

From: elena@judgewatch.org
Sent: Wednesday, November 26, 2025 1:04 PM
To: 'kea2130@columbia.edu'; 'jbulma@law.columbia.edu';
'ojohns@law.columbia.edu'; 'gmetzg1@law.columbia.edu'
Cc: 'ns2333@columbia.edu'; 'mak67@columbia.edu'; 'rb34@columbia.edu';
'kholder@law.columbia.edu'; 'jamal.greene@law.columbia.edu';
'kprada@law.columbia.edu'

Subject: **STATUS? -- Your Scholarship -- & Participation, as Amicus Curiae or Counsel,
in Federal Lawsuit vs The NYT & Other Press for Enabling & Abetting the
Destruction of Constitutional, Lawful NYS Governance**

Attachments: [cja-oct29-2025-amended-complaint.pdf](#)

TO: [Center for Constitutional Governance/Columbia University Law School](#)

[Faculty Director Kate Andrias](#)
[Faculty Director Jessica Bulman-Pozen](#)
[Faculty Director Olatunde Johnson](#)
[Faculty Director Gillian Metzger](#)

Other than a bounce-back for your contact person kwalsch@law.columbia.edu as “user unknown”, I have received no response to my below November 17th e-mail. Is this inadvertent – or is this a repeat of what you willfully and deliberately did in 2019, by your non-response to my three e-mails to you: my [April 17, 2019 e-mail](#), my [May 2, 2019 e-mail](#), and my [July 1, 2019 e-mail](#)?

Please advise, without delay – including as to how you are handling your conflicts of interest, arising from what you did six years ago, in tandem with Columbia Law School’s since disbanded Center for the Advancement of Public Integrity, and by what was thereafter done by the Columbia Graduate School of Journalism – all embodied by a [December 9, 2020 complaint](#) I made to [Columbia University’s Research Integrity Officer Naomi Schrag](#), about whose resolution I inquired by a [March 30, 2022 e-mail](#), without response from her or from [University Director of Research Compliance Michael Klein](#).

As I was writing this, I called Ms. Schrag to alert her to the foregoing – and stated I would cc her on this e-mail so that she could assess the situation for herself.

As is obvious from your behavior, the situation I was complaining about five years ago to Ms. Schrag and Mr. Klein continues unabated – Columbia University’s flagrant rigging and skewing of scholarship by faculty who **violate the most basic tenets of research, academic, and professional codes**, by ignoring – as if it does not exist – primary-source, documentary evidence that runs counter to their own fictional narratives. In other words, Columbia University’s subverting of truth by its scholars, who brainwash students and the public at large with their false propaganda, is not confined to Israel.

Finally, with respect to my request that you forward my November 17th e-mail to relevant “‘[affiliated faculty](#)’ and other faculty, such as of media law and the First Amendment”, did you do that? And did they include “affiliated faculty” member [Professor Richard Briffault](#), whose adulatory Columbia University Law School webpage not only conceals his corruption of academic and official duties that I have [documented for now 13 years](#) – and for which, upon investigation of my December 9, 2020 complaint, should have led to his removal from his position and referral to criminal authorities for his public corruption/official

misconduct crimes – but omits any mention of the years in which he was purportedly director of the Law School’s Legislative Drafting Research Fund, except by [its attached cv](#) which identifies “1995 to date”. As for [the Fund’s single webpage](#), it is devoid of any faculty name or contact information.

As the Center’s “affiliated faculty” [Professor Jamal Greene](#) would surely be among the faculty to whom you should have forwarded my November 17th e-mail, I am herein cc’ing him so that he can respond to [the federal lawsuit](#), so clearly relevant to his scholarship and teaching – and for his consideration as to whether he would be interested in participating as an *amicus curiae* or as paid counsel to CJA.

