## CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.\*

Post Office Box 8101 White Plains, New York 10602 Tel: 914-421-1200

E-Mail: mail@judgewatch.org
Website: www.judgewatch.org

July 8, 2016

TO: The 56 County Governments of New York State whose Judicially-Linked

District Attorney Salaries are Paid from their County Budgets

ATT: County Boards of Supervisors & Boards of Legislators County Executive Officers & Treasurers/Comptrollers

County Attorneys

FROM: Elena Sassower, Director

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

RE: GOOD NEWS! You have rock-solid, prima facie evidence for repudiating and

successfully challenging this year's state-imposed district attorney salary increases -

and it is your duty to your county's taxpayers to do so.

As you know, most of New York State's 62 counties do not set the salaries of their full-time county-elected district attorneys. Rather, their salaries are set by the state, which has linked them to judicial salaries (Judiciary Law §183-a). On the whole, this has not affected the pocket-books of county taxpayers because the state subsidizes district attorney salary costs that county taxpayers would otherwise bear (County Law §700.10, §700.11). However, this year the state is not picking up the tab for the sizable increases in district attorney salaries for which the counties are liable – increases resulting from the judicial salary increases recommended by the December 24, 2015 report of the Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation for this year and the next three years. Indeed, notwithstanding the advocacy of the New York State Association of Counties (NYSAC) and the District Attorneys Association of the State of New York (DAASNY), no bill was passed by the Legislature to pick up the tab.

Should the counties count on the Legislature passing a bill to cover the increases to district attorney salaries for this year – and the next three? Absolutely not. The Legislature's leadership and a significant swath of its rank-and-file have the rock-solid evidence establishing that the December 24, 2015 report is a "false instrument", violative of a succession of penal law provisions. As a matter of fact, the Legislature, Governor Cuomo, Attorney General Schneiderman, and Comptroller DiNapoli are all defendants in a citizen-taxpayer action, suing them for "grand larceny of the public fisc and other corrupt acts" with respect to the December 24, 2015 report – and with respect to the predecessor August 29, 2011 report of the Commission on Judicial Compensation. Both reports are flagrantly violative of the largely identical statutes pursuant to which they purport to be rendered, quite apart from being fraudulent and unconstitutional. This is why the citizen-taxpayer action, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. v. Cuomo, et al. (Albany Co. #1788-2014), which our non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization expressly brought on behalf of the public interest and the

People of the State of New York, seeks declarations voiding the reports. As for the posture of the case, it is one of <u>summary judgment for the plaintiffs</u> – as can be readily verified from the lawsuit record, accessible from the homepage of CJA's website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>.<sup>1</sup>

Last week, we gave notice of the foregoing to the district attorney beneficiaries of the December 24, 2015 and August 11, 2011 reports, stating:

...it is the duty of each district attorney, upon verifying the facts and evidence...to apprise his/her county attorney, county legislative board, and county executive officer, that he/she is disavowing the salary increases arising from those reports, and to advise them to secure a judicial declaration to void the reports, including by filing an amicus curiae brief in CJA's pending citizen-taxpayer action for such a declaration, CJA v. Cuomo, et al. (Albany Co. #1788-2014). For any district attorney to do otherwise and claim, let alone even accept, the salary increases, in face of prima facie proof that they are fraudulent and lawless, would make him/her complicit in the very penal law violations that are his/her duty to prosecute.

...

...should ANY of the district attorney beneficiaries of the August 29, 2011 and December 24, 2015 reports believe that [the evidence] is <u>NOT</u> dispositive of the duty they owe to the counties that elected them to repudiate the salary increases and to take steps to secure the voiding of the reports, they must come forward with their <u>findings of fact and conclusions of law</u> with respect to that evidence." (CJA's June 29, 2016 letter, at p. 4, underlining, capitalization and italics in original).

