| 10 | | JUDGE FRIIA: Okay, go ahead. | |
| 11 | , | MS. SASSOWER: I request to see the affidavit | |
| 12 | | of service for this cross motion because it must | |
| 13 | | be rejected because untimely. | |
| 14 | | JUDGE FRIIA: Okay. Let me have our clerk | |
| 15 | | take a look at it here because they stamp it in | |
| 16 | | there okay. Could we where is the affidavit - | |
| 17 | | - what I am going to do first of all Ms. | |
| 18 | | Sassower, do you are you indicating to the | |
| 19 | | Court that you haven't received the notice of | |
| 20 | | cross motion? | |
| 21 | | MS. SASSOWER: I was served this morning by | |
| 22 | | hand with the cross motion last night at shortly | |
| 23 | | before seven. | |
| 24 | | JUDGE FRIIA: That is what it says. | |
| | | | |

MS. SASSOWER: But the statute requires three days before the return date for a cross motion.

That is untimely.

JUDGE FRIIA: Well, let me say something - - MS. SASSOWER: May I say additional that the statute requires as I understand it that facsimile service has to be accompanied to be valid. It has to be accompanied by mail service which I dare say Mr. Sclafani does not attest to so at best we have service today indeed in a court room.

JUDGE FRIIA: All right. What do you want to say to that Mr. Sclafani, because I will be honest with you with the order to show cause you should have proceeded with a cross order to show cause you should have proceeded with a cross order to show cause to eliminate the statutory time frame is what you should have done. That is what we do in matrimonial matters.

MR. SCLAFANI: I don't believe that that is correct but in any event the problem here is was that the order to show cause was incorrectly served in the first place. What was served was a non-conformed copy of the order that failed to include the return date. It wasn't until Tuesday

1 the order to show cause required - - it wasn't 2 until Tuesday that we were even served with the return date on this paper that the order to show 40 cause was defective \hat{so} that - - there are several procedural problems with this entire thing which I

was willing to overlook.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE FRIIA: Well, let me ask you a question you - - so your cross notice of motion is also a response to the motion brought by order to show cause so it is a combination document yes?

MR. SCLAFANI: It is opposition and a cross motion.

JUDGE FRIIA: So it is really opposition and cross motion. Well, this is what we can do in the interest of time people we can consider the response as a reply or response - - we consider notice of cross motion as a response only to the order to show cause and preclude the cross motion part of it as being untimely served in the interest of time, Ms. Sassower. Unless you want an opportunity to reply to the notice of cross motion in which case I can have it reserved and reissue it now as an order to show cause cross motion.

| 1 | MS. SASSOWER: Personally I would like to see |
|-----|--|
| 2 | the copy that he is contending he was served last |
| 3 | Friday November 9th. |
| 4 | MR. SCLAFANI: I am happy to show the Court. |
| 5 | There is no return date. The motion is defective. |
| 6 | You shouldn't consider the motion. |
| 7 | JUDGE FRIIA: For the record I am looking at |
| 8 | the order to show cause a duplicate original |
| 9 | of the order to show cause that was counsel, |
| 10 | this looks like it was completed. |
| 11 | MR. SCLAFANI: No, look at the front, Judge. |
| 12 | The return date is not filled in. |
| 13 | JUDGE FRIIA: Oh everything else is filled in. |
| 14 | MR. SCLAFANI: Right but she failed to give |
| 15 | notice of when it was returnable. |
| 16 | JUDGE FRIIA: All right. Take a moment. |
| 17 | MR. SCLAFANI: So the motion is defective so |
| 18 | you shouldn't consider it in the first place which |
| 19 | means that the cross motion should be properly |
| 20 | considered. |
| 21 | MS. SASSOWER: May I be heard? |
| 22 | MR. SCLAFANI: It is my understanding in a |
| 23 | summary judgment proceeding is that you need to |
| 2 4 | give three days notice except when there is a |
| | |

return date and today is the return date which makes it timely so you must consider it on the grounds of timeliness.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE FRIÎA: I am looking at the original order to show cause. He is right if that is his copy and it doesn't look like it was whited out.

