

Subject: Oops -- Fwd: Your Request for the Evidence -- & Clarification -- of The NYT's Protectionism of A.G. Spitzer

Date: 8/22/2006, 12:28 PM

From: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: Mark Mitchell <m.mitchell@cjdaily.org>

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Inadvertently omitted the "org" from website address, www.judgewatch.org

----- Original Message -----

Subject: Your Request for the Evidence -- & Clarification -- of The NYT's Protectionism of A.G. Spitzer

Date: 8/22/2006, 12:22 PM

From: Ctr for Judicial Accountability <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: Mark Mitchell <m.mitchell@cjdaily.org>

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Dear Mr. Mitchell,

Thank you for your prompt response. Are you sure you looked at our website, www.judgewatch.org?

Aside from the sidebar panel, "[Suing The New York Times](#)" -- which brings up a page containing, directly under the lawsuit caption, a link to "[15-Year Background History -- Paper Trail of Suppression, Protectionism, & Blackballing by THE NEW YORK TIMES](#)", please see the following:

(1) [The sidebar panel "Press Protectionism"](#) -- which contains a list of "Special Topics" including

**"2. SKEWING & SUBVERTING THE ELECTORAL PROCESS:
PRESS PROTECTIONISM OF -- NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL ELIOT SPITZER"**

(2) [The sidebar panel "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters"](#)

"Would-Be Governor: Attorney General Eliot Spitzer

SEE: [Press Protectionism of A.G. Spitzer](#)

[Paper Trail of A.G. Spitzer's Corruption in Office"](#)

The short answer to your question is that although [The New York Times](#) long ago recognized that the primary job of the Attorney General is to defend the state when sued, it has wilfully refused to report on Attorney General Spitzer's defense of lawsuits. Likewise, it has wilfully refused to report on Mr. Spitzer's "public integrity unit", which he had promised voters he would establish to root out governmental corruption when he ran for Attorney General in 1998 -- an election he won by a squeaker. This refusal by [The Times](#) is with knowledge that reporting ON THE EVIDENCE would end Mr. Spitzer's political career, indeed result in criminal and disciplinary investigations and prosecutions against him for corruption.

For immediate purposes, attached is CJA's January 18, 2006 letter to Kenneth Langone, conveniently enclosing our October 8, 2002 2-page covermemo to [The New York Times](#) Editorial Board, our four-page proposal for coverage "[The Real Eliot Spitzer - NOT the P.R. Version](#)", and other substantiating documents. This letter to Mr. Langone is the first posted under the heading "Searching for Champions" on our "Elections 2006: Informing the Voters" page. By the way, our [DECISIVE](#) October 8, 2002 memo is referred-to by the verified complaint of our lawsuit against [The Times](#) (at paras. 51 and 111).

Please don't hesitate to call. I would be pleased to assist you -- including with "hard copies" of all posted documents.

 [1-18-06-langone-with-encl.pdf \(1594KB\)](#)

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
914-421-1200

.....
Mark Mitchell wrote on 8/22/2006, 10:21 AM:

Dear Ms. Sassower,