A copy of that notice is herewith furnished to you. It consists of our July 1, 2016 letter to New York's 62 district attorneys and is entitled "How Many D.A.s Does It Take to Confront Evidence & Abide by Ethical Rules?" It transmitted to them our June 29, 2016 letter addressed to DAASNY's president, specifying the penal law violations, the documentary evidence establishing the violations, and enclosing the June 21, 2016 corruption complaint we had filed with Albany County District Attorney P. David Soares for enforcement of the penal law and intervention in the *CJA v. Cuomo*, *et al.* citizen-taxpayer action.<sup>2</sup>

The lawsuit record is accessible from several of the prominent center links on CJA's homepage, most directly from the link entitled "CJA's Citizen-Taxpayer Action to End NYS' Corrupt Budget 'Process' & Unconstitutional 'Three Men in a Room' Governance".

These are all posted on CJA's webpage for this letter, accessible *via* the homepage link: "NO PAY RAISES FOR NEW YORK's CORRUPT PUBLIC OFFICERS – The Money Belongs to their Victims!", which brings up a menu page. Scroll down to the link entitled "HOW MANY D.A.s DOES IT TAKE TO CONFRONT EVIDENCE & ABIDE BY ETHICAL RULES". Click it for a further menu page with a link for the webpage of this letter. The direct link is here: <a href="http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/budget/budget-2016-17/7-8-16-ltr-to-counties.htm">http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/budget-2016-17/7-8-16-ltr-to-counties.htm</a>.

By this letter, we now give notice to you – the governments of the 56 counties whose judicially-linked district attorney salaries are paid from the county budgets. Your duty is to protect your county's tax dollars from fraud and other illegality, not to mention from district attorneys who should be removed for corruption.

County Law §700, entitled "District attorney; powers and duties", states:

"1. ...it shall be the duty of every district attorney to conduct all prosecutions for crimes and offenses cognizable by the courts of the county for which he or she shall have been elected or appointed; ... He or she shall perform such additional and related duties as may be prescribed by law and <u>directed</u> by the board of supervisors." (underlining added).

The "crimes...cognizable by the courts" of your counties, specified by our June 29, 2016 letter – and for which our June 21, 2016 corruption complaint to District Attorney Soares seeks prosecution – are:

Penal Law §175.35 ("offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree");

Penal Law §155.42 ("grand larceny in the first degree");

Penal Law §190.65 ("scheme to defraud in the first degree");

Penal Law §195.20 ("defrauding the government");

Penal Law §195 ("official misconduct");

Penal Law §105.15 ("conspiracy in the second degree");

Penal Law §20.00 ("criminal liability for conduct of another"); and

Penal Law §496 ("corrupting the government") – part of the "Public Trust Act".

If your district attorneys do not, as they should, <u>voluntarily</u>, furnish you with their findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the *CJA v. Cuomo*, *et al.* citizen-taxpayer action and with respect to the sufficiency of our June 21, 2016 corruption complaint to District Attorney Soares, then your duty is to <u>direct</u> them to do so, forthwith. That way you will know, <u>for a certainty</u>, that your district attorneys have no basis to threaten you with lawsuits for salary increases based on the December 24, 2015 and August 29, 2011 reports, as they have done.<sup>3</sup>

The evidence we have furnished your district attorneys, itemized at page 4 of our June 29, 2016 letter and by pages 3-4 of our June 21, 2016 corruption complaint, is *prima facie* and dispositive and the ONLY factual findings and legal conclusions they can make with respect thereto are of fraud and unlawfulness – laying bare that they have <u>no</u> legal claim to enforce against you and that your obligation, if your county has already authorized the district attorney salary increase, effective April 1, 2016, based on the December 24, 2015 report, is to withdraw the authorization and – with the other counties – secure a judicial declaration voiding that report and the predecessor August 29, 2011

See, for example, "Legislators debate state-mandated DA raise", Orlean Times Herald, March 29, 2016 (Bob Clark), posted on CJA's webpage for this letter.

report. As your 56 county attorneys would surely advise, the <u>most expeditious and cost-effective</u> <u>way</u> to secure such judicial declarations would be by the counties intervening for that relief in the *CJA v. Cuomo, et al.* citizen-taxpayer action.<sup>4</sup>

I am available – and eager – to assist you and to answer such questions as you may have. This includes, under oath, subject to the penalties of perjury. Will your district attorneys do likewise?