MS. SASSOWER: May I be heard, your Honor?

JUDGE FRIIA: Go ahead.

MS. SASSOWER: He did not identify in his papers that he was responding to an order to show That this matter was on for trial and was seeking a stay with respect thereto he raised no objection in his papers as to the manner of service and it is raised by reason thereof. Additionally, Judge Hansberry did not strike the requirement in the papers for service three days before - - I'm sorry for service of respondent papers - - answering papers three days before the return date. Judge Hansberry additionally gave me until the 14th to effect service on the 13th I faxed Mr. Sclafani and your Honor has a copy of the actual copy that Judge Hansberry signed with the return date of the 16th so Mr. Sclafani knew the return date to be the 16th from at least the

1 13th. Moreover, I dare say he did not 2 independently call up the Court to inquire as to 3 the date. He was in no way prejudice but in any event he raised no objection and it is waived. 4 5 Now additionally, if I may I have a right which I certainly - - were the cross motion to be deemed 6 acceptable which I would argue it is not and has 7 to be rejected for short service because having 8 9 nothing to do with my papers his cross motion is short served and untimely but even were his papers 10 11 to be deemed acceptable by way of opposition to my 12 order to show cause I was entitled to under Judge 13 Hansberry's order answering papers three days in advance of the return date. 14 15 JUDGE FRIIA: Ms. Sassower, what do you want 16 me to do? 17 MS. SASSOWER: I would like opportunity to 18 respond to - - to reply to his deceitful 19 fraudulent answering papers which continue the 20 pattern of his misconduct which has characterized 21 this litigation and which, your Honor I 22 respectfully submit entitles me to further

imposition of 130-1.1 sanctions against him.

JUDGE FRIIA: All right. Let me - - you are

23

24

1 saying a couple of things and I really need to 2 wind it down because I have other attorneys in the 3 courtroom. I actually have people behind the door in custody on some ordinance violations. This is 4 5 what I am inclined to do and I am really to strike 6 a balance here and that is to carefully read these 7 motions, the applications and the issues raised in 8 them with our Court attorney and to do them like today. On the one hand Ms. Sassower, you are 9 10 saying that the notice of cross motion is improper 11 but at the same - -12 MS. SASSOWER: Untimely.

JUDGE FRIIA: Untimely improper as untimely but you would like an opportunity to respond.

MS. SASSOWER: To respond - - to reply you have already indicated, your Honor if I - - correct that this cross motion was not properly noticed and therefore his papers will be considered only by way of opposition.

JUDGE FRIIA: Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SASSOWER: That being the case fine I would like the opportunity to reply - - reply.

JUDGE FRIIA: Listen to me now.

MS. SASSOWER: To his opposition papers.

24

JUDGE FRIIA: Listen to what I am going to do there - - and I think a lot of this is not the fault of counsel - - litigants on either side here but rather just you know the issues here being more important sometimes than the actual procedure although we could never forget the procedure is outlined in the CPLR. I do think Ms. Sassower, that counsel's point is raised that the return date was not included in his copy of the motion. On the other hand, he is justice - - responsible here for not timely filing the notice of cross motion. I however believe that the issues raised on both sides should be addressed by the Court and so rather than do silly things like dismiss everything and make you start all over again I am going to allow the applications to proceed and I am going to give you time to respond okay but in so doing this case is going to be put over. Now Mr. Sclafani, don't pop a vein but based on everything I have heard so far number one we are not sure who the Judge is on Tuesday number one on the hit parade. It is like the day before Thanksgiving and this case should never be given just one day. It should be given if possible at

least two consecutive days in a row where hearing the trial so this is what I am going to do Ms.

Sassower, I am in this part on Wednesday for sure because I am doing drug court and f am doing the co-calendar. Could you have your responding papers into the Court by the close of business on Wednesday the 21st? You are going to have to try.