Suffice to add that shortly after I sent my below November 17th e-mail to you, I received, by e-mail, a November 13th *sua sponte* Order from the district court judge for me to show cause with respect to subject matter jurisdiction, noting, as “a threshold matter”, the absence of counsel representing CJA. I responded by a [November 19th letter](#) that I was endeavoring to find counsel for CJA. Meantime, I have [drafted an affidavit, for submission in January](#), predicated on CJA being, by then, represented by counsel. I believe it disposes, *at the outset*, and before defendants have even been served, of the subject matter jurisdiction defense of standing. What is your opinion – and Professor Greene’s?

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: elena@judgewatch.org <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 9:05 AM
To: 'kea2130@columbia.edu' <kea2130@columbia.edu>; 'jbulma@law.columbia.edu' <jbulma@law.columbia.edu>; 'ojohns@law.columbia.edu' <ojohns@law.columbia.edu>; 'gmetzg1@law.columbia.edu' <gmetzg1@law.columbia.edu>
Cc: 'kwalsch@law.columbia.edu' <kwalsch@law.columbia.edu>

Subject: Your Scholarship -- & Participation, as Amicus Curiae or Counsel, in Federal Lawsuit vs The NYT & Other Press for Enabling & Abetting the Destruction of Constitutional, Lawful NYS Governance

TO: [Center for Constitutional Governance/Columbia University Law School](#)
[Faculty Director Kate Andrias](#)
[Faculty Director Jessica Bulman-Pozen](#)
[Faculty Director Olatunde Johnson](#)
[Faculty Director Gillian Metzger](#)

I am director and co-founder of the New York-based non-partisan, non-profit citizens’ organization [Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. \(CJA\)](#), with decades of first-hand experience and primary-source, documentary evidence germane to your scholarship.

Reflecting this is the monumental federal lawsuit that CJA commenced on October 8th in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, “*on behalf of the People of the State of New York & the Public Interest*”, against The New York Times and 24 other press defendants for their *knowingly* false journalism, enabling and abetting the destruction of constitutional, lawful New York state governance. Above-attached and here-linked is the [October 29th verified amended complaint](#) adding subsequent factual allegations and nine other press defendants, for a total of 34. As with the original complaint, the paragraph 1 reads:

“1. Pursuant to Article 4, §4 of the United States Constitution, ‘The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government...’, and the First Amendment to the Constitution pertaining to the press, as explicated by federal caselaw, including as above recited, and the First Amendment’s guarantee of ‘the right of the people...to petition the Government for a redress of grievances’, and the further guarantees of the Fifth Amendment^{fn1} and the Fourteenth Amendment, §1^{fn2} to every ‘person’ of ‘due process of law’ and ‘the equal protection of laws’, plaintiffs seek declaratory relief and compensatory, presumed, and punitive damages against defendants for their *knowingly* false, ‘fake news’, corruption-abetting, election-rigging journalism, concealing and enabling the destruction of constitutional, lawful governance in New York State, culminating in corrupt candidates running on the 2025 election ballots – and, in particular, for New York City mayor, for New York City public advocate, for Manhattan borough president, for Manhattan district attorney, for Brooklyn district attorney, and to be district attorneys in approximately a dozen other counties throughout the state – and their election.” (italics in the original).

In addition to your scholarship with respect to same – and scholarship is here mandated by the MASSIVE primary-source, documentary evidence to which the verified amended complaint links, NONE of which, to my knowledge, has been the subject of ANY scholarship, ALL of it establishing the collusion of NY’s three government branches – and the fourth branch, the press – in destroying constitutional, lawful NYS governance, I seek your participation in the lawsuit, collectively and/or individually, either as *amicus curiae* in support of plaintiffs or as counsel to the unrepresented corporate plaintiff CJA, which I cannot represent as I am not a lawyer.

My opinion, as the non-lawyer individual plaintiff, is that the lawsuit is a summary judgment case, as there is NO defense to it factually or legally. The SOLE issue is the magnitude of compensatory, presumed, and punitive damages – a jury determination that will reasonably be many tens of billions of dollars. What are your opinions?

Kindly acknowledge receipt and indicate the specific “[affiliated faculty](#)” and other faculty, such as of media law and the First Amendment, to whom you are forwarding this e-mail – and when you will be available to speak with me directly. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE, obviously.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