The counties can play a heroic role in restoring a constitutionally-functioning state government, ending the cesspool of corruption chronicled by the *CJA v. Cuomo*, *et al.* citizen-taxpayer action. U.S. Attorney Bharara has railed against "enablers". What is needed is one whistle-blowing county – and the starting place is one whistle-blowing county board member, county treasurer/comptroller, or county attorney, doing nothing more extraordinary than what his <u>duty compels</u>: obeying his oath of office, the law, and ethical rules, including as to reporting corruption. All other counties and public officers will follow suit, if for no other reason than to avoid criminal liability, under the penal law, as accomplices and co-conspirators.

Finally, I take the opportunity to draw to your attention that our June 21, 2016 corruption complaint to District Attorney Soares was not the only enclosure to our June 29, 2016 letter. Also enclosed was our June 10, 2016 e-mail entitled "What are your positions? – beginning with repeal of the statutory link between D.A. and judicial salaries" – and it was addressed to NYSAC's president and executive

Pursuant to County Law §501.1, the county attorneys are "the legal advisor(s) to the board of supervisors and every officer whose compensation is paid from county funds in all matters involving an official act of a civil nature." They are no less qualified than the district attorneys in furnishing findings of fact and conclusions of law with regard to the CJA v. Cuomo, et al. citizen-taxpayer action – and this, too, the boards of supervisors and legislators are empowered to direct their county attorneys to do (County Law §501.3).

Of course, it does not take a law degree to make the relevant factual findings – and non-lawyer county board members, executive officers, and treasures/comptrollers can make them, easily. The statutory violations of the December 24, 2015 and August 29, 2011 reports are <u>facially evident</u>, requiring nothing more than a comparison of the reports with the statutes. This can be accomplished <u>within minutes</u>, especially with the aid of CJA's own comparisons, which are part of the CJA v. Cuomo, et al. citizen-taxpayer action.

Easy, too, is discerning the fraudulence of the reports. All that is necessary is examining the serious and substantial nature of the citizen opposition to judicial salary increases, such as CJA presented to the Commission on Judicial Compensation and to the Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation – as to which their reports make no findings of fact or conclusions of law – and whose very existence each report conceals. Such, too, can readily be done from the CJA v. Cuomo, et al. citizen-taxpayer action.

See, *inter alia*, New York's Rules for Professional Conduct, Rule 8.3(a) "Reporting Professional Misconduct":

<sup>&</sup>quot;A lawyer who knows that another lawyer has committed a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct that raises a substantial question as to that lawyer's honesty, trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer shall report such knowledge to a tribunal or other authority empowered to investigate or act upon such violation."

director, in addition to DAASNY's president. As I am advised that NYSAC takes its direction from the counties, won't you instruct NYSAC to respond to the questions posed by the June 10, 2016 e-mail so that your organizational voice may be heard with respect thereto. This, over and beyond your responding on your own behalf.

There was also a third enclosure: our November 13, 2013 letter to District Attorney Soares, entitled "What are Your Procedures for Handling Public Corruption Complaints? – & Other Questions that an Unconflicted Commission to Investigate Public Corruption Would Ask". Here, too, won't you direct your district attorneys to answer its enumerated questions, if they do not do so voluntarily, as they rightfully should. And won't you add a further question to the list – one certainly germane to the situation at bar:

What are your procedures for handling public corruption complaints, filed with your district attorney offices, where you have financial and other conflicts of interest?

Stong PWZ

The courtesy of your responses by July 30, 2016 would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you.

cc: The 5 counties of the City of New York

New York State's least populous Hamilton County, with the only part-time district attorney New York State Association of Counties (NYSAC)

New York State's 62 district attorneys – & their district attorneys' association (DAASNY) Senate & Assembly leadership, including committee chairs & ranking members, etc.

New York State Law Revision Commission

U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York Preet Bharara

U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of New York Richard Hartunian