MS. SASSOWER: I have a question for you when you say responding papers am I putting in opposition papers for cross motion or reply papers to his opposition to my order to show cause?

JUDGE FRIIA: Both - - both because it seems to me that we are addressing the issues that are raised on both sides. I will be honest with you I mean I think it is not semantics because we are necessarily following procedure but at this point I just want to read here what everybody has to say on the issues because I think they are of course important issues and remember in any event we are going to be preserving the issues in the event of an appeal so I have a feeling there is going to be an appeal probably on one side against one set of decisions and on the other side against the other set of decisions. So whether it be me or

| | | _ |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | Hansberry so we are just really preserving a | |
| 2 | record I want you all to get the issues out so I | |
| 3 | don't care what you call it Ms. Sassower, just put | |
| 4 | in your response to the paperwork and get it into $^\circ$ | |
| 5 | the Court by I am going to direct that it be | |
| 6 | in if you can be four o'clock on Wednesday the | |
| 7 | 21st. | |
| 8 | MS. SASSOWER: Let's remember that the Court | |
| 9 | is closed on Thursday. | |
| 10 | JUDGE FRIIA: Yes. | |
| 11 | MS. SASSOWER: And I believe nobody is going | |
| 12 | to proceed to adjudicate this motion at four | |
| 13 | o'clock when I am directed to submit papers. | |
| 14 | JUDGE FRIIA: No, but I am here on Friday. | |
| 15 | MS. SASSOWER: I have a pending petition at | |
| 16 | the U.S. Supreme Court which is on for court | |
| 17 | conference on November 20th Tuesday. | |
| 18 | JUDGE FRIIA: Okay. | |
| 19 | MS. SASSOWER: I respectfully request until | |
| 20 | Monday. There is no prejudice here. | |
| 21 | JUDGE FRIIA: So Monday after Thanksgiving? | |
| 22 | MS. SASSOWER: Yes, Monday the 26th there is | |
| 23 | absolutely no prejudice this is | |
| 24 | MR. SCLAFANI: I have no objection. | |
| | | |

| | | 2 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. | |
| 2 | JUDGE FRIIA: No problem. | |
| 3 | MS. SASSOWER: And let me just one | |
| 4 | JUDGE FRIIA: Close of business and then that | |
| 5 | is five o'clock. | |
| 6 | MS. SASSOWER: Thank you and let me just say | |
| 7 | on the issue of consolidation Mr. Sclafani here | |
| 8 | again has misrepresented and unfortunately I think | |
| 9 | - · - | |
| 10 | JUDGE FRIIA: You are talking about | |
| 11 | consolidating that other matter? Is there an open | |
| 12 | matter in this Court? | |
| 13 | MR. SCLAFANI: Yes, Judge it has been open for | |
| 14 | ten years. It was held in abeyance pending the | |
| 15 | outcome of Ms. Sassower's federal case. She lost | |
| 16 | that case. The Court | |
| 17 | JUDGE FRIIA: Is that in your cross motion? | |
| 18 | MR. SCLAFANI: It is in the motion originally | |
| 19 | and the Court said we will just consolidate but | |
| 20 | they can't because and Ms. Sassower raised | |
| 21 | that in her cross motion and I | |
| 22 | JUDGE FRIIA: All right. This is something | |
| 23 | that | |
| 24 | MR. SCLAFANI: It should have been | |
| | | |

| | | 2 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | adjudicated. | |
| 2 | JUDGE FRIIA: This is something that our Court | |
| 3 | attorney and my research has got to really look | |
| 4 | at. ° | |
| 5 | MR. SCLAFANI: We both kind of because | |
| 6 | there may | |
| 7 | JUDGE FRIIA: One wants consolidation and the | |
| 8 | other doesn't? | |
| 9 | MR. SCLAFANI: No, neither wants to | |
| 10 | consolidate. | |
| 11 | JUDGE FRIIA: Neither one of your want? | |
| 12 | MS. SASSOWER: The Court was responding in its | |
| 13 | decision | |
| 14 | MR. SCLAFANI: The Court did respond | |
| 15 | MS. SASSOWER: The Court responded that it is | |
| 16 | improper and reversible and | |
| 17 | JUDGE FRIIA: Is that Hansberry's decision? | |
| 18 | MS. SASSOWER: Yes. | |
| 19 | MR. SCLAFANI: Judge Hansberry's decision. | |
| 20 | MS. SASSOWER: What he did was he gave no | |
| 21 | notice to the parties and we are not talking about | |
| 22 | a single case consolidated we are talking about a | |
| 23 | second open proceeding where the coop is seeking | |
| 24 | to remove the proprietary lease of Ms. McFadden | |
| | | |

rightfully so for the - - and Mr. Sclafani has concealed that case - - that open proceeding before the Court and Judge Hansberry in his decision conspicuously failed to identify the coop's separate proceeding against Mr. McFadden as well as myself which is also part of any purported consolidation. Mr. Sclafani in his cross motion which you are directly me to respond to is careful not to identify the status of the prior open proceedings and has given no notice to the parties in those proceedings who have a right to be heard on the issue of consolidation. This matter cannot go forward to trial on Tuesday for a (inaudible) of reasons and not the least being is that the order was one of consolidation and they are not properly before the Court.

1

2

3

04

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE FRIIA: Excuse me if I hear both of you neither side want consolidation?

MR. SCLAFANI: We believe that the motion that was pending in the other case where the Court said the only issue remaining on this motion for summary judgment is whether or not Ms. Sassower will prevail on the federal case so we will hold this motion in abeyance pending the outcome of

1 that case. That motion should be decided. The 2 case shouldn't be consolidated. It is a different 3 case. It should just be decided. It is a simple decision. That may moot out this entire case. 5 JUDGE FRIIA: Listen to me I am going to scare myself right now but as you are speaking I 6 7 actually remember that case. MR. SCLAFANI: Yes. 8 9 JUDGE FRIIA: Did I decide that case? 10 MS. SASSOWER: No. 11 MR. SCLAFANI: I don't believe so, Judge. 12 JUDGE FRIIA: Judge Rifften (phonetic). 13 MR. SCLAFANI: I don't believe so, Judge. 14 That was Judge Rifften. 15 MS. SASSOWER: Judge - -16 JUDGE FRIIA: I inherited his cases. Alright 17 there you go that is why this is sounding familiar 18 to me. 19 MR. SCLAFANI: The decision is actually in my 20 original motion papers. 21 MS. SASSOWER: Mr. Sclafani's representations 22 with respect to that decision and the proceedings 23 are false, misleading and have been demonstrated 24 as such in my reply papers of September 11th to

which I refer to in my order to show cause.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE FRIIA: Listen, stay calm. What is important is that I hear that neither side at this point believes that those cases should be consolidated.

MR. SCLAFANI: I don't think they can be.

JUDGE FRIIA: Okay. Mr. O'Shea and myself are going to be looking at all of these issues raised to allow - - and we are going to set aside the procedural defects at least as far as the motion practice is concerned. I am going to adjourn the trial and the decision on the motions will actually set forth a new trial date because number one we have to decide the issue of Hansberry proper presiding over these cases and second if he is not going to do it then it sounds like I or Judge Leaf (phonetic) will be presiding and we will have to look at who is sitting where in January of next year okay. No need to come on Tuesday. This matter is removed by the Court from our trial calendar on Tuesday November 20th for the record and Ms. Sassower has until the close of business which is five o'clock but we always ask that you get here by a quarter to.

```
29
                       MS. SASSOWER: Absolutely.
 1
 2
    [CD one, counter 10:39:52]
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I, Annette M. Duncan certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings White Plains City Court, John.

McFadden against Eleanor Sassower. Docket Number SP1502/07, SP651/89 and SP1474/08 was prepared using electronic transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Chritle M Dunca

Annette M. Duncan

Date cassette was transcribed

March 3, 